

South Florida Water Management District

AGENDA

Upper East Coast Floridan Aquifer Model Kick-off Meeting

Thursday, June 26, 2014 Stuart City Hall, Commission Chambers 121 SW Flagler Avenue, Stuart, FL 34994 10:00 a.m. – Noon

I.	Welcome & Introductions	Mark Elsner, Section Administrator
II.	Overview of Floridan Aquifer System	Pete Kwiatkowski, Section Administrator
III.	East Coast Floridan Model Status	Jeff Giddings, Principal Hydrogeologist
IV.	Model Application	John Mulliken, FAS Modeling Coordinator
V.	Next Steps	John Mulliken



Upper East Coast Floridan Aquifer Model Meeting for Agricultural Stakeholders

Thursday, June 26, 2014 Stuart City Hall. Commission Chambers 121 SW Flagler Avenue Stuart, FL 10:00 am – 12:00 noon

NAME (PRINT)	ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL
MARIO LOAIZA	South MARTIN REGIONAL	772-5466259	Mloarza @tji.martin.fl.vs
Jon Mercures	M. C. U	772-221-1439	Jucacup, Enon. Mas.
DAVID VoisiNet	MCU	792-260-6441	OvoisiNE @ mentin. flus
Robert Plymmer	mcu	772-221-1483	rolummer @ martiv. FL. US
JOSHUA C MILLER	SLWSD	772 340 0220	Jmiller@slus0.org
Dean Powell	SFWMD	561.682.6787	drewell @ stund. gov
Reserva Elliott	FPACS/OAWP	561-682-6040	relliott estund.gou
Chad Broka	Stwm1)	56/ 682 28/6	Corchard sand sou
Angela Munkaya	SEWMD	561 682 2002	amontoy C stund-gor
digita Junado	SFEUPID	561-6822-6031	lijurado@sfwmd.gov.
Pun/Stont	JA Geosciences	561-704-6439	pstant Q j la grossing



Upper East Coast Floridan Aquifer Model Meeting for Agricultural Stakeholders

Thursday, June 26, 2014 Stuart City Hall. Commission Chambers 121 SW Flagler Avenue Stuart, FL 10:00 am – 12:00 noon

NAME (PRINT)	ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL
Valerieschulte	FPUA	7724661600	Vschulte@fpua.com
EMC SASA	FPL	561 691 2993	eric.m. shead fpl. com
Sturlamb	PLA-leve	561-3890062	lamb@fla-inc-can
Simantha Lovelady	MC Growth Mgt	772-288-5664	slove@martin.fl.us
Auna Murrey	Mabiu Co	772 2211442	mumay amarAm Al, x
VILLIAM PRCHESEUG	CAT Englovery	772-342-5767	barchebillera ct ens.
SAM AKRERSON	CITY OF STUART	772-260-9613	Samersonec, Stuart, Flins
Ray Scott	FD4C5		
L.K. Polk	FL Farm Burcan	352-727-0547	Inke, polk affbt. org
VINCE MOLLO	Seacoast Util	561-6272901	308) VMOLLO @ SUA.com



Upper East Coast Floridan Aquifer Model Meeting for Agricultural Stakeholders

Thursday, June 26, 2014 Stuart City Hall. Commission Chambers 121 SW Flagler Avenue Stuart, FL 10:00 am – 12:00 noon

NAME (PRINT)	ORGANIZATION	TELEPHONE	E-MAIL
CARL LARRABEE	SJRWMD	(386) 329.4222	clarrabee@sjrwmd.con
JILL GRIMACDI	COM SMITH	772 360-3224	grimaldijt @ dmsmith.com
			5

Overview of the Floridan Aquifer System

Upper East Coast Floridan Aquifer Modeling Meeting Stuart, Florida June 26, 2014

> Peter J. Kwiatkowski, P.G. Section Administrator, Resource Evaluation





Generalized Aquifer Systems of South Florida



Major Aquifers, Relative Yields, and Water Quality in South Florida

<u>Aquifer</u>	Yield	Water Quality
Surficial Aquifer	Low	Fresh
Upper Floridan Aquifer	Moderate	Fresh/Brackish
APPZ	Moderate	Brackish
Boulder Zone	Very High	Saline

NOTE: APPZ = Avon Park Permeable Zone



Geographic Differences of the Floridan Aquifer System (FAS)

Recharge Area in Central Florida (Unconfined)

Confined Aquifer in South Florida – less water released from storage, greater drawdowns





SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Thickness of the Floridan Aquifer System



Vertical Differences of Floridan Aquifer System: Example





Geographic Differences in Transmissivity within the FAS

10000



Avon Park Permeable Zone 56551.0 557452.0 56097. 69 895 000004 607647.650.01 304975.0 616 1299944 X 98796.0 895721.0 5147 497 203208.0 240641.0 78877.0 102941.0 26/3/9.0 589818.0 100000 61497.0 26737.0 100.0 4946200534.0 286000.0 5901 65745.0 586000.0 + 159000 56149.0 +3000000.0 24064.0 _____307487.(1644385.0 7: 674.0 64037.0 561258.0 ginihtro 1000 375.0 800000 100 100000 100000-1000 4091.0 3607.0 10000 -+4297.0 + MF - Transmissivity: Composites + MF - Transmissivity: April 2010

100000

.....

1000000

~~~~~

\_\_\_\_\_

#### **Geographic Differences in Salinity within the FAS**



#### Changing Water-levels due to Long-term Withdrawals



## Recharge to FAS Occurs in Polk and Highlands Counties



(From USGS OFR 2004-1288)



# Well Flowing Under Artesian Pressure

- Several FAS zones under artesian pressure in South Florida
- Flow at land surface naturally (no pumping required)
- In general, occurs from Lake Okeechobee southward where Hawthorn Group confinement is extensive
- Boulder Zone (saltwater) does not flow naturally





# Geologic Formations of the FAS extend offshore at depth





# General Water Quality Characterization of the Floridan Aquifer System

- Upper Floridan Aquifer and Avon Park Permeable Zone (APPZ) are potential zones for Blending, Reverse Osmosis source water, or Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR)
- Brackish quality requires membrane treatment to meet drinking water standards
- Relatively stable water quality seasonally, but geographically variable
- Some pumping wells become saltier (upconing of more saline water from below or laterally along coast) over time – related to aquifer productivity, well spacing, depth to saline water, etc.



# **Technical Considerations of FAS**

#### Greater Drawdowns

- Increased energy costs associated with lifting water via pumps
- Increase well spacing to lessen drawdown effects (i.e., greater pipeline costs)
- Adjacent user effects
- Potential for decline in water quality over time
  - Membrane design and periodic replacement
  - Blending with Surficial aquifer water or surface water
- Membrane Treatment
  - Estimated 115 to 125% of raw water to meet treated water demands
  - Concentrate disposal via deep injection wells



# **Potable Water Desalination Plants** within SFWMD, 2014



#### UPPER EAST COAST

Martin County - North Martin County - Tropical Farms Port St. Lucie - Prineville South Martin Regional

#### EAST COAST

FKAA - Marathon FKAA - South Dade FKAA - Stock Island Highland Beach North Miami Beach PBC Lake Region Sunrise - Springtree Number of Facilities:

- Operating 38
- Under construction 3

**Total Capacity (MGD):**  Operating 269 Under Construction 17













# **FAS Monitoring Network**

Data Loggers w/Continuous Recorders Provides data to support model calibration Most stations in Upper **Floridan Aquifer** Few stations in APPZ or deeper zones of FAS, except at deep injection well sites



# Conclusions

- Floridan Aquifer is a Viable Alternative Water Supply Source in the UEC
- Greater Uncertainty
  - Aquifer Productivity
  - Changes in water quality over time
  - Careful planning, testing, and conservative well spacing required
  - Costs will be higher than traditional sources









#### SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



#### Upper East Coast Floridan Aquifer Modeling Meeting Stuart, Florida June 26, 2014

#### Jeff Giddings, Principal Scientist Resource Evaluation, SFWMD



### **Presentation Outline**

Model Overview
Model Development
Peer Review Comments
Model Calibration
Pumpage Uncertainty
Final Steps





#### SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

### East Coast Floridan Model Implementation Team

Jeff Giddings, Principal Scientist
 Angela Montoya, Lead Engineer
 Ligia Jurado, Engineering Specialist II



### **Model Overview**

- Cell Size: 2,400 ft X 2,400 ft
- Calibration 1989 to 2012
- Monthly Simulation Periods
- Vertical Extent: Upper Floridan Aquifer (Layer 1) to the Boulder Zone (Layer 7)
- Water Quality included
- Boundary Conditions





### **East Coast Floridan Model Layers**



### **Model Development -- A Process**

- 1) Phase I (Lower East Coast) 2006
- 2) Phase II (Lower and Upper East Coast) October 2008
- 3) Independent Peer Review June 2011
- 4) Strategy to implement peer review comments Spring 2012
- 5) Steady-State Model Completion with Peer Review revisions – December 2013
- 6) Transient Model Completion with Peer Review revisions – Spring 2014

same ou

### **Primary Peer Review Comments**

1) Develop a steady-state model and also a 20year transient model.

2) Use automated and manual calibration techniques where appropriate.

3) Calibration of water quality to generalized categories of fresh, brackish and saline conditions.

4) Provide calibration plots including residuals and scatter diagrams

5) Calibration to inflows (volume) targets



### Peer Review Comments (cont'd.)

6) Use literature values for porosity and dispersivity, if appropriate.

- 7) Include recharge areas in Polk County through grid rotation and/or model expansion.
- 8) Reduce model execution time.
- 9) Work with users to collect additional data during well construction.
- 10) Prepare User's Manual.



### **Location of Floridan Aquifer System Wells**







### **Well Spacing and Model Grid Size**

Well Location with Model Grid Spacing







### **Water Level Calibration**





Water Level Response – St. Lucie County



#### Water Level Response – Okeechobee County



Water Level Response – Martin County



#### Water Level Response – Indian River County



#### Water Level Response – Kissimmee River Aquifer Storage and Recovery Pilot Project



#### **Overall Water Level Model Calibration Performance**



strund.cov

### **Water Quality Calibration**





#### Water Quality Response – St. Lucie County



#### Water Quality Response – St. Lucie County



#### Water Quality Response – Martin County



#### Water Quality Response – Martin County



#### Water Quality Response – Okeechobee County



#### Water Quality Response – Palm Beach County



#### **Floridan Aquifer System Pumpage Uncertainty**

- High degree of confidence in modeled Public Water Supply withdrawals – submittal of monthly pumpage is a permit requirement of both Florida Department of Environmental Protection and the District for many years.
- Moderate degree of confidence in modeled commercial and industrial uses. Demands are generally well known at time of permit issuance and are normally consistent and/or predictable.



### **FAS Pumpage Uncertainty - Irrigation**

- All irrigation monthly demands are calculated from AFSIRS using observed climatic conditions. Irrigation demands can be further modified during calibration process to reflect site specific operations.
- Moderate degree of confidence for modeled irrigation demands that utilize the Floridan Aquifer System solely and fully operational.
- Lower degree of confidence for modeled irrigation demands that utilized a combination of surface water and Floridan Aquifer System.
- Additional uncertainty introduced for citrus operations resulting from damage or disease (when, where and how much).

#### SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

### Final Steps to Begin Upper East Coast Simulations

- Finalize population and irrigated acreage projections for base and future conditions.
- Adjust individual Public Water Supply demands between wellfields.
- Incorporate revised demands into the model
- Standardize model graphics.
- Complete Draft Model Documentation.







# **Model Application**

John Mulliken FAS Modeling Coordinator UEC Modeling Kickoff Workshop, Stuart, FL June 26, 2014

# **Getting Started**

## Modeling Objective

Initial Model Application

### Interpreting Results

# **Draft FAS Modeling Objective for Upper East Coast**

- Conduct a regional-scale, planning-level evaluation of FAS as a water supply source
- Evaluate the potential of existing and proposed facilities to meet 2040 water demands
- Focus analysis on changes to water quality and water levels
- Consider the modeling results in UEC Plan Update process when determining if proposed FAS projects:
  - Generally feasible
  - Have potential to meet projected demands

# **Draft Modeling Objective**

The objective of modeling the Floridan Aquifer System (FAS) in the Upper East Coast planning region is to conduct a planning level evaluation of the potential of existing and future facilities to meet 2040 water demands from the FAS relative to 2013 facilities and demands. The analysis will focus on potential changes to water levels and water quality. The model results will be considered when determining whether proposed FAS projects are generally feasible and have the potential to meet projected needs.

# **Preparing for Modeling**

- Establish base lines
  - Current and Future Conditions
  - Planning horizon should be a minimum of 20 years
- Proposed initial computer simulations
  - Current Base Case 2013
  - Future Base Case 2040
- Develop demand projections all use categories
  - Population growth, changes in agricultural acreage

# **Modeling Assumptions**

- Public Water Supply
  - Distribution of population growth
  - Future Floridan use new or expanded wellfields
  - Pumping protocols
  - Seasonal variations in water use
- Agriculture
  - Projected acreage by crop type
  - Seasonal variations in Floridan use
- Other categories
  - Changes should be consistent with population growth

## Population projections (2013-2040)



# **Interpreting Results**

- *Relative comparisons between model runs* 
  - 2013 Base Case
  - 2040 Base Case
- Graphic representation of performance
  - Show changes in water levels
  - Display differences in water quality (Total Dissolved Solids)
  - Illustrate variations in flow vectors (horizontal and vertical)

# **Conceptual Graphics – Water Quality**



East Coast Floridan Model Total Dissolved Solids Current Base Stress Period 8766



For Demonstration Purposes Only

User Name: krodberg Date: 6/24/2014

Document Path: \\ad.sfwmd.gov\dfsroot\data\wsd\GIS\GISP\_2012\WorkingDirectory\KAR\ECFM\_UEC\TDSConceptMap.mxd

# **Conceptual Graphics – Water Levels**



# **Conceptual Graphics: Flow Vectors**



# **Performance Indicators**

- Identify time periods (1989 2013) of interest
  - Periods when C-23, C-24 and C-25 approached 14 feet
  - Significant rainfall deficits
  - End of simulation cumulative impacts
- Identify ranges of TDS or water level change that could be problematic for users
- Map areas of interest wellfields, agricultural centers, commercial uses

# Please send comments by July 11, 2014 to:

John Mulliken jmulls@sfwmd.gov

Office: 561-682-6649

# Discussion

# Next Steps

John Mulliken, FAS Modeling Coordinator UEC Modeling Kickoff Workshop June 26, 2014

# **Key Activities**

- Follow up on stakeholder suggestions
- Complete demand sets for all use categories
- Develop proposed assumptions for base cases
- *Refine performance graphics*
- Schedule Workshop #2 to finalize demands and assumptions