

# Audit Recommendations Follow-Up Report For the Period January 1, 2014

Through March 31, 2014

**Project # 14-10** 

Prepared by Office of Inspector General

J. Timothy Beirnes, CPA, Inspector General Ann E. Haga, Executive Assistant





SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

#### Memorandum

To:	Governing Board Members
From:	J. Timothy Beirnes, CPA, Inspector General Office of Inspector General
Date:	June 3, 2014
Subject:	Audit Recommendations Follow-Up Report - For the Period January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2014 Project No. 14-10

This audit was performed pursuant to the Inspector General's authority set forth in Section 20.055, F.S. Enclosed is the subject report that was conducted to assess the progress made in implementing audit recommendations.

In our efforts to continue to improve the audit process we utilize an audit recommendations tracking database as an integral part of monitoring the implementation status of audit recommendations. This database contains the basic audit information and recommendations. This system provides us with a vehicle to communicate and gather feedback on the status of the audit recommendations with the Governing Board, District management, and the audited organizational units.

Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed report, please feel free to call me at (561) 682-6398.

C: Blake Guillory Terrie Bates Doug Bergstrom Dan DeLisi Karen Estock Jeff Kivett Len Lindahl Temperince Morgan Sharon Trost

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
EXHIBIT 1: Summary of Recommendations Status	3
EXHIBIT 2: Reports With Implementation of Recommendations in Progress	4
EXHIBIT 3: Detail of In-Process and Partially Implemented Audit Recommendations	5
EXHIBIT 4: Auditor General Recommendations	8

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

Audit recommendations target the economy and efficiency of District operations and compliance with our policies and statutory responsibilities. Our recommendations also focus on providing District management with suggestions that facilitate their achievement of program goals and objectives. To be effective, audit recommendations must be implemented. Additionally, *Government Auditing Standards* require following up on audit recommendations in previously issued audit reports. Accordingly, the Office of Inspector General has performed follow-up audit work since the office was established in 1996. Every quarter our office surveys departments to determine the implementation status of recommendations and to encourage their completion. This information is maintained in the Inspector General's audit recommendation tracking system. The system allows each audit staff member to update the recommendation's "status" after reviewing information provided by the departments and offices.

This report on the implementation status of audit recommendations is for the period January 1, 2014 through March 31, 2014 (the "Reporting Period"). As shown in Exhibit 1, as of January 1, 2014 there were 12 recommendations that were not yet Fully Implemented, consisting of 11 that were In-Process and one (1) that was Partially Implemented. Since then, three (3) of these recommendations have been Fully Implemented. During the Reporting Period no recommendations were added from newly issued reports. Nine (9) recommendations are In-Process of being Implemented or have been Partially Implemented as of March 31, 2014.

No recommendations were changed to the "No Longer Applicable" status during the current Reporting Period. The "No Longer Applicable" category includes items where conditions have changed subsequent to issuance of the audit report that rendered the recommendation no longer relevant, such as:

- Alternative compensating controls have been put in place.
- A decision was made to implement a new system that will address the issue making it impractical to retrofit the existing system.
- The policy, statute, or rule has changed.
- Change in strategic direction.

No recommendations fell into the "Not Implemented" category for the current and the previous report.

Commencing with this quarterly follow-up report, our office is also monitoring the implementation status of the 10 recommendations made in the Operational Audit performed by the State of Florida Auditor General. As of March 31, 2014, seven (7) recommendation have been fully implemented, two (2) have been partially implemented, and one (1) is in process of implementation.

Following is a brief description of the attached exhibits:

- **Exhibit 1:** Displays a summary of recommendation statuses for all audit reports with recommendations in process of implementation. Exhibit 1 also shows the changes in the status of recommendations from the beginning of the period to the end of the period.
- Exhibit 2: Shows a summary of the changes in the status of recommendations by each audit report. Exhibit 2 shows only those audit reports that contained one or more recommendations that had not been fully implemented at the beginning of the reporting period.
- Exhibit 3: Displays detail information regarding the status of each audit recommendation. This includes the status of the recommendation for the prior reporting period and the status at the end of the current period. The comment column provides narrative information regarding implementation progress.
- Exhibit 4: Displays detail information regarding the status of each audit recommendation contained in the Operational Audit performed by the State of Florida Auditor General. The comment column provides narrative information regarding implementation progress.

## **EXHIBIT 1**

# Summary of Recommendations Status As of March 31, 2014

	In	Partially	
Prior Period Reports	Process	Implemented	Total
Status Prior Period (December 31, 2013)	11	1	12
Implemented or Partially Implemented During Period	(3)	-	(3)
Remaining Recommendations to be Fully Implemented	8	1	9
Reports Issued During Current Period			
New Recommendations*	-	-	-
Implemented or Partially Implemented	-		-
Remaining Recommendations to be Fully Implemented			
Current Status			
Remaining Recommendations to be Fully Implemented	<u> </u>	1	9

\* Initial Status is set as "In-Process"

#### EXHIBIT 2 Audit Reports With Implementation of Recommendations in Progress As of March 31, 2014

Audit No.	Audit Title	No. of Recs		In Process	Partially Implemented	No Longer Applicable	Implemented	
	<b>Recommedations - Prior Period Reports</b>							
08-09	Review of Internal Controls Over Fuel		Initial Status	0	1	0	4	Γ_
	Inventory	5	Change in Status	0	0	0	0	Open
			Current Period Status	0	1	0	4	
11-11	Audit of Surplus Lands		Initial Status	1	0	0	9	
		10	Change in Status	0	0	0	0	Open
			Current Period Status	1	0	0	9	Ŭ
11-14	Audit of CERP Cost Share Status		Initial Status	5	0	0	4	_
		9	Change in Status	-2	0	0	2	Open
			Current Period Status	3	0	0	6	Ŭ
12-29	Audit of Contract Monitoring		Initial Status	2	0	0	7	_
		9	Change in Status	-1	0	0	1	Open
			Current Period Status	1	0	0	8	Ŭ
12-30	Audit of Grant Monitoring		Initial Status	1	0	0	1	c
		2	Change in Status	0	0	0	0	Open
			Current Period Status	1	0	0	1	Ľ
13-13	Audit of Employee Time Coding Process		Initial Status	2	0	0	4	ç
		6	Change in Status	0	0	0	0	Open
			Current Period Status	2	0	0	4	Ľ
	Recommendations - All Reports							
			Prior/Initial Status	11	1	0	29	
	TOTAL	41	Change in Status	-3	0	0	3	
			Status Current Period	8	1	0	32	
	Number of Recommendations Remaining to Be Fully Implemented	9		8	1			

Prior Period = As of December 31, 2013

#### EXHIBIT 3 Detail of In-Process and Partially Implemented Audit Recommendations As of March 31, 2014

	_					-		••	
Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current	Duel		<b>–</b>	Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Original	Revised	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
08-09	2	Review of Internal Controls Over Fuel Inventory	Partially Implemented	Partially Implemented	9/30/2009	9/8/2015	Implement physical security measures that were identified by Emergency/Security Management.	Fencing repairs will be done in FY2010. New fences will be deferred to future years due to FY2010 budget constraints. For security systems, we will coordinate with Security Management to have them budget for these systems in future years.	The fencing for the north shore pump station (i.e. 127, 129, 131, 133, and 193) has been added to the trash rake projects at each of the sites. Due to funding constraints, estimated completion dates for \$133 and \$135 is 6/9/2015 and \$129 and \$131 is 9/8/2015.
11-11	9	Audit of Surplus Lands	In Process	In Process	3/31/2013	6/30/2014	Explore the potential of leasing additional lands for communications towers or tower space to businesses in the telecommunication industry.	Management agrees.	A review process is on schedule to identify potential sites for tower and communication system leases. Due date change due to shortage of staff. <b>3/31/14</b> Continuing research, analysis and Management approval of options for tower leases. Revised completion date of June 2014.
11-14	3	Audit of the CERP Cost Share Status	In Process	Implemented	9/30/2013	4/30/2014	Research and resubmit credit request to the Corps, and follow up to insure all eligible credit is received.	The Finance Bureau's immediate priority is to review and submit fiscal year 2012 and 2013 work in-kind costs for CERP and the Kissimmee River Restoration Project to the Corps. When this is completed Finance Bureau staff will resubmit expenditures identified in the audit, along with supporting documentation that were previously disallowed.	Completed
11-14	4	Audit of the CERP Cost Share Status	In Process	In Process	12/30/2013	6/30/2014	Follow up with the Corps to insure all eligible construction credit is received particularly those authorized, eligible expenditures for projects with a PPA.	Everglades Policy & Coordination will meet on a quarterly basis with Corps staff to review the status of open construction credit submissions and expected timing of construction credit approval. Based on these meetings we will provide any additional expenditure support needed for the Corps to approve expenditures for construction credit.	Discussions between District and USACE staff are on-going. The District continues to submit construction work in kind expenditures for USACE approval. Construction for projects with a signed PPA are eligible for credit. A letter received from USACE on January 29,2014 provided preliminary approval of a portion of CERP construction costs submitted for the second quarter of 2013.

Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current	Due I	Date		Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Original	Revised	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
11-14	5	Audit of the CERP Cost Share Status	In Process	In Process	12/31/2013	6/30/2014	Follow up with the Corps to establish a CERP Guidance Memorandum which specifies the procedures to be followed for certifying construction and Acceler8 expenditures for cost share credit.	Everglades Policy & Coordination will work with the Corps staff to develop a draft construction CERP Guidance memorandum for review and approval by September 30, 2013 and finalize the CGM by December 31, 2013. This process should not delay receiving construction credit on projects with an approved PPA.	CGM 43 is drafted and a meeting is set to review with USACE with a progress update set for the DCT meeting in February. The CGM should be finalized by March 31, 2014.
11-14	6	Audit of the CERP Cost Share Status	In Process	In Process	9/30/2014	9/30/2014	Review and complete staff costs allocations on the tract sheets to reflect actual staff and associated costs incurred to acquire CERP lands and revise all relevant spreadsheets to reflect the updated costs.	Recent staff reductions within the Real Estate unit in conjunction with the additional responsibilities associated with the District- wide land assessment has resulted in a backlog in the cost allocation spreadsheets for District staff and associated costs. The Real Estate unit will coordinate staff workload in order to update the staff cost allocation spreadsheets.	Real Estate staff is coordinating with the Finance Department to document staff cost allocations using all data resources. <b>3/31/14</b> The planned completion remains on schedule.
11-14	9	Audit of the CERP Cost Share Status	In Process	Implemented	12/31/2013	3/31/2014	Follow up with the Corps to ensure the District receives official credit for all eligible land.	Real Estate unit staff will coordinate with the USACE Real Estate unit in Jacksonville to ensure the District receives all eligible credit for land acquisitions.	Real Estate staff reviewed District records and coordinated with USACE staff in Jacksonville. At this point the District and USACE records have been synchronized for all credit requests to date. The District has not been provided a schedule by the USACE on expected completion of their review of the existing credit requests.
12-29	3	Audit of Contract Monitoring	In Process	In Process	7/11/2013	8/31/2014	Ensure that all project managers are aware of the District's responsibilities relating to sales and other types of taxes.	Management agrees. Procurement will update PM training documentation; PM training will be scheduled for all District PMs.	
12-29	7	Audit of Contract Monitoring	In Process	Implemented	7/11/2013	4/30/2014	Consider requiring the Procurement Bureau to review non-construction contract invoices particularly those that are time and material based to ensure payment rates are accurate and in compliance with contract terms/deliverables.	While the responsibility for reviewing and approving invoices has resided in the line organization, Procurement Bureau has begun reviewing construction invoices for compliance with contract terms. We plan to start review of non-construction contract invoices in order to ensure compliance with contract terms.	both construction and non-construction.
12-30	1	Audit of Grant Monitoring	In Process	In Process	12/30/2013	6/30/2014			

Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current	Due	Date		Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Original	Revised	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
13-13	1	Audit of Employee Time Coding Process	In Process	In Process	11/21/2013		understand the importance of charging time worked to the correct cost centers, work/internal orders, and network/activity codes.	An "All Groups" email will be created and distributed District- wide, explaining the need to charge correctly and the negative consequences that can occur as a result of not properly capturing time spent on projects. Once the All Groups email goes out, all Section Leaders (or Section Administrators where no Section Leader is present) will review the email and how it relates to their group specifically, with each of their employees. We will also use other internal communication mechanisms to reinforce the message about why coding time correctly is so important.	circulated for comment. It is expected to go out sometime in May.
13-13	4	Audit of Employee Time Coding Process	In Process	In Process	7/31/2014		do not charge time to project related activities and that managers take appropriate corrective action.		A coding system is being developed that can be integrated into Project Systems that allows production of an automated MS Access report that can be electronically delivered to managers and project managers detailing the time charging status of all projects. This project is on track and expected to be completed by the July 31, 2014 estimated completion date.

## **EXHIBIT 4 Auditor General Recommendations**

As of March 31, 2014

Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current		Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
	1	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process	Implemented	procedures to identify actions constituting fraud, incident reporting procedures, responsibility for fraud investigation, and consequences of fraudulent behavior.	While the District does not have a separate Fraud Policy, we believe that the provisions included in various District documents including the Office of Inspector General Operating Manual, the Whistle-Blowers Policy and Corrective Action Procedure provide guidance as to actions constituting fraud, reporting procedures for suspected fraud, responsibility for fraud investigation, and consequences for fraudulent behavior. However, we will review those documents and make revisions to them if deemed necessary.	The various District documents providing guidance as to actions constituting fraud, reporting procedures for suspected fraud, responsibility for fraud investigations, and consequences for fraudulent behavior have been reviewed and modified as deemed necessary. Specific changes entailed updating Chapter 7 of the Inspectors General Operations Manual, which addresses processes and procedures regarding investigations, to incorporate examples of actions constituting fraud.
	2	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process	In-Process	Access Refunds and ensure that these procedures are continuously updated for changes in key controls or personnel.	Accounts Receivable - The Districts concurs with the recommendation and will be evaluating the accounts receivable process and procedures and make the appropriate changes. Key Permit Access Refunds - The District has assembled a team to re-evaluate and document the key deposit process. The goal is to design a process that is efficient and which contains internal controls to ensure that District stakeholder assets are properly safeguarded. Once completed, this process will become the basis for a procedure that will be included in the District Policies and Procedures Manual.	Accounts Receivable: <b>Not Started</b> Estimated Completion Date - December 31, 2014 Key Permit Access Refunds: <b>In Progress.</b> The process has been mapped and implemented but it has not yet been incorporated in the District's procedures. Estimated Completion Date - December 31, 2014
	3	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process	Partially Implemented	maintained as required by Chapter 119, Florida Statutes. The District should also revise its record retention procedures to be consistent with the State's record retention schedule.	<b>Personnel Records</b> - The District strives to follow State record retention guidelines. In addition, personnel records are scanned and stored electronically on a bi-weekly basis coinciding with payroll processing. Further, as a result of the audit of the audit the District will now maintain the <i>Authorization for Direct Deposit</i> forms with the scanned Personnel files as opposed to the maintaining them with the payroll records as was previously done. <b>Vehicle Usage Logs</b> - The District will be implementing an electronic storage system for <i>Vehicle Usage Logs</i> . This documentation will be stored on one of the District's data servers for a period of time no less than that specified by the State in Item #224 of the General Record's Schedule GS1-SL for State and local Government Agencies.	Personnel Records: <b>Completed</b> All personnel records, including direct deposit authorizations , are scanned and stored electronically on a bi-weekly basis coinciding with the payroll run.
	4	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process	Implemented	compliance with State collateral management requirements.	The fiscal year 2013 report was filed timely in a timely manner and subsequent year's filings have all been scheduled to ensure timely filing. Going forward the District will incorporate the filing of the <i>Public Depositor Annual Report</i> as part of its year end closing procedures.	<b>Completed</b> The fiscal year 2013 report was filed in a timely manner and subsequent year's filings have all been scheduled to ensure timely filing.

Audit No.	Rec No.	Audit Title	Status Prior Report	Status Current Report	Recommendation	Management Response	Current Period Comments Regarding Status
110.	5	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process	Implemented	The District should ensure that its established	The reconciliations in question that were late were the result of the loss of staff person responsible for performing the reconciliations. This	<b>Completed.</b> The District reconciles bank accounts in accordance with Sec. 110-125 (b) which requires that
	6	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process		The District should establish procedures that require issuance of receipts, a recording of all collections at the initial point of collection, restrictive endorsement of all checks upon receipt, dated evidence of transfers among employees, and a detailed listing of well permits that are reconciled to well permit fees collected. The District should also ensure that District policies and procedures are followed when securing collections until deposited and ensure that collections are deposited in a timely manner. In addition, the District should separate duties for well permit applications to ensure that one employee does not have access to all phases of a transaction or implement appropriate compensating controls.	As a result of the audit the District has taken certain steps to enhance controls over the cash receipt process including: - Restrictively endorsing all checks received at the point of collection, - date stamping checks received directly by the cashier, - recording, either on a transfer document or on the face of the check, the date of original receipt for checks not directly received by the Cashier, - maintaining checks and cash in a secure location until deposited with the bank, and - limiting the amount of checks and cash on hand to no more than \$125,000. We will continue to evaluate cash receipts processes in light of risks identified by the audit and will make the appropriate changes to mitigate those risks. Further, in light of concerns expressed in the audit about controls over the well permitting process, including reconciliations and segregation of duties, the District will reevaluate that process and make the appropriate cost effective changes to mitigate those risks.	<b>In-Progress.</b> - As a result of the audit the District has taken steps to enhance controls over the cash receipt process including: -restrictively endorsing all checks received at the point of collection, -date stamping checks received directly by the Cashier, - Recording, either on a transfer document or on the face of the check, the date of original receipt for checks not directly received by the Cashier, - maintaining checks and cash in a secure location until deposited with the bank, and -limiting the amount of checks and cash on hand to no more than \$125,000. The well permitting process has been revised but still needs to be mapped and documented. Estimated Completion Date: December 31, 2014
	7	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process	Implemented	The District should ensure compliance with current regulations regarding permit application fee refunds.	It should be noted that refunds given, between October 2010 and June 2013, as a result of the District's policy of refunding application fees if the application was withdrawn within 30 days of receipt and District personal expended minimal or no effort, represented approximately 5% of total refunds given during that time frame (27 permits totaling \$24,850 in fees). Despite the relative immateriality of the dollars associated with this business friendly practice, the District will work to have rule 40E-1.607 changed to allow for this specified refund.	<b>Completed:</b> Going forward the District will comply with Rule 40E-1.607 FAC and not issue refunds if a permit, for which the District has extended little or no effort, has been withdrawn in 30 days of the date of the application.

Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current		Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
	8	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process		card limits and cancellation of any unnecessary p-	The District has reviewed both the p-card limits and the p-cards which have low utilization and has concluded that p-card limits are appropriate at the current established levels due to the emergency response role of District employees in the event of a hurricane or other disasters. Also, the District has reviewed all p-cards for low utilization. As a result, three (3) have been cancelled for non-use. This review will continue on an ongoing basis. Several measures help mitigate the risk of p-card misuse at the District 1) an independent auditor is hired to conduct annual reviews of p-card usage and the results demonstrate strong compliance by cardholders; 2) the District losely monitors p-card usage and program compliance by reviewing a large sample size of monthly statements; and 3) the District is piggybacking the State contract with Bank of America which includes no liability for lost or stolen p-cards or fraudulent purchases.	<b>Completed.</b> The District has reviewed both the P- card limits and the P-cards which have low utilization and has concluded that P_card limits are appropriate at the current established levels due to the emergency response role of District employees in the event of hurricanes or other disasters. Also, the District has reviewed all P-cards for low-utilization. As a result, three (3) have been cancelled for non- use. This review continues on an on-going basis. Procurement is currently in the process of having an independent auditor review P-card usage and program compliance by reviewing a large sample size of monthly statements. The District still attaches to a State contract with Bank of America which includes no liability for lost or stolen P-cards or fraudulent purchases.
	9	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process		of wireless charges and of wireless device assignments to ensure that it is not paying for any unnecessary charges or costs. The District should also enhance its controls over the employee reimbursement process to ensure that all reimbursable calls are properly identified, reviewed, accounted for, subsequently reimbursed, and properly recorded in a timely manner.	In order to enhance to ability to monitor wireless charges and wireless device assignments the District recently purchased VeraSMART Wireless Call Accounting Software. With this software the District will be able to more easily identify wireless devices with low or no usage. This information will be forwarded monthly to the end user's manager for review and justification for continuing phone services. Regarding the risks that have been identified in the audit concerning reimbursement for personal calls made using District wireless devices, the District will reevaluate that process in light of the risk that this poses to the District and will take the appropriate cost effective actions to mitigate those risks.	Wireless Call Accounting Software which enables the District to more easily identify wireless devices with low or no usage. This information is forwarded monthly to the end user's manager for review and justification for continuing phone services. Regarding the risks that have been identified in the audit concerning reimbursement for personal calls made using District wireless devices; the District is
	10	Auditor General Operational Audit	In- Process			This responsibility has been assigned to the Finance Bureau who will prepare plan statements for the plan year (calendar year) to provide to the plan actuary who will prepare the report for submission to OIR by the March 31 deadline.	submitted to the OIR by the March 31, 2014