

Audit Recommendations Follow-Up Report

For the Period April 1, 2010 Through June 30, 2010

Project #10-21

Prepared by Office of Inspector General

John W. Williams, Esq., Inspector General J. Timothy Beirnes, CPA, Director of Auditing





SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Memorandum

To: Audit and Finance Committee Members:

Mr. Charles J. Dauray, Chair Mr. Eric Buermann, Member Mr. Joe Collins, Member

Mr. Glenn Waldman, Member

From: John W. Williams, Esq., Inspector General

Office of Inspector General

Date: August 11, 2010

Subject: Audit Recommendations Follow-Up Report -

For the Period April 1, 2010 Through June 30, 2010

Project No. 10-21

This audit was performed pursuant to the Inspector General's authority set forth in Section 20.055, F.S. Enclosed is the subject report that was conducted to assess the progress made in implementing audit recommendations.

In our efforts to continue to improve the audit process we utilize an audit recommendations tracking database as an integral part of monitoring the implementation status of audit recommendations. This database contains the basic audit information and recommendations. This system provides us with a vehicle to communicate and gather feedback on the status of the audit recommendations with the Governing Board, District management and the audited department/office.

Tim Beirnes, Director of Auditing, prepared this report. Should you have any questions concerning the enclosed report, please feel free to call me at (561) 682-6128.

C: Carol Wehle Tom Olliff

TABLE OF CONTENTS

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
EXHIBIT 1: Summary of Recommendations Status	3
EXHIBIT 2: Reports With Implementation of Recommendations in Programment	ress4
EXHIBIT 3: Detail of In-Process and Partially Implemented Audit Recommendations	5
FXHIRIT 4. Status of Recommendations Not Fully Implemented	10

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Audit recommendations target the economy and efficiency of District operations and compliance with our policies and statutory responsibilities. Our recommendations also focus on providing District management with suggestions that facilitate their achievement of program goals and objectives. To be effective, audit recommendations must be implemented. Additionally, *Government Auditing Standards* require following up on audit recommendations in previously issued audit reports. Accordingly, the Inspector General's Office periodically surveys departments to determine the implementation status of recommendations and to encourage their completion. This information is maintained in the Inspector General's audit recommendation tracking database. The system allows each audit staff member to update the recommendation's "status" after reviewing information provided by the departments and offices.

This report on the implementation status of audit recommendations is for the period April 1, 2010 through June 30, 2010 (the "Reporting Period"). As shown in Exhibit 1, as of March 31, 2010 there were seven (7) recommendations that were not yet fully implemented, consisting of five (5) that were In-Process and two (2) that were Partially Implemented. Since then, none of these recommendations have been fully implemented. As of June 30, 2010, seven (7) remain in various stages of implementation, consisting of five (5) that are In-Process and two (2) that are Partially Implemented.

During the Reporting Period, seven (7) recommendations were added from two (2) newly issued reports. As of June 30, 2010, six (6) of these recommendations have been fully implemented. In total from all reports, there are currently eight (8) recommendations that are In-Process of being implemented or have been Partially Implemented as of June 30, 2010.

There were no recommendations changed to the "No Longer Applicable" status during the current Reporting Period. The "No Longer Applicable" category includes items where conditions have changed subsequent to issuance of the audit report that rendered the recommendation no longer relevant, such as:

- Alternative compensating controls have been put in place.
- A decision was made to implement a new system that will address the issue making it impractical to retrofit the existing system.
- The policy, statute, or rule has changed.
- Change in strategic direction.

No recommendations fell into the "Not Implemented" category for the current and the previous report.

Following is a brief description of the attached exhibits:

- Exhibit 1: This Exhibit displays a summary of recommendation statuses for all audit reports with recommendations in process of implementation. Exhibit 1 also shows the changes in the status of recommendations from the beginning of the period to the end of the period.
- Exhibit 2: This Exhibit shows a summary of the changes in the status of recommendations by each audit report. Exhibit 2 shows only those audit reports that contained one or more recommendations that had not been fully implemented at the beginning of the reporting period.
- Exhibit 3: This exhibit displays detail information regarding the status of each audit recommendation. This includes the status of the recommendation for the prior reporting period and the status at the end of the current period. The comment column provides narrative information regarding implementation progress.
- **Exhibit 4**: This exhibit is a report printed directly from our Access database that contains additional information.

EXHIBIT 1Summary of Recommendations Status

As of June 30, 2010

	In	Partially	
Prior Period Reports	Process	Implemented	Total
Status Prior Period (March 31, 2010)	5	2	7
Implemented or Partially Implemented During Period			
Remaining Recommendations to be Fully Implemented	5	2	7
New Recommendations*	7	-	7
Implemented or Partially Implemented	(6)		(6)
Remaining Recommendations to be Fully Implemented	1		1
Current Status			
Remaining Recommendations to be Fully Implemented	6	2	8

^{*} Initial Status is set as "In-Process"

EXHIBIT 2
Audit Reports With Implementation of Recommendations in Progress
As of June 30, 2010

Audit		No. of		In	Partially	No Longer		1
No.	Audit Title	Recs		Process	Implemented	Applicable	Implemented	i
	Recommedations - Prior Period Reports							
06-19	Audit of the KRR Restoration Project In-		Prior Period Status	1	1	0	8	ے
	Kind Credit Request Process	10	Change in Status	0	0	0	0	Open
			Current Period Status	1	1	0	8	Ľ
07-36	Audit of the Information Technology		Prior Period Status	1	0	0	1	_
	Department	2	Change in Status	0	0	0	0	Open
			Current Period Status	1	0	0	1	Ľ
08-09	Review of Internal Controls Over Fuel		Initial Status	0	1	0	4	Γ <u>-</u>
	Inventory	5	Change in Status	0	0	0	0	Open
			Current Period Status	0	1	0	4	J
08-12	Review of the GEPS Services Contracts		Prior Period Status	1	0	0	2	Γ
		3	Change in Status	0	0	0	0	Open
			Current Period Status	1	0	0	2	٥
09-02	Audit of Compliance with Lake Okeechobee		Initial Status	2	0	0	0	Ĺ
	Protection Act	2	Change in Status	0	0	0	0	Open
			Current Period Status	2	0	0	0	0
	Recommendation - Report Issued During							
	Current Period							1
09-07	Audit of SCADA Impelmentation and		Initial Status	6	0	0	0	Ę
	Operations	6	Change in Status	-5	0	0	5	Open
			Current Period Status	1	0	0	5	٥
09-20	Audit of FY 2009 Mid-Year Annual Work		Initial Status	1	0	0	0	ete
	Plan Reporting	1	Change in Status	-1	0	0	1	Complete
			Current Period Status	0	0	0	1	S
	Recommendations - All Reports							Γ
			Prior/Initial Status	12	2	0	15	1
	TOTAL	29	Change in Status	-6	0	0	6	1
			Status Current Period	6	2	0	21	
	Number of Recommendations Remaining to Be Fully Implemented	8		6	2			

Prior Period = As of March 31, 2010

EXHIBIT 3

Detail of In-Process and Partially Implemented Audit Recommendations As of June 30, 2010

Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current	Due	Date		Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Original	Revised	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
06-19	5	Audit of the KRR Restoration Project In-Kind Credit Request Process	In Process	In Process	12/31/2007	9/30/2010	Determine the amount of unclaimed expenses incurred for environmental assessments and submit a claim for these expenses as construction costs.	by a separate Project Cooperative Agreement, which outlines cost-sharing	Expenses were submitted in February through 2008. Presently staff is working with the USACE on questions resulting from these submittals. Finance has just completed 2009 internal audit and these expenses will be submitted in the next few months. Recognizing the FY 11 budget process in now underway, completion will take an additional 6 months.
06-19	10	Audit of the KRR Restoration Project In-Kind Credit Request Process	Partially Implemented	Partially Implemented	12/31/2007	3/31/2010	Reconcile total expenditures charged to the KRR program per the District's financial system ("F" program code) to total expenditures claimed for in-kind credit (or will be claimed in the future under the established process.)	expenditures.	Expenses were submitted in February through 2008. Presently staff is working with the USACE on questions resulting from these submittals. Finance has just completed 2009 internal audit and these expenses will be submitted in the next few months. Recognizing the FY 11 budget process in now underway, completion will take an additional 6 months to answer questions and submit 2009 expenses.
07-36	1	Audit of the Information Technology Department	In Process	In Process	10/30/2009		Consider hiring full time employees for IT positions considered permanent and ongoing.	We agree that the addition of the 39 FTEs to cover core functions that are currently performed by contractors would result in a savings of approximately \$2.6 million dollars per year on an ongoing basis. We would prefer to have FTEs performing these core functions because we believe our staffing model would be more stable. We also recognize that there may be limitations to the number of FTEs that can be added at this time.	The IT Department agrees with this recommendation; however, the Executive Office is in discussion regarding the feasibility of implementing this recommendation and they have taken the lead for this recommendation.

Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current	Due	Date		Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Original	Revised	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
08-09		Review of Internal Controls Over Fuel Inventory	Partially Implemented	Partially Implemented	9/30/2009	9/30/2010	Implement physical security measures that were identified by Emergency/Security Management.		The fencing and lighting repairs and/or new installation have been done with in all of the Field Stations that were identified by the Emergency Security Management. The exceptions are 5 sites that are in the Okeechobee area and this is because there is additional construction work that needs to be done and the fencing and lighting was added to the construction project. If we were to put up the fencing and lighting it would need to be removed and reinstalled due to the additional work being done at the sites. The FY11 budget includes \$200,000 for ongoing security upgrades and will be concentrating on completing work at those five Okeechobee sites (S-127, 129, 131, 133, 135), where paving work was not yet completed.
08-12	3	Review of the GEPS Services Contracts (2008)	In Process	In Process	11/1/2010	Determine	Consider seeking authorization for additional staff positions in order to replace higher cost contractor workers, that are performing ongoing activities, with employees.	recommendation; however, the addition of	Procurement agrees with this recommendation; however, the Executive Office is in discussions regarding the feasibility of implementing this recommendation and they have taken the lead for this recommendation.
09-02		Audit of Compliance with Lake Okeechobee Protection Act	In Process	In Process	1/31/2011		an updated Lake Okeechobee Protection Plan Evaluation Report for 2010 may not be necessary. If it is determined that a	The amendments to the 2007 legislature created the Northern Everglades Protection Program which required an update to the Lake Okeechobee plan in 2008. Recognizing the report is due tri-annually, staff is working on the 2011 Update for the Northern Everglades and Estuaries Protection Plan.	On Schedule

Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current	Due	Date		Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Original	Revised	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
09-02	2	Audit of Compliance with Lake Okeechobee Protection Act	In Process	In Process	1/31/2011	1/31/2011	Alert senior management about the potential issues that could delay reducing the phosphorus load to 140 metric tons per year prior to the January 1, 2015 legislative mandate.	This action is in progress	On Schedule
09-07	1	Audit of SCADA Implementation and Operations	In Process	In Process	3/31/2011	3/31/2011	Consider hiring full time employees to perform SCADA work that is considered permanent and ongoing.	Management concurs with recommendation. SCADA staff developed a staffing analysis that showed a need for 25 FTEs to replace site installation contractors with a cost savings of \$1 million per year once implemented. The FY09 budget included authorization to hire 10 staff to replace contractors. This was part one of a multi-year proposed replacement of contractors with FTEs for long-term ongoing core work efforts. No new positions were included in the FY10 budget. Staff is reviewing the contractor transition plan and will propose additional FTEs in FY11. Until the transition is complete, the District will have to continue to rely upon some level of contracting to provide SCADA installation and maintenance/repair services.	O&M has requested (and the Governing Board is considering) an additional 10 new positions in FY11 to continue internalizing currently contracted SCADA maintenance.
09-07	2	Audit of SCADA Implementation and Operations	In Process	Implemented	9/30/2010	9/30/2010	Allow all approved contractors the opportunity to bid on each work order, and select the lowest bidder to ensure the best possible price is obtained.	Management concurs with recommendation. Operations Control and Hydro Data Management Department will work with the Procurement Department to develop a procedure where all contractors are invited to meet with staff at sites where installation or maintenance work will be available and submit bids for each work order. Management anticipates that implementing this process may reduce the contracted cost due to additional competition. It could also provide the additional benefit of reducing the amount of time required if the selected contractor cannot perform the work, because we will already have bids from other vendors and the opportunity to go to the next lowest bidder.	Procurement has implemented this process, which was communicated to all vendors at a meeting on 06/08/2010 with Procurement and SCADA staff.

Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current	Due	Date		Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Original	Revised	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
09-07	3	Audit of SCADA Implementation and Operations	In Process	Implemented	9/30/2010	9/30/2010	completed since the District's conversion to SAP to determine whether or not they were properly capitalized, and make corrections where necessary. In addition, written procedures for creating SAP Internal Orders and Asset Master Records should be communicated to appropriate parties to ensure future project costs are capitalized and not expensed.	Management agrees that new SCADA site installations should be captured and capitalized as part of the District's fixed asset records. Internal orders have been created (order type ZAUC) in order to capture and capitalize new SCADA site installations. District standards for creating SAP internal orders and asset master records are being incorporated into O&M's standard operating procedures and work process flows for new SCADA site installations, which includes a step to request an internal order for each new site to be installed. However, management does not believe that there is a benefit to be derived from going back and posting adjustments to capitalize equipment costs that have been expensed in a prior year because 1) the costs are immaterial to the financial statements and 2) not having this equipment as part of our fixed asset records will in no way impede our ability to track and control this equipment because it is currently in service and collecting data.	All new installations in FY10 are captured utilizing a new ZAUC internal order code. A matrix (attached) was also developed to guide use of internal orders versus work orders for all future work.
09-07	5	Audit of SCADA Implementation and Operations	In Process	Implemented	9/30/2010	9/30/2010	Purchase Requisition number for the installation contract, to ensure the location of the parts is able to	Management concurs with the recommendation. By including the practice of creating a separate internal order for each new site installation in the revised SCADA work flow process for new site installations, the costs of both the parts and the service contract will be captured via that site internal order.	SCADA is utilizing an updated process that incorporates this practice.

Audit	Rec		Status Prior	Status Current	Due	Date		Management	Current Period Comments
No.	No.	Audit Title	Report	Report	Original	Revised	Recommendation	Response	Regarding Status
09-07		Audit of SCADA Implementation and Operations	In Process	Implemented	9/30/2010	9/30/2010	persons authorized to receive parts and materials released from the warehouse.	Management concurs with the recommendation to set formal controls for who can receive District parts or materials. Staff will work with Procurement to add to each purchase/work order the name of the person or persons authorized to receive parts or materials on behalf of the contractor for that specific work order. Warehouse staff will release parts or materials to only those persons designated by the vendor.	Language requiring list of authorized persons has been added to PO boilerplate and contractors have been notified per the Deputy Department Director.
09-20		Audit of FY 2009 Mid-Year Annual Work Plan Reporting	In Process	Implemented	5/31/2010	5/31/2010	reported accurately to the Budget Division. Further, ensure that changes to project tasks are	Management agreed with the audit finding. It appears that the Annual Work Plan Change Control Document was not updated with the new end date of 4th Quarter. Of the 299 Change Control Requests managed during FY 2009, this one was not represented in the Annual Work Plan and a quality control process activity will be developed to ensure that this does not occur again.	

Exhibit 4 Status of Recommendations Not Fully Implemented

Auc	dit No.	Audit Name			
	Recor	nmendation		Current Status	Auditor's Comment
0	6-19	Audit of the KR	R Restoration Proje	ct In-Kind Credit Reque	est Process
#5	incurre submit		unclaimed expenses al assessments and expenses as	In Process	Expenses were submitted in February through 2008. Presently staff is working with the USACE on questions resulting from these submittals. Finance has just completed 2009 internal audit and these expenses will be submitted in the next few months. Recognizing the FY 11 budget process in now underway, completion will take an additional 6 months.
	Origina	al Due Date:	12/31/2007		Auditor Update: 7/28/2010
	Revise	d Due Date:	9/30/2010		
#10	KRR p system expend will be	cile total expenditurogram per the Dis ("F" program coditures claimed for claimed in the fut shed process.)	le) to total in-kind credit (or	Partially Implemented	Expenses were submitted in February through 2008. Presently staff is working with the USACE on questions resulting from these submittals. Finance has just completed 2009 internal audit and these expenses will be submitted in the next few months. Recognizing the FY 11 budget process in now underway, completion will take an additional 6 months to answer questions and submit 2009 expenses.
	Origina	al Due Date:	12/31/2007		Auditor Update: 7/28/2010
	_	d Due Date:	9/30/2010		•
0'	7-36	Audit of the Infe	ormation Technolog	y Department	
#1		er hiring full time ns considered perr	employees for IT nanent and ongoing.	In Process	The IT Department agrees with this recommendation; however, the Executive Office is in discussion regarding the feasibility of implementing this recommendation and they have taken the lead for this recommendation.
	Origina	al Due Date:	10/30/2009		Auditor Update: 7/28/2010
	Revise	d Due Date:	10/1/2010		
0	8-09	Review of Inter	nal Controls Over F	uel Inventory	
#2		nent physical secur lentified by Emerg ement.		Partially Implemented	The fencing and lighting repairs and/or new installation have been done with in all of the Field Stations that were identified by the Emergency Security Management. The exceptions are 5 sites that are in the Okeechobee area and this is because there is

additional construction work that needs to be done and the fencing and lighting was added to the construction project. If we were to put up the fencing and lighting it would need to be removed and reinstalled due to the additional work being done at the sites. The FY11 budget

Auc	dit No.	Audit Name	е			
	Recon	nmendation		Current Status	Auditor's Com	ment
					upgrades and will completing work a	for ongoing security be concentrating on t those five Okeechobee sites 133, 135), where paving completed.
	Origina	al Due Date:	9/30/2009		Auditor Update:	7/28/2010
	Revise	d Due Date:	9/30/2011			
08	8-12	Review of the	GEPS Services Contr	racts		
#3	staff po	sitions in order	orization for additional to replace higher cost at are performing on- employees.	In Process	however, the Exec regarding the feasi	s with this recommendation; utive Office is in discussions bility of implementing this nd they have taken the lead dation.
	Origina	al Due Date:	1/1/2010		Auditor Update:	7/28/2010
	Revise	d Due Date:	9/30/2010			
09	9-02	Audit of Com	pliance with Lake Ok	eechobee Protection Act		
#1	Lake C Report determ necessa legislat Okeech	Neechobee Prot for 2010 may nationed that a reeven for then request ture not to subm	approval from the		On Schedule	
	Origina	al Due Date:	1/31/2011		Auditor Update:	7/28/2010
	Revise	d Due Date:	1/31/2011			
#2	Alert senior management about the potential issues that could delay reducing the phosphorus load to 140 metric tons per year prior to the January 1, 2015 legislative mandate.			In Process	On Schedule	
	Origina	al Due Date:	1/31/2011		Auditor Update:	7/28/2010
	Revise	d Due Date:	1/31/2011			
09	9-07	Audit of SCA	DA Implementation a	nd Operations		
#1	Consid	er hiring full tir	ne employees to	In Process	is considering) an	d (and the Governing Board additional 10 new positions to internalizing currently A maintenance.
	Origina	al Due Date:	3/31/2011		Auditor Update:	7/28/2010

Revised Due Date:

3/31/2011