

Green Heart of the Everglades Interim Management Plan





Table of Contents

FIGU	JRES	ii
MA	PS	ii
ТАВ	LES	ii
1.	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.	INTRODUCTION	1
	2.1. Acquisition Purpose and Significance of the Area 2.2. Legal Requirements of Management	4 4
3.	Management Area Goals and Objectives	5
	Goal 1: Designate the land use and resource managers for the property Goal 2: Maintain, improve, or restore floral and faunal resources. Goal 3: Manage nuisance and invasive species to minimize their negative impacts on natural communities. Goal 4: Protect, enhance, and restore historic hydrological regime. Goal 5: Provide nature-based public use opportunities. Goal 6: Maintain and improve facilities and infrastructure. Goal 7: Protect existing cultural resources.	
4.	Area History	8
5.	Resource Description and Assessment	10
	 5.1 Physiograph 5.2 Vegetation 5.3 Wildlife 5.4 Cultural Resources 	10 20 22 25
6.	Public Use	25
7.	Natural Resource Management	30
8.	 7.1 Fire 7.2 Control of nuisance and invasive plant species 7.3 Wildlife Management 7.4 Mechanical Vegetation Control 7.5 Hydrologic and Habitat Restoration Administration 	30 31 33 34 34 34 34
	8.1. Planning and Budgeting 8.2 Personnel and Equipment	34 35 35
Refe	erences	

FIGURES

MAPS

Map 1. GHOTE location map	
Map 2. GHOTE Components	
Map 3. Regional topography.	
Map 4. GHOTE major geomorphic features.	
Map 5. GHOTE NRCS soil classifications.	
Map 6. Regional basin watersheds and subbasins.	
Map 7. Regional hydrography.	
Map 8. Location of water inflows to the GHOTE	
Map 9. Plant communities in the GHOTE.	
Map 10. Panther telemetry within the GHOTE	
Map 11. Location of nearby public access	
Map 12. GHOTE land use units.	

TABLES

Table 1. Listed plant species on the GHOTE and status. ^a	22
Table 2. Listed wildlife species utilizing the GHOTE and status. ^a	23
Table 3. Land Stewardship Section invasive species control priority species.	32

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A. GHOTE Area History	A-1
APPENDIX B. GHOTE Natural Communities	B-1
APPENDIX C. GHOTE Species List	C-1

ABBREVIATIONS

CARL	Conservation and Recreation Lands
District	South Florida Water Management District
FDHR	Florida Division of Historical Resources
FE	Federally-designated Endangered
FFS	Florida Forest Service
FISC	Florida Invasive Species Council
FNAI	Florida Natural Areas Inventory
FT	Federally-designated Threatened
FT(S/A)	Federally-designated Threatened because of similarity of appearance
FWC	Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission
ST	State-designated Threatened
TIITF	Board of Trustees of the Internal Improvement Trust Fund
USACE	United States Army Corps of Engineers
USDA	United States Department of Agriculture
USFWS	United States Fish and Wildlife Service

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2023, the South Florida Water Management District (District) was authorized to use funds from the General Appropriations Act, §197 of Chapter 2022-156, Laws of Florida (House Bill 5001) to acquire environmentally sensitive lands to conserve and protect water resources in the region. The District used these funds to acquire 11,387 acres in Collier County, known as the Green Heart of the Everglades (GHOTE), in fee title interest. A total of 6,839 acres within GHOTE will be managed and known as the Green Heart of the Everglades Management Area (GHOTE MA).

Pursuant to §373.1391, Florida Statutes, the District is charged with the responsibility of managing these lands. This Interim Land Management Plan guides the management of the GHOTE property through the 5-year period of 2024-2029 and was developed through stakeholder participation and approved by the District's Governing Board, as directed by §373.139, Florida Statutes. Goals identified for the next 5 years include establishing the Management Area, developing a General Management Plan to replace the Interim Land Management Plan, identifying resource-based public use opportunities, and providing security and resource protection.

2. INTRODUCTION

The GHOTE is located west of State Road 29 (S.R. 29) in Collier County, Florida. It is bisected by U.S. Highway 41 (**Map 1**). The property is surrounded by the Fakahatchee Strand Preserve State Park to the west, Big Cypress National Preserve to the east, Everglades National Park and Everglades City to the southeast, and Ten Thousand Islands to the south. The GHOTE is divided into (2) separate areas for management purposes: Parcels 1 and 2 (**Map 2**).

Parcel 1 encompasses 4,548 acres and is located at the northwestern intersection of U.S. 41 and S.R. 29. It is adjoined to the eastern boundary of the Fakahatchee Strand Preserve State Park and bisected from Big Cypress National Preserve by S.R. 29. Located within this parcel are several historic sites including the Carnestown industrial site, Copeland Market, C.J. Jones lumber treatment facility with its associated residential and packing house structures, and a cattle dip vat site.

Parcel 2 encompasses the GHOTE MA (approximately 6,839 acres) and is located at the southwestern intersection of U.S. 41 and S.R. 29. It is bordered by the Fakahatchee Strand Preserve State Park to the west, Big Cypress National Preserve to the east, Everglades City and Everglades National Park to the southeast, and Ten Thousand Islands to the south. This parcel contains an airboat tour facility, which leases approximately 850 square feet for a building, a dock, and an associated paved parking lot. The airboat facility also maintains and operates above-ground storage tanks to store fuel for the airboat operation.



Map 1. GHOTE location map.



Map 2. GHOTE Components.

2.1. Acquisition Purpose and Significance of the Area

The District purchased the GHOTE property to conserve and protect its unique habitat for the native or endangered and threatened species utilizing the region. The GHOTE property is the last remaining sizeable vacant land separating the Fakahatchee Strand Preserve State Park from the Big Cypress National Preserve and Everglades National Park. The acquisition of the GHOTE property supports the Florida Forever Act (§259.105(4), Florida Statutes), specifically the goals outlined §259.105(4)(a)(3), 259.105(4)(c)(1), 259.105(4)(c)(4),259.105(4)(c)(6), in 259.105(4)(d)(2), 259.105(4)(e)(1), 259.105(4)(e)(2), and 259.105(4)(e)(3), Florida Statutes. WildLandscapes International, a Florida-based non-profit organization dedicated to working with diverse stakeholders and communities to conserve large, connected landscapes, entered into an agreement with the Collier companies to bring the GHOTE lands under public ownership to connect the surrounding state and federal conservation lands. This initiative by WildLandscapes to bring the landowners together under one agreement helped maximize transactional efficiency. It ensured a comprehensive closing, allowing the District to acquire and get these lands under public ownership. The purchase of the GHOTE also includes the mineral rights, which permanently precludes the property's oil, gas, and mineral exploration and extraction. Without conservation, this property would be subject to development.

The acquisition of the GHOTE property increases the acreage of conservation lands in the area, securing a critical corridor connecting adjacent conservation lands. Preserving the GHOTE in its current state supports a variety of rare and endangered species, including the Florida panther. The estuarine ecosystem of the Ten Thousand Islands area links the hydrology of the GHOTE and the Everglades. Presently, sheetflow in the watershed basin is diverted to the Barron River Canal, which channels most of the runoff that historically entered the GHOTE to Chokoloskee Bay. The Everglades and Ten Thousand Islands areas are prime examples of areas that experience nutrient-laden point source runoff and rapid drying out of the natural areas. Because of its capacity to redirect flows and disperse stormwater runoff, the GHOTE property provides a unique opportunity to improve hydrologic conditions for the natural areas to the south and east of the GHOTE property, including improving the beneficial flows to the estuarine ecosystem of the Ten Thousand Islands area to make the ecosystem more resilient. Diverting flows to the GHOTE property could enhance the water quality conditions downstream in the Ten Thousand Islands areas by reducing freshwater flows into Chokoloskee Bay. The potential for water quality improvements in the region also aligns with the goals of other Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Projects.

2.2. Legal Requirements of Management

Section 373.1391, Florida Statutes, requires the District to manage lands in such a way that maintains a balance between resource conservation and public recreational use. Any lease agreements shall be consistent with the purpose for which the lands were acquired in accordance with §373.093, Florida Statutes, and shall follow best management practices.

The Florida Aquatic Preserve Act was enacted by the Florida Legislature in 1975 and is codified in Chapter 258, Florida Statutes. The legislative intent for establishing aquatic preserves is to set aside state-owned submerged lands in areas with exceptional biological, aesthetic, and scientific value as aquatic preserves or sanctuaries for the benefit of future generations. The

Legislature provides a foundation for managing and preserving the natural conditions of areas designated as aquatic preserves.

The District has partnered with the Florida Fish and Wildlife Commission (FWC) to establish District properties as a Public Small Game Hunting Area (PSGHA) through their authority under Chapter 379, Florida Statutes. This partnership allows the FWC to promulgate rules under Chapter 68, Florida Administrative Code, in coordination with the District, to regulate public use on that portion of the property.

Public use on District lands is also governed by Chapter 40E-7, Florida Administrative Code, which allows the District to establish regulations governing public access and use. These regulations ensure that District lands are used for appropriate nature-based recreation and purposes that align with District objectives. As its steward, the Land Stewardship Section is responsible for protecting, enhancing, and restoring District lands for the benefit and enjoyment of existing and future generations.

The determination of compatible public uses on District lands is based on the following criteria:

- Consistency with the acquisition purposes, including protecting natural ecosystems.
- Restrictions by easements, leases, reservations, adjacent land ownership, or conditions of the purchase agreement.
- Existing infrastructure and facilities, including fences, gates, signage, access, trails, campsites, etc.
- Available funding.
- Limitations on use resulting from endangered species and sensitive natural or archeological resources.
- Public health, safety, and welfare.

3. MANAGEMENT AREA GOALS AND OBJECTIVES

The GHOTE primary functions and management priorities for 2024 - 2029 are outlined in the following goals and objectives:

Management of Wildlife and Habitats

Goal 1: Designate the land use and resource managers for the property.

Objectives:

• Consistent with the optimum boundary of Fakahatchee Strand Preserve State Park, transfer the fee title interest for Parcel 1 to the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) for incorporation into the Park.

- Designate Parcel 2 as the GHOTE MA to allow compatible resource-based recreation and educational opportunities on the property.
- Authorize FWC to establish the GHOTE MA as PSGHA.

Goal 2: Maintain, improve, or restore floral and faunal resources.

Objectives:

- Assess the condition of the natural communities and develop a management strategy to enhance, manage, and restore the natural communities to their historic plant cover types.
- Implement a prescribed fire program and establish fire return intervals to maintain the marsh community within appropriate fire return intervals.
- Continue to collect opportunistic data on the occurrence of wildlife species.
- Identify habitat and wildlife monitoring needs and establish monitoring protocols for the GHOTE MA.

Nuisance and Invasive Species Management

Goal 3: Manage nuisance and invasive species to minimize their negative impacts on natural communities.

Objectives:

- Implement an integrated vegetation management program to control nuisance and invasive vegetation and systematically improve the natural communities on the property.
- Implement an Early Detection Rapid Response treatments of invasive plant species, as needed.
- Participate in local Cooperative Invasive Species Management Areas.
- Coordinate with FWC, adjacent park managers, and the District's Python Elimination Program to help control the spread of pythons on the property.
- Secure land management and maintenance budgets annually to implement the vegetation management program.
- Secure operational and capital improvement budgets to maintain staff, equipment, and supply resources necessary to attain a level of responsible management as outlined in this Interim Management Plan.

Hydrological Management

Goal 4: Protect, enhance, and restore historic hydrological regime.

<u>Objectives:</u>

• Coordinate with regional partners on ongoing hydrologic improvement projects in the area.

• Investigate options to improve the water quality, quantity, and distribution of sheetflow discharging onto the GHOTE MA.

Public Access and Recreational Opportunities

Goal 5: Provide nature-based public use opportunities.

Objectives:

- Interim activities will include nature-based recreation consistent with the interim land designation as described and allowed in accordance with Chapter 40E-7, Florida Administrative Code,
- Establish regulations governing public access in accordance with Chapter 40E-7, Florida Administrative Code.
- Coordinate with FWC to establish hunting regulations for the PSGHA.
- Secure operational and capital improvement budgets for the infrastructure needed to provide public access to the property.
- Identify partners and funding sources to assist with developing recreational access and opportunities on the property.
- Incorporate resource protection, public education, and recreational opportunities.
- Provide public outreach and education through area brochures, websites, and presentations at the District's Recreational Forum meetings.
- Utilize volunteer and alternative workforce opportunities within the property.
- Periodically inspect recreation facilities and repair or replace posted signage as needed.
- Assess the effect of recreational uses on the natural communities.
- Execute lease agreements compatible with the property.

Operation and Maintenance of Capital Facilities and Infrastructure

Goal 6: Maintain and improve facilities and infrastructure.

Objectives:

- Secure operational and capital improvement budgets to create public use facilities (e.g., signs, boat ramps, parking areas, structures, etc.).
- Seek partnership and grant funding opportunities to maximize the capital improvement budget for creating public use facilities in the GHOTE MA.
- Maintain and manage the management area boundaries through posting and fencing.
- Complete an inventory of existing assets on the property to identify and quantify the infrastructure, including miles of roads and trails, number and type of boat ramps, culverts

and water control structures (number, type, size, and location), facilities (number, type, and location of buildings, sheds, etc.), fences (length, type, and location), etc.

• Manage the asset inventory through the District's SAP System Database and develop a planning tool to track maintenance schedules, maintenance costs, and asset replacements/additions.

Cultural Resource Management

Goal 7: Protect existing cultural resources.

Objectives:

- Provide resource protection through partnerships with FWC and local law enforcement.
- Coordinate with the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR) to determine the need for additional cultural resource surveys and ensure the findings are recorded in the FDHR Master Site file.
- Monitor, protect, and preserve all known/identified sites.
- Ensure at least 1 member of staff has attended the FDHR cultural resource training program.

4. AREA HISTORY

Since the late 1800s, the GHOTE area has consisted of agricultural, residential, industrial, and undeveloped natural land. Agriculture activities along the western banks of the Barron River included crops such as sugar cane, banana, avocado, date palms, cucumbers, eggplant, and tomatoes. Traces of the sugar cane and avocado farms are also noticeable on the southernmost end of the GHOTE.

In 1911, Barron Gift Collier, a wealthy streetcar advertising mogul and entrepreneur, visited Useppa Island in southwest Florida and purchased the property as a winter home. His interest in southwest Florida grew swiftly after his first acquisition. He began to acquire land in what was then southern Lee County, eventually acquiring about 1.3 million acres for his operations.

In 1921, Collier purchased the Deep Lake Grove and Railroad in Deep Lake, north of presentday Everglades City. He later purchased additional land south of Deep Lake and around Halfway Creek to expand his railroad for transporting agricultural freight. Collier acquired the Village of Everglade, including the GHOTE property, in 1922. At the time, the town consisted of a few houses on a narrow strip of land along the Allen River, currently the Barron River, the Rod and Gun Club on the original site of the Allen Home, a complex of warehouses, and a store. At that time, the surroundings comprised pine, cypress, marsh, and extensive swamp land. Towns such as Immokalee, Naples, Marco, Caxambas, Chokoloskee, Deep Lake, and Everglades were all small settlements separated by miles of inaccessible terrain. Collier successfully lobbied the 1923 Legislature to separate his inholdings from Lee County and establish a new county bearing his name. In return, Collier promised to provide drainage, establish roads connecting the widely dispersed towns, and complete the final and most challenging stretch of the Tamiami Trail (a.k.a. U.S. 41) that would connect Tampa to Miami. Collier also planned to construct a road connecting Immokalee with the Village of Everglade and lobbied to get the Atlantic Coast Line to extend rail service from Immokalee south. Collier County was established in 1923. The Village of Everglade, later called the Town of Everglades, and presently known as Everglades City, became the county seat.

Collier assembled engineers and architects to begin planning the development of Everglades City. The development plan included the residential community and headquarters for the Collier companies within Everglades City and the industrial center north of the City within Port Dupont. Several houses, a school, and later the Bank of Everglades, Everglades Inn, and Juliet Memorial Hospital were constructed in the residential center between 1922 and 1926. Collier also brought a trolley, electricity, a library, movie theatres, and a three-story department store to the City. The first sawmill facility was built in Port DuPont in 1922 before the designation of the town as the county seat. He later added a foundry and machine shops to support the industrial center. During the construction of the Tamiami Trail, the industrial center was moved south from Port DuPont to Carnestown. Collier dredged a canal and built a road from Port DuPont to Carnestown. He moved barges, machinery, and other equipment used in the construction of the Tamiami Trail from Port DuPont to Carnestown, where a new work camp with a warehouse, foundry, and machine shops was established. A series of canals along the north side of Tamiami Trail, visible in the 1940 aerial photograph, is thought to have been utilized for fill material to build roads. For a brief period, Carnestown was home to the largest warehouse on the southwest coast of Florida and was the center of construction activities. After the Tamiami Trail was completed in 1928, Carnestown was demolished, and associated machinery and housing were relocated to other areas of development.

Around the same time Tamiami Trail was completed, Collier pushed the construction of S.R. 29, connecting Everglades City to Immokalee. He also pushed for the extension of the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad. The dredge material from the Barron River Canal served as fill material for constructing the roadway and railway grade. In 1928, the Atlantic Coast Line Railroad began service to the Everglades City, the southernmost point the Coast Line ever reached. The railroad service was provided by extending the Atlantic Coast Line's Haines City branch from Immokalee to Deep Lake, where it connected to Collier's Deep Lake Railroad. The railroad was later removed in 1957.

In 1953, the State legislature authorized a new town charter establishing the Town of Everglades as the City of Everglades. Afterward, the Collier companies began selling its properties to the community. In 1960, Hurricane Donna's strong winds and coastal flooding destroyed hundreds of homes in Collier County and hit Everglades City. In November of 1960, the Collier Corporation relocated its offices to Naples. Two years later, the Bank of Everglades moved to Immokalee. Florida's legislature also moved the Collier County seat to East Naples, Florida. While the population of the City of Everglades declined, the community held on. In 1965, the area was renamed Everglades City, and fishing and tourism became the City's major economic factors.

Commercial fishing, independent of the Collier operations, had been ongoing throughout the area's history. Fisherman would sell their catch to local packing houses, which shipped to northern markets. In the 1950s, fishermen from North Carolina arrived in the area to work in the shrimping industry and later expanded into stone crabbing. As the fishing industry grew, the condition of the

Everglades ecosystem and its adjoining bays were declining. The continued degradation of the Everglades ecosystem led to the eventual closure of the Everglades National Park to commercial fishermen. Fishing is still a tourist attraction for Everglades City; however, the ban on commercial fishing shifted the local economy to mainly ecotourism. The locals began to run airboat tours, and air boating began developing into the popular Tamiami Trail tourist attraction that it is today. Several businesses along the Tamiami Trail now profit from taking tourists into the Everglades on airboats. Presently, several independently operated commercial airboat businesses utilize areas within the GHOTE.

A more in-depth discussion regarding historical land uses in the region before and during the 20th century is in **Appendix A**.

5. **RESOURCE DESCRIPTION AND ASSESSMENT**

Inventories of natural and historic resources are conducted to provide information for effective land management planning, natural community maintenance, and ecological restoration. Floral and faunal species are inventoried by Land Stewardship personnel, volunteers, or private contractors. The data helps District land managers with resource management planning.

5.1 Physiograph

Topography

Collier County's topography is very flat, with an average slope of one foot per mile. Collier County ranges from 3.3 feet relative to sea level in Everglades City to about 45 feet near Immokalee's high point. Tide levels in Collier County vary only 2-3 feet but can significantly influence the surface water flow by causing the freshwater/saltwater interface to extend miles inland.



Map 3. Regional topography.

Geology

The GHOTE is located within the Coastal Lowlands of the Coastal Plain physiographic province of the eastern United States. This physiography includes several geomorphic features including the Atlantic Coastal Ridge, Big Cypress Spur, Big Cypress Swamp, Cape Sabal, Caloosahatchee Valley, De Soto Plain, Eastern Valley, Everglades, Gulf Coastal Lowlands, Immokalee Rise, Mangrove and Coastal Glades, Osceola Plain, Sandy Flatlands, Southern Slope Southwestern Slope, and the Reticulate Coastal Swamps. The GHOTE lies with the geomorphic features of the Southwestern Slope and Reticulate Coast Swamp (Map 4).

The Southwestern Slope is bordered on the east by the Big Cypress Spur, on the northeast by the Immokalee Rise, and on the west and southwest by the Reticulate Coastal Swamps. Elevations in this area range from two feet above mean sea level (MSL) at the southern boundary, with the coastal swamps up to 25 feet above MSL where the Slope joins the Immokalee Rise. The Reticulate Coastal Swamps are intricately channeled coastal marshes and mangrove swamps that form the southwest coastline of Collier County. These lowlands are less than five feet above mean sea level and subject to tidal action. Most of the Southwestern Slope geomorphic feature is a thinly coated sand overlying an eroded Tamiami Formation limestone surface. The Reticulate Coastal Swamps consist of thin organic and mar1y soils overlying the Tamiami limestone.

Mineral soils covering the rock and marl formations primarily comprise marine sands deposited during the Pleistocene period. During this period, the sand on the Talbot and Pamlico terraces was deposited by high sea levels of the Sangamon and Peorian interglacial ages. Other sand, marl, and peat were deposited on top of some of the Pamlico sand at the end of the Wisconsin glacial stage of the Pleistocene series.

An extensive shallow aquifer underlies the Big Cypress Swamp and adjacent areas of southwest Florida. This aquifer is replenished primarily by infiltration from local rainfall and seepage from weir-operated drainage canals. The thickness of the aquifer is about 130 feet in western Collier County, where it consists of the Pamlico Sand and the Anastasia Formation of Pleistocene age and limestone of the Tamiami Formation of Miocene age. These formations are highly permeable in certain areas. Underlying the GHOTE is about 50 feet of the permeable shelly limestone of the unconfined aquifer. The shallow aquifer is a prime source of freshwater supplies in Collier County and adjoining parts of Lee and Hendry counties. However, it is not the direct source of municipal water supply due to its water quality and inadequate flows during the dry season. The shallow aquifer thins eastward and wedges near the Dade and Broward county boundary.



Map 4. GHOTE major geomorphic features.

Soils

A soil map encompassing the GHOTE (**Map 5**) was compiled using Collier County soil survey data from the U.S. Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS). The upland soils. comprised of St. Augustine organic substratum-urban land complex and Matlacha-Cypress lake-urban land complex, make up less than 1% of the underlying soils. The wetland soils, which encompass most of the soil profile, are dominated by Basinger fine sand, Cypress Lake-Riviera-Copeland fine sands, Durbin and Wulfert mucks tidal and urban complex, Estero and Peckish muck tidal, Jupiter-Boca complex, Hilolo Jupiter Jenada fine sands, Kesson muck, Ochopee fine sandy loam, and Pennsuco silt loam. NRCS updates its soil information periodically and is available through the NRCS Web Soil Survey.





Hydrology

The GHOTE is in the West Collier Drainage Area within the Big Cypress Basin watershed. The property boundaries fall within three interconnected sub-basins (**Map 6**): Fakahatchee, Barron River, and the Coastal Basins sub-basins. The Fakahatchee and Barron River sub-basins are generally unaffected by tidal fluctuations except during extreme storm tides such as those associated with hurricanes. The Coastal Basins sub-basin is tidally influenced.

Drainage in Collier County is determined by topographic configuration and canals. The general pattern is south and southwesterly toward the coast. Historically, freshwater meandered south as sheetflow through natural sloughs, wetlands, and rivers, ultimately reaching the Ten Thousand Islands estuary. The completion of the Tamiami Trail created a block to the historical drainage. The Tamiami Canal intercepted the flow, and the intermittent bridges and culverts conveying sheetflow under the road were not all placed consistently to mimic historic flow patterns. As a result, the hydrology of the downstream wetlands and estuaries became affected by the uneven water distribution and changes to the natural flow pattern. The construction of the Barron River Canal along S.R. 29 also plays a significant role in reducing historic sheetflow across the landscape. The Barron River Canal artificially increased the amount of water discharging to the Barron River, resulting in a sizeable single-point discharge of freshwater to Ten Thousand Islands' Chokoloskee Bay.

The Tamiami Trail Culverts Project, completed in 2006, was one of the critical projects authorized by Congress in 1996 under the Water Resources Development Acts (WRDA). The project envisioned constructing 77 culverts in 30 locations along the western portion of Tamiami Trail. The initial culvert installation occurred between County Road 92 and S.R. 29 to restore sheetflow to the Picayune Strand. The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) conducted a 4-year study (March 2006 through September 2010) to quantify the volume of freshwater flowing under the road in this area. The study area was divided into seven segments (sub-basins) demarcated by existing plugs in the Tamiami Canal on the north side of the road. The GHOTE boundaries extend within the easternmost two sub-basins of this study area. The study found that the Barron River Canal (Bridge 75), where flows bypass the GHOTE, accounted for 77-82% of the annual flow exiting via Barron River into the Ten Thousand Islands' Chokoloskee Bay during the study period. The remaining bridges and culverts with the potential for reaching the GHOTE in the Barron River section accounted only for 9-13% and 8-11% of the flow, respectively (Booth et al., 2014). One bridge (Bridge 73) contributed about 20% of the flow in the adjacent segment. In contrast, the remaining bridges and culverts contributed 3-8% and 1-3% of the total flow from the sub-basin, respectively (Booth et al., 2014).

Although the volume of freshwater entering the GHOTE has not been directly quantified, water quality deterioration due to salinity changes in the GHOTE is evident in the notable shifts in the vegetative communities from salt marsh to mangroves. Saltwater intrusion is a long-term threat to coastal wetland vegetation and coastal morphology worldwide. In 2006, USGS initiated an ongoing study to assess salinity patterns in the Ten Thousand Islands estuary. A report published in 2010 characterized the seasonal and spatial distribution of freshwater flow and salinity in the Ten Thousand Islands area during 2007–2009. The study identified the entrapment of high-salinity water within the shallow inner bays and noted hypersaline conditions throughout the study area. Monitoring data collected during the peak of the 2007 and 2008 dry seasons suggested that the

lack of rainfall and high evaporation rates within the shallow estuaries prompted hypersaline conditions in the bays and canals. For example, salinity maps produced during the peak of the dry season for each year (2007-2009) indicated that hypersaline conditions were occurring throughout the entire estuary, including Faka Union Bay and Barron River (Booth et al., 2014). The monitoring data suggested that except during the peak of the dry season, freshwater discharge from the Barron River Canal reduced salinities in Barron River and Chokoloskee Bay, showing a correlation between freshwater input and salinity gradient. A more recent report focused on a study area extending from Blackwater River to East Rivers analyzed monitoring data collected up to 2019 (Booth et al., 2021). The data from the Barron River station was not analyzed in the report; however, a similar condition is expected based on the persisting salinity trend noted in the report. This report inferred that the negative trend in salinity in the Faka Union and East Rivers stations likely correlated with the increasing trends in freshwater observed from Faka Union and East Rivers. This overall trend in salinity patterns supports the need to restore historic hydroperiods and sheetflow patterns to distribute freshwater discharge more evenly and prolong it in the inner bays of the Ten Thousand Islands estuary.

Tidal fluctuations influence the salt marsh system in the GHOTE MA, thus having higher soil salinity than the freshwater marsh community on the property. Alterations to the freshwater influx into the system are increasingly promoting the encroachment of more salt-tolerant species. As a result, mangroves are steadfastly migrating upstream into the traditional salt marsh system, particularly the northern portion of the GHOTE MA. Similar conditions reported in the Big Cypress National Preserve Hydrologic Restoration Management Plan Environmental Assessment (NPS, 2021) suggest a systematic problem within the Coastal Sub-Basin. Although sea level rise and high tide events associated with hurricanes play a role in the changes in mangrove coverage, the diversion of runoff into adjacent canals has exacerbated the encroachment problem, leaving the system unable to sustain its gradient of fresh, brackish, and salt-tolerant species assemblages. As mangroves continue to move upstream, they will displace the salt marsh communities. Without intervention, the mangrove system will eventually replace the remaining salt marsh community in the GHOTE. Although mangroves support a variety of wildlife, many species of waterbirds rely specifically on marshes as foraging habitat. Additional studies would be necessary to investigate further the spatial distribution of freshwater flow in this segment of the Tamiami Trail and identify available pathways for sheetflow restoration to restore the historic hydroperiods and sheetflow patterns in the GHOTE MA to the extent possible.







Map 7. Regional hydrography.



Map 8. Location of water inflows to the GHOTE

5.2 Vegetation

Nine distinct natural community types exist within the GHOTE. The District groups natural community types based on the descriptions contained in the Florida Natural Areas Inventory Classification System (FNAI 2010) and the Florida Land Cover Classification System (Kawula & Redner 2018). The GHOTE is dominated by a mixture of salt and freshwater wetlands. Salt-tolerant communities are predominantly found on the south side of GHOTE due to the U.S. 41 bisection. A detailed description of the natural communities is provided in Appendix B.



Natural plant Communities and Percent coverage in the GHOTE

Figure 1. Natural plant community types and percent coverage in the GHOTE.



Map 9. Plant communities in the GHOTE.

Listed Species

Listed species include those plants and animals identified as rare, threatened, or endangered by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (FWS), FWC, and the Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. Over 115 listed plant and animal species are recorded in the surroundings of the GHOTE. **Table 1** identifies those listed plant species known to occur within the GHOTE.

Common Name	Scientific Name	Status
Balbis' Airplant	Tillandsia balbisiana	ST
Big-Mouth Star Orchid	Epidendrum amphistomum	SE
Cardinal Airplant	Tillandsia fasciculata	SE
Giant Airplant	Tillandsia utriculata	SE
Leatherleaf Airplant	Tillandsia variabilis	ST
Mullein Nightshade	Solanum donianum	ST
Paurotis Palm	Acoelorraphe wrightii	ST
Royal Palm	Roystonea regia	SE
Simpson's Stopper	Myrcianthes fragrans	ST
Stiff Flower Star Orchid	Epidendrum rigidum	SE
Yellow Helmet Orchid	Polystachya concreta	SE

Table 1. Listed plant species on the GHOTE and status. ^a

Nuisance and Invasive Plant Species

The subtropical climate of South Florida offers an ideal environment for nuisance and invasive plants to spread rapidly and alter natural ecosystems. Among all the states, Florida is second only to Hawaii in the severity of threats posed by invasive nuisance and invasive species to native habitats and species. The South Florida Water Management District is committed to reducing the proliferation of nuisance and invasive species to protect the ecological values of its management areas (SFWMD, 2020). However, changes in the surrounding environment and past land use have disrupted the balance of the natural ecosystem within the GHOTE, which has led to the colonization of invasive plants. If proper land management is not implemented, the expansion of nuisance and invasive plant species will continue to displace native plants and reduce wildlife utilization.

5.3 Wildlife

The natural communities with GHOTE provide habitat for numerous other birds, reptiles, and mammal species. **Appendix C** includes a detailed list of reptiles, amphibians, mammals, birds, fish, and invertebrates utilizing the GHOTE.

Game species

Some District lands provide quality game species habitat and contain abundant white-tail deer, wild turkey, and small game populations. The District allows the public to utilize District lands for

game, small game, and spring turkey season hunts where appropriate.

Rare, Threatened and Endangered Listed Species

Several species that utilize GHOTE are listed federally or by the state, such as the Big Cypress Fox squirrel and Florida panther. Table 2 includes a list of listed species that utilize GHOTE.

Table 2. Liste	ed wildlife sp	ecies utilizing	the GHOTE a	ind status. ^a

Common Name	Scientific Name Status	1
Herps		
American Alligator	Alligator mississippiensis	FT Due to Similar Appearance to A. Crocodiles
American Crocodile	Crocodylus acutus	FT
Eastern Indigo Snake	Drymarchon couperi	FT
Mammals		
Big Cypress Fox Squirrel	Sciurus niger avicennia Neovison vison	ST
Everglades Mink	evergladensis	ST
Florida Bonneted Bat	Eumops floridanus	FE
Florida Panther	Puma concolor	FE
Sherman's Short-Tailed Shrew	Blarina shermani	ST
West Indian Manatee	Trichechus manatus	FT
Birds		
Crested Caracara	Caracara cheriway	FT
White-Crowned Pigeon	Columba leucocephala	ST
Little Blue Heron	Egretta caerulea	ST
Reddish Egret	Egretta rufescens	ST
Tricolored Heron	Egretta tricolor	ST
Florida Sandhill Crane	Grus canadensis pratensis	ST
American Oystercatcher	Haematopus palliatus	ST
Black Rail	Laterallus jamaicensis	FT
Wood Stork	Mycteria americana	FT
Red-Cockaded Woodpecker	Picoides borealis	FE
Roseate Spoonbill	Platalea ajaja	ST
Snail Kite	Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus	FE
Black Skimmer	Rynchops niger	ST
Least Tern	Sternula antillarum	ST
Smalltooth Sawfish	Pristis pectinata	FE



Map 10. Panther telemetry within the GHOTE.

5.4 Cultural Resources

Archaeological and historic resources are protected by site identification and inter-agency coordination with the Florida Division of Historical Resources (FDHR). The Florida Master Site File, maintained by the FDHR, was searched for archaeological and historical sites known to exist on the property. Three prehistoric sites found on the property have been recorded in the FDHR Master Site File. The potential for additional cultural sites and other archeological targets to be located within or in the vicinity of the property is likely but has not been thoroughly investigated. The District will coordinate with FDHR to determine the need for additional cultural resources assessment surveys and discuss additional findings in the General Management Plan.

The District is committed to protecting the integrity of the cultural resource sites within the GHOTE MA. The management goal for cultural resources within the GHOTE MA is to preserve sites and objects representing Florida's cultural periods. Land managers focus primarily on prohibiting ground-disturbing activities in and around these archaeological sites. Vegetation management and prescribed burning activities are carefully conducted to reduce impacts on these resources.

6. **PUBLIC USE**

§373.1391(1)(a), Florida Statutes, states that the District shall manage and maintain, to the extent practicable, lands acquired to ensure a balance between public access, general public recreation, and restoration and protection. The District encourages public use of management areas for appropriate natural resource-based activities. District lands are generally available for public use, except in instances where the public use would be incompatible with the purposes for which these lands were acquired, there is no legal public access, or where construction activities prohibit public entry. Public input into the management of the area is solicited at the District's quarterly Recreational Forum Meetings. In coordination with partnering agencies, adjustments to public use opportunities are made on an ongoing basis through the Recreational Forum Meetings and rulemaking, if necessary. This plan describes the scope of public use opportunities available or planned as of the date of the plan. This plan is not intended to set public use policies through the plan period. Compatible recreation on District lands typically includes hiking, biking, hunting, fishing, frogging, horseback riding, canoeing, geocaching, primitive camping, environmental education, wildlife viewing, nature photography, natural history study, and flora and fauna identification.

FDEP identifies adjacent vacant lands they desire to manage continuously with the Park as its optimum boundary. These lands include public and privately owned lands that, if acquired, would expand and reconfigure the Park's boundaries to provide continuity to the existing parklands and improve their ability to manage the park. Parcel 1 is within the Fakahatchee Strand Preserve State Park optimum boundary (Expansion Area). It contains environmentally sensitive land and is contiguous with the border of the Park. Therefore, The District will transfer its fee title interest of Parcel 1 to FDEP, which can more efficiently and effectively manage the land. The District will retain ownership and management responsibility of Parcel 2, the GHOTE MA. A public recreational use program is being developed for the GHOTE MA. The General Management Plan, which will replace the Interim Land Management Plan, will include details of the long-term use of

the GHOTE MA. The District will provide limited recreational use in the GHOTE MA while evaluating how to expand compatible resource-based opportunities on the property.

The District identified comparable recreational opportunities available in the surrounding area to determine the resources that can be best provided on the GHOTE MA (**Map 11**). Community participation has been integral to recreational use planning for the GHOTE MA. To address public issues and concerns, the District solicited public input from stakeholders through public notices/news releases, established a dedicated email address to receive comments specifically for this project, and conducted a public scoping meeting. To date, public input mainly focused on the availability of recreational opportunities within GHOTE, including commercial and public airboat use, access to canoes, kayaks, and other vessels, and PSGHA for waterfowl, frogging, and fishing. The District also received additional comments regarding protecting natural resources, noise reduction, and tribal rights.

Several scenarios were developed and evaluated based on the public input received and available resources to determine compatibility with the District's public use rules. Of those scenarios, one plan was found to balance resource protection, resource-based public recreation and education, and sustaining the economic value of ecotourism within GHOTE MA. However, the District does not currently have the necessary funding, infrastructure, staff, and resources to manage public use facilities on this property. Thus, no new facilities will be constructed in the interim.

The current public use plan divides GHOTE MA into five different units (Map 12) based on resources and opportunities that can be provided and proposes the following:

- The GHOTE MA will be designated as a PSGHA and made available for recreational hunting when funding, staff, resources, or other opportunities become available to support public access. Although the GHOTE MA can support small game hunting, waterfowl hunting, frogging, fishing, and motorized and non-motorized watercraft use, public use is limited because the facilities and public access points needed to support public use are not currently available. The District will plan the construction of new facilities once funding sources have been identified.
- Unit 3 will maintain its existing use for commercial airboat operation in the interim.
- Unit 4 will maintain its existing use for commercial and public use, such as airboating, fishing, frogging, and crabbing.
- Unit 5 will remain closed to public recreation because there is no practical public access. In the interim, it will maintain its existing use for commercial airboat operations.



Map 11. Location of nearby public access.



Map 12. GHOTE land use units.

Resource Protection

The District contracts with FWC to provide law enforcement services through the "enhanced patrol" program. The program provides funding for additional law enforcement patrols throughout the year to perform targeted operations to address specific law enforcement needs. Law enforcement surveillance protects natural and cultural resources. It deters vandalism, dumping, poaching, and other illegal activities while safeguarding the public. The presence and continual maintenance of posted boundary signs also enhance resource protection.

The U.S. Coast Guard is the nation's lead maritime service, executing various marine safety and security missions inland, along the coasts, and in international waters. The U.S. Coast Guard has the authority to ensure vessel safety and protect the environment, the waterways, and surrounding infrastructure. The U.S. Coast Guard can control vessel traffic independently or holistically by creating vessel traffic systems, restricted navigation areas, safety zones, security zones, and anchorage areas. The U.S. Coast Guard's authority to regulate navigation expands from the nation's inland waters to the coastal waters and high seas, concurrently with State and local regulations where applicable and not otherwise preempted. The Submerged Lands Act confirmed the State of Florida's ownership of tidal lands, islands, sand bars, shallow banks, and lands waterward of the ordinary or mean high water line beneath navigable freshwater or tidally influenced waters (Thomas et al., 2015). Florida's authority to regulate activities on navigable waters has a proprietary and regulatory foundation. The State also delegates this power to local governments, allowing them concurrent regulatory control over waters within their jurisdiction.

Lease Administration

The District authorizes reservations, leases, or similar agreements on District lands or interest in land when such use is compatible with the purpose of the acquisition and the long-term use of the land. Historic property uses, such as agriculture, are allowed to continue on certain properties on an interim basis until the land is needed for construction or restoration. Generally, leases administered on natural lands include recreation, communication towers, and apiary operations. When land is leased, the District maintains an active role in managing the land's natural resources, public use, and nuisance and invasive plant species maintenance. The District's Real Estate Bureau assists the District in managing the leasing program through a competitive bid process. This process solicits proposals and awards contracts with appropriate cancellation clauses to ensure land resource protection. In some cases, leases are negotiated as part of the acquisition agreement, which can reduce the out-of-pocket acquisition cost of the property. Lessees must implement applicable best management practices, maintain infrastructure on the property in an acceptable condition, pay applicable taxes and property assessments in addition to any lease fees, obtain all required permits and approvals for their activities, and maintain the required insurance coverage.

The GHOTE MA was used for commercial airboats prior to being acquired by the District. Two Right of Entry agreements are currently in place for the commercial airboat operations on the property. In the interim, the District will establish lease agreements through the leasing program to replace the existing agreements and authorize compatible commercial airboat use of the property consistent with the management objectives.

7. NATURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT

To keep native plant communities healthy and productive, District land managers take appropriate actions to compensate for the loss of natural processes. Several land management practices are utilized to preserve the ecological health and function of the management areas. These practices include prescribed burning of fire-dependent plant communities with fire return intervals that mimic natural fire regimes; controlling nuisance and invasive vegetation through the selective use of herbicides and biological control agents; restoring the physical structure of plant communities and biological diversity through mechanical vegetation management including mowing, chopping, and shredding; and implementing hydrologic restoration activities where the natural hydrology has been altered through ditches, canals, and other surface water drainage features.

7.1. Fire

Fire has shaped the distribution of plants in Florida's ecosystems. Historically, wildfires reduced fuel loads in natural communities. Due to habitat fragmentation and human suppression efforts, these fires no longer naturally occur with historical frequency or extent, thus resulting in alteration to the natural community structure and function in fire-dependent communities. Most plant communities in Florida are adapted to periodic fires and rely on them to maintain their vegetative characteristics and biodiversity. Land Managers use prescribed burning to reduce hazardous buildup of vegetative fuel load, maintain plant species diversity, enhance wildlife habitat, and encourage restoration of native plant communities. Land Stewardship recognizes the importance of fire as a management tool and has integrated prescribed fire into its land management strategy.

Prescribed Fire Planning

The fire management program includes wildfire prevention, detection and suppression, and prescribed burning. Prescribed burn standards and procedures are outlined in the Land Stewardship's Wildlands Fire Manual, which also serves as a centralized resource for information on fire management on District lands. The manual outlines the procedures that must be followed to ensure compliance with statutory requirements in §590, Florida Statutes, and Rules 5I-2 & 62.256, Florida Administrative Code.

There is little history regarding the occurrence of fires within GHOTE MA prior to the District's acquisition. However, the incidence of past fires on and around the management area likely ranged from lightning to artificial fires for farming, hunting, and lumbering.

Prescribed fire is applied with different management objectives based on plant community needs, wildlife utilization, and specific species requirements. A prescribed burn program will be implemented for the GHOTE MA, and a fire-return frequency will be established to accomplish the herbaceous plant community's land and resource condition objectives. Prescriptions for these burns will identify the environmental conditions, return frequency, and ignition techniques to provide for mosaic burns that do not remove all vegetation while re-defining the boundaries of embedded plant communities that are not fire-dependent.

The salt marsh is the only plant community within the GHOTE MA that requires periodic fire to maintain its vegetative characteristics and biodiversity. Land Stewardship will utilize prescribed fire to maintain the grassland structure and reduce the encroachment of mangroves and other woody species. Grasses and sedges constitute the principal ground cover in these communities, providing fine fuel that burns quickly when ignited. However, because the property consists mainly of wetlands, prescribed fire application is limited to the drier months when surface water recedes. Although burning in late summer and early fall is preferable to replicate historical fire patterns, late fall and spring burns are the most conducive for fire application within the GHOTE MA due to its high-water table and tidal influence. Prescribed fire will be applied in the salt marsh while the soil is saturated to achieve a patchy, low-intensity fire (cool burn) and prevent organic soil subsidence where present.

Challenges for the GHOTE MA's fire program include increased smoke management requirements near roads and population centers, shifting winds with afternoon sea breezes, and water levels that restrict most vehicles from accessing the burn unit. Smoke mitigation is particularly challenging due to the proximity of major roads and highways within the vicinity of the GHOTE MA and proximity to populated areas, such as the City of Everglades, Lee Cypress, Jerome, and Copeland.

Meeting the prescribed fire goals and objectives for fire-maintained communities largely depends on weather conditions, personnel, and statewide emergencies such as wildfires, hurricanes, and other natural disasters. Ideally, burn crews would consist of 6-8 or more individuals. However, with only 3 District Land Stewardship employees assigned to the West Coast Region, conducting prescribed burns requires outside assistance from other agencies (including FWC, local fire departments, and local counties), other District staff, and trained volunteers to ensure it is conducted safely and effectively.

Wildfire Suppression

Wildfires ignited by lightning are a common occurrence throughout Florida. The Florida Forest Service (FFS) is responsible for preventing, detecting, and suppressing wildfires in Florida (§590.01, Florida Statutes). Maintaining fire-dependent habitats with frequent prescribed burns is the main way the District limits the negative impacts of wildfires and increases the ability of the FFS to respond successfully to wildfire events. When a wildfire is detected, the land manager immediately notifies FFS while the Land Stewardship staff responds and, if appropriate, begins fire suppression efforts. Upon arrival, FFS takes command of the fire while the District continues to provide logistical and situational support as needed.

7.2. Control of nuisance and invasive plant species

The District's vegetation management program utilizes an Integrated Pest Management strategy to maintain nuisance and invasive populations at the lowest feasible level. Integrated Pest Management is an ecosystem-based strategy that focuses on the long-term prevention of pests and their damage through the combination of management techniques, including prescribed fire, herbicide application, mechanical treatment, biological control, and physical removal. The selection of control measures depends on species type, environmental factors, and the impacted natural communities.

Invasive plant control funding represents the largest item in the Land Stewardship Program's annual budget. Land managers have developed specific management area treatment strategies that optimize invasive plant control efforts. Although Category I and II species in the Florida Invasive Species Council (FISC) lists are invasive and threaten the function and ecological stability of the natural communities, achieving maintenance on all species identified on these lists is currently not feasible with existing budgetary resources. The primary goal of the Land Stewardship's invasive plant management program is to control the spread of 30 identified invasive species (**Table 1**), in addition to area-specific priority species based on early detection/rapid response and locally significant impacts or potential for impacts. The main species being targeted for treatment in the GHOTE MA are Brazilian pepper, Melaleuca, Australian pine, and cattail.

Nuisance species treatment is primarily conducted by herbicide applicators contracted through the District's Vegetation Management Section. Supplemental efforts by District staff are also conducted on small or sporadically distributed infestations. Treatment areas are scheduled based on the severity of nuisance and invasive plant infestation, time since the last treatment, property access, groundwater conditions, avian nesting seasons, and public use. All treatments follow the District's best management practices for herbicide and use the best available science. Treatment dates, locations, and species treated are recorded in a GIS database. Herbicide use is recorded in the District's invasive plant control database.

Scientific Name	Common Name	FISC Category
Abrus precatorius	Rosary Pea	Ι
Acacia auriculiformis	Earleaf Acacia	Ι
Albizia julibrissin	Mimosa, Silk Tree	Ι
Albizia lebbeck	Woman's Tongue	Ι
Ardisia crenata	Coral Ardisia, Scratchthroat	Ι
Ardisia elliptica	Shoebutton Ardisia	Ι
Bischofia javanica	Bishopwood	Ι
Casuarina cunninghamiana	River Sheoak, Australian-Pine	Ι
Casuarina equisetifolia	Australian-Pine, Beach Sheoak	Ι
Casuarina glauca	Suckering Australian-Pine, Gray Sheoak	I
Colocasia esculenta	Wild Taro	Ι
Cupaniopsis anacardioides	Carrotwood	Ι
Dioscorea alata	Winged Yam	Ι
Imperata cylindrica	Cogon Grass	Ι
Leucaena leucocephala	Lead Tree	II
Lygodium japonicum	Japanese Climbing Fern	I
Lygodium microphyllum	Old World Climbing Fern	Ι
Melaleuca quinquenervia	Melaleuca, Paper Bark	Ι
Mikania micrantha	Mile-A-Minute Vine	II

Table 3. Land Stewardship Section invasive species control priority species.
Tuble 5 (continued). Land Stewardship Section Invasive Species control monty Species.				
Scientific Name	Common Name	FISC Category		
Mimosa pigra	Catclaw Mimosa	Ι		
Rhodomyrtus tomentosa	Downy Rose-Myrtle	Ι		
Schefflera actinophylla	Schefflera, Australian Umbrella Tree; Octopus Tree	I		
Schinus terebinthifolia	Brazilian Pepper	Ι		
Senna pendula var. glabrata	Christmas Senna Climbing Cassia, Christmas Cassia	I		
Solanum diphyllum	Twoleaf Nightshade	II		
Solanum tampicense	Wetland Nightshade, Aquatic Soda Apple	Ι		
Solanum viarum	Tropical Soda Apple	I		
Syzygium cumini	Jambolan-Plum, Java Plum	Ι		
Triadica sebifera	Popcorn Tree, Chinese Tallow Tree	Ι		

Table 3 (Continued). Land Stewardship Section Invasive Species Control Priority Species.

7.3. Wildlife Management

The District's wildlife management relies on active habitat management that addresses the needs of all species present. The Land Stewardship Program accomplishes this by:

- Performing land management activities that maintain and/or improve native wildlife habitat, including prescribed fire and nuisance and invasive plant control.
- Conducting specific management activities that benefit protected species when necessary.
- Following management guidelines for listed species protection as determined by the South Florida Multi-Species Recovery Plan, Volume 1 (U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) 1999).
- Maintaining species lists of confirmed and potential wildlife species and
- Working cooperatively with FWC to manage public hunts and address wildlife management issues.

Game Management

The District partners with FWC to manage game species and public hunting opportunities on District lands established as Wildlife Management Areas (WMAs), Wildlife Environmental Areas (WEAs), PSGHAs, and Public Use Areas. These designations allow FWC to utilize biological and law enforcement staff to assist in managing wildlife on District lands and enforce wildlife and public use rules for resource protection purposes. These designations also allow FWC to establish hunting seasons and promulgate rules regulating public activities in these areas. Future opportunities for PSGHA and Public Use Areas on the GHOTE MA will be discussed in the General Management Plan.

7.4. Mechanical Vegetation Control

Prescribed fire is the most cost-effective and ecologically beneficial method of vegetation control for fire-maintained habitats in South Florida. However, in locations where prescribed fire cannot be used as a land management tool, such as urban interface zones, or when other constraints prohibit the use of prescribed fire, mechanical vegetation control is an alternative method for reducing vegetation coverage and fuel loads. Mechanical vegetation control can reduce woody plant growth and increase species diversity through mowing, chopping, and shredding. Mechanical treatment can improve the natural characteristics of disturbed habitats overgrown with nuisance and invasive vegetation.

7.5. Hydrologic and Habitat Restoration

Incorporating fire, invasive plant control, and mechanical vegetation management is integral to any restoration project on managed lands where appropriate. The initial restoration efforts on the GHOTE MA will focus on enhancing the plant communities to eliminate nuisance and invasive species on the property and implementing management strategies for the long-term management of the natural communities. The need for hydrologic improvement on the property will be evaluated as land managers continue to assess the property. As site investigations identify needs for hydrological modification, a restoration project plan will be designed and implemented based on funding availability.

8. ADMINISTRATION

Administration of District lands is directed through the Land Stewardship Section within the Land Resources Bureau. Policy decisions, planning and budgeting, procurement of personnel and equipment, contract administration, and program development issues are administrative tasks coordinated through the Land Stewardship. Input is provided by regional land managers located over the 16-county area. Regional land managers handle regular administrative duties from their field locations to ensure quick response to local concerns and management issues. Administrative activities for GHOTE MA will be handled through the District's field office at the CREW Management Area and the District's headquarters in West Palm Beach.

8.1. Planning and Budgeting

Planning is a major component of the Land Stewardship Section and is critical to maintaining proper program focus, direction, and coordination with other agencies. This document forms the framework to prioritize and create targeted plans for the activities to be conducted within the appropriated budget during the next five years.

The principal funding sources for land management operations on the GHOTE MA include lease and ad valorem tax revenues. Overall funding availability determines management activities. Budget distribution among the District's five land management regions is based on a programmatic prioritization of management activities. Operational funds are distributed to accomplish each management area's objectives most effectively. The operation and maintenance of the GHOTE MA include costs to cover staffing, operational and land management expenses, and capital refurbishment of the infrastructure. Capital infrastructure needs are determined based on current conditions and anticipated serviceability. Priorities for capital refurbishment are made on a Districtwide basis.

Strategies are updated annually to prioritize prescribed fire planning, invasive nuisance and invasive control, and public use, equipment, and infrastructure needs, including fencing, public use facilities, administrative structures, and hydrologic components. These strategies and budgets are developed in concert with District-wide operational priorities and budgetary cycles and are prioritized across all areas managed by the Land Stewardship Program.

8.2. Personnel and Equipment

The Land Stewardship Program is separated into five geographic regions. Each region is assigned a Region Supervisor (Land Manager), one to three Land Management Technicians, and based on the need in some areas, a Land Management Assistant, Scientist III, and/or Scientist IV. A Section Leader provides direct oversight and supervision for the land managers. Management of the GHOTE MA property is the primary responsibility of the West Coast region's Supervisor, one Land Management Assistant, and one land management technician. The Land Stewardship Section Administrator, Land Stewardship Senior Scientist, Wildlife and Public Use Section, Vegetation Management Section, and other support personnel from the District's headquarters in West Palm Beach and the Big Cypress Basin Field Station will provide additional leadership and assistance if needed.

Staff have access to tools, supplies, four-wheel drive vehicles, fire suppression trucks, allterrain vehicles, swamp buggies, and other heavy equipment. This equipment is maintained through the Clewiston Field Station. Equipment from other regions and leased equipment are also available if needed.

8.3. Volunteers

§373.1391, Florida Statutes, encourages the District to use volunteers for land stewardship and other services. The District recognizes the merits of volunteerism and welcomes participation in activities appropriate for public involvement. Volunteer services include time performing functions such as waterway clean-ups, facility maintenance and development, campground host activities, and providing environmental education programs. In Fiscal Year 2023, District Lands benefited from 14493 volunteer hours or \$426,239 worth of volunteer services (using a \$29.41/hour Florida average for the value of volunteer service).

REFERENCES

- Booth, A.C., Soderqvist, L.E., and Berry, M.C. 2014. Flow monitoring along the western Tamiami Trail between County Road 92 and State Road 29 in support of the Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, 2007–2010. U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 831. U.S. Geological Survey. Tallahassee, FL. Available online at https://doi.org/ 10.3133/ sir20215028.
- Booth, A.C., and Knight, T.M. 2021. Flow characteristics and salinity patterns in tidal rivers within the northern Ten Thousand Islands, southwest Florida, water years 2007–19. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2021–5028. U.S. Geological Survey. Tallahassee, FL. Available online at https://doi.org/ 10.3133/ sir20215028.
- Budny, M. L. and B. W. Benscoter. 2016. Shrub Encroachment Increases Transpiration Water Loss from a Subtropical Wetland. Wetlands 36: 631–638. Society of Wetland Scientists, Middleton, WI.
- Cox, J., R. Kautz, M. MacLaughlin, and T. Gilbert. 1994. *Closing the Gaps In Florida's Wildlife Habitat Conservation System*. Office of Environmental Services, Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Water Commission.
- Florida Department of Agriculture and Consumer Services. 2003. Notes on Florida's Endangered and Threatened Plants. Division of Plant Industry. Gainesville, FL.
- Florida Invasive Species Council. 2023. List of Invasive Species, Electronic version, 2023. Available online at https://floridainvasivespecies.org/plantlist2019.cfm
- Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. 2003. Nest production data, unpublished information.
- Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission. 2019. Florida's Wildlife Legacy Initiative: Florida's State Wildlife Action Plan. Tallahassee, Florida.
- Florida Natural Areas Inventory. 2010. Guide to the Natural Communities of Florida. Tallahassee, Florida.
- Florida Preservation Services. 1986. *Historical/architectural survey of Collier County, Florida*. Florida Master Site File Unpublished Manuscript. Tallahassee, FL.
- Gee & Jenson Engineers. 1993. Corkscrew H & H study: environmental element report. Gee & Jenson Engineers, Architects, Planners, Inc. West Palm Beach, FL
- iNaturalist. Available from https://www.inaturalist.org. Accessed March 13, 2024.
- Kautz, R., J. Cox, M. MacLaughlin, and J. Stys. 1994. *Mapping Wetland Habitats of High Priority to Endangered and Threatened Species in Florida*. Office of Environmental Services, Florida Game and Freshwater Fish Water Commission.
- Klein, H. 1972. *The Shallow Aquifer Of Southwest Florida*. U.S. Geological Survey. Tallahassee, FL.
- Lloyd, J. M., 1992. Summary of 1990 and 1991 Florida petroleum production and exploration: Florida Geological Survey 108. Tallahassee, FL. Available at

https://ufdc.ufl.edu//UF00082065/00002

- Lloyd, M. J. 1994. Summary of 1992 and 1993 Florida petroleum production and exploration Florida Geological Survey 110. Tallahassee, FL. Available at <u>https://ufdc.ufl.edu//UF00082065/00003</u>
- McCoy, J. 1972. *Hydrology Of Western Collier County, Florida*. Report of Investigations No. 63. U.S. Geological Survey. Tallahassee, FL.
- Metcalf & Eddy, AECOM. 2006. *Reconnaissance of Hydrology and Environmental Conditions in Central Big Cypress Basin, Final Report*. South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL. Available at
 - https://www.sfwmd.gov/sites/default/files/documents/central%20bcb%20recon%202-15-06_final_report.pdf
- Shoemaker, W.B., C.D. Lopez, and M.J. Duever. 2011. Evapotranspiration over spatially extensive plant communities in the Big Cypress National Preserve, southern Florida, 2007-2010. U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2011-5212
- Soderqvist, L.E., and Patino, E. 2010. Seasonal and Spatial Distribution of Freshwater Flow and Salinity in the Ten Thousand Islands Estuary, Florida, 2007–2009. U.S. Geological Survey Data Series 501. Geological Survey. Tallahassee, FL.
- South Florida Water Management District. 2003. *Public Use Guide 2003-4*. South Florida Water Management District. West Palm Beach, FL.
- South Florida Water Management District. 2000. *Big Cypress Basin Watershed Management Plan.* South Florida Water Management District. West Palm Beach, FL.
- South Florida Water Management District. 2020. Strategic Plan, 2021–2026. South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL. Available online at <u>https://www.sfwmd.gov/who-we-are/sfwmd-2021-2026-strategic-plan</u>.
- State of Florida. Florida Master Site File. Department of State, Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee, FL. Accessed October 18, 2023.
- Thomas, T. A., Hamann, R., Flagg, B., Boswell-Ebersole, A. Saviano, J. 2015. *Boating, Waterways, and the Rights of Navigation in Florida (4th ed).* UF Florida Sea Grant College Program, Gainesville, FL.
- USACE and SFWMD. 1999. Central and Southern Florida Project Comprehensive Review Study Final Integrated Feasibility Report and Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement. United States Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville District, Jacksonville, FL, and South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach, FL.
- USACE and USDOI. 2020. Comprehensive Everglades Restoration Plan, Central and Southern Florida Project, 2015-2020 Report to Congress. United States Army Corps of Engineers, Jacksonville, FL, and United States Department of the Interior, Washington, DC. Available at https://www.eenews.net/assets/2020/12/28/document_gw_03.pdf

- USFWS. 1987. *Habitat Management Guidelines for the Bald Eagle in the Southeast Region*. U.S. Fish and Wildlife. Service, Southeast Region.
- USFWS. 1998. *Multi-Species Recovery Plan for the Threatened and Endangered Species of South Florida, Volume 1: The Species. Technical/Agency Draft.* U.S. Fish and Wildlife. Service. Publications Unit, Shepherdstown, West Virginia.
- USFWS. (1999). South Florida multi-species recovery plan: a species plan, an ecosystem approach. Atlanta, GA: Region 4.
- USFWS. 2020. *Cooperative Land Cover v3.4 Raster State Classes in Florida*. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission-Fish and Wildlife Research Institute. Tallahassee, FL.
- USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service. 1998. Soil Survey of Collier County Area, Florida. Washington, D.C.
- Wade, D., J. Ewel, and R. Hofstetter. 1980. *Fire in South Florida Ecosystems*. Gen. Tech. Rep. SE-17. Asheville, NC: U.S. Department of Agriculture, Forest Service, Southeastern Forest Experiment Station.
- White, W. A. 1970. *The Geomorphology of the Florida Peninsula*. Fla. Dept. Natural Resource Geological Bull. No. 5. Available through Florida Environments Online
- Yon, W. J., Spencer, S. M., Hoenstine, R. W., Lane, E. 1988. *Mineral Resources of Collier County, Florida*. U.S. Geological Survey Map Series 120. U.S. Geological Survey. Tallahassee, FL.
- Zahina, J., K. Liudahl, T. Liebermann, K. Saari, J. Krenz, and V. Mullen. 2001. Soil classification database: categorization of county soil survey data within the SFWMD including natural soils landscape position. South Florida Water Management District, West Palm Beach. FL. Available at https://www.sfwmd.gov/sites/default/files/documents/ws_6_soils.pdf

Appendix A. Area History

PREHISTORIC OVERVIEW

Within the interior wetlands of south Florida are small, palm tree-studded elevations resembling islands in a sea of grass that provided dry ground for Precolumbian settlements. Numerous archaeological sites left by the native inhabitants of Florida are still very much evident. Specific pottery designs and manufacturing techniques are most often used to identify cultural affiliation. Before 500 B.C., two major cultural traditions, Paleoindian and Archaic, are found throughout the state. Beginning around 500 B.C., specific cultural traits are recognized as belonging to distinctive cultural periods or traditions and are often correlated with environmental conditions or geographical regions.

Paleoindian (ca. 12,000 B.C. - 7,500 B.C.)

The earliest evidence of human occupation in Florida dates to around 12,000 years ago and is termed the Paleoindian Period. It is characterized by a fisher-hunter-gatherer population. Evidence from several sites indicates that Paleoindians hunted now-extinct Pleistocene megafauna (e.g., mammoth, bison) as well as species common today. They also fished and gathered wild plants and shellfish. The environmental conditions during Paleoindian times were different from the present day, as many of today's lakes, rivers, and estuaries had not yet developed, and freshwater sources were often limited to springs and sinkholes. Many Paleoindian sites are found around these water sources in areas of karstic, tertiary limestone formations. Our knowledge of the period is primarily limited to stone tools and the byproducts of stone tool production. While most Paleoindian sites are recorded in northern Florida, two important sites are found in Sarasota County. The Little Salt Spring and Warm Mineral Springs sites have provided a good deal of information about Paleoindians in southern Florida.

Archaic (ca. 7,500 B.C. - 500 B.C.)

The climatic and environmental changes that took place at the close of the Pleistocene epoch brought with them changes in the types and distribution of game animals available to prehistoric hunters. During the late Archaic Period, the Everglades and associated aquatic systems of southern Florida developed. Adapting to their new environment, the Archaic peoples became increasingly adapted to a much broader range of plant and animal sources for survival. Archaic peoples began to exploit a wider range of food sources, including smaller game, fish, mollusks and nuts, and became increasingly more sedentary than their predecessors. The tool kit used by these people became more varied and complex over time.

The Archaic epoch is traditionally divided into three periods – Early, Middle and Late – based upon stylistic changes in tools and the eventual presence of fiber-tempered pottery. Early Archaic period artifact assemblage suggests the early Archaic peoples initially shared similar lifestyles as the Paleoindians but adjusted to new environmental conditions since they were less constrained by

water availability than in the Paleoindian period. Adapting to new conditions meant the Early Archaic peoples could hunt and collect from old Paleoindians and new site locations. It is surmised that the changes in their social organization and settlement patterns resulted in more efficient exploration of food resources and gave rise to more specialized tools. As Early Archaic cultures adjusted to new environmental conditions, they began to transition from the lanceolate projectile points from the Paleoindian period to a variety of stemmed tools. The Early Archaic cultures can be viewed as a population changing from nomadic Paleoindian subsistence pattern to the more settled coastal and riverine-associated regimes of the middle Archaic period.

During the Middle Archaic period, the vegetation community gradually changed, with oaks in some regions giving way to pines and mixed forests. The environmental conditions in certain locations were becoming increasingly drier and hotter, reducing the viability of upland habitats that supported the people's dependency on mast, deer, turkey, and other resources. Meanwhile, riverine habitat appeared to have improved as increased runoff from desiccated uplands enhanced the food potential along major rivers. The Middle Archaic artifact assemblage is characterized by several varieties of stemmed, broad-blade projectile points. It is surmised that if the Middle Archaic peoples were performing similar activities as Early Archaic peoples, they had to use a greater variety of tools. The implication is that the increase in seasonal sedentism led to them acquiring more specialized tools. Some tools were not easily transported in large numbers.

Early and Middle Archaic period sites in southwest Florida are relatively rare. The Bay West Site (8CR200) in Collier County provides one of the richest sources of Middle Archaic period traditions in this area of Florida. It is a mortuary site located in a cypress pond. The pond's peat preserved human remains, wooden tools and posts, botanical remains, antlers, lithics, and shells (Beriault et al., 1981).

The earliest pottery, known as Orange, is a marker for the Late Archaic. Its diagnostic feature is a fibrous material used in the temper. The fiber was burned away during the firing process, leaving distinctive hollow areas visible on the vessel surface. The pottery appears at sites in Florida around 4,000 years ago. Late Archaic sites in southwest Florida that contain fiber tempered pottery include Marco Island, Horr's Island, and Cape Haze. These sites also possessed aceramic cultural material below Orange phase deposits, suggesting their occupation may have extended as far back as the Middle Archaic period (Dickel and Carr, 1991).

Post-500 B.C. Regional Cultures

By about 500 B.C., the introduction of ceramics and increased sedentism among aboriginal peoples made distinct regional cultures possible to develop. These cultures were highly adapted to distinctive ecological niches and could be distinguished archaeologically by differences in site location, subsistence strategies, belief systems, and ceramic types, among other differences.

Glades Region

Archaeologically, the GHOTE property is included within what has been termed the Glades culture region (Map 1). The Glades region is the largest of the South Florida regions in

geographical extent, comprising all South Florida southeast of the Okeechobee and Caloosahatchee regions. This region includes the Everglades, Collier County, and the extensive saltwater marshes and mangrove forests once found along both coasts.



Map 1. Culture Areas of Florida (after Milanich 1994).

The Glades region is marked by sand-tempered pottery vessels, which are typically bowls with incurving walls and rim shaped using the coiling method. The Glades decorative motifs include linear and curvilinear incisions and rim ticking. The type Glades Tooled appears late in the Precolumbian period and exhibits elaborated lip treatments, either pinched to a piecrust-like edge, folded, or dowel impressed. The bodies of the carinated bowls are typically undecorated, and they are often extremely shallow. As typical in southern Florida, shell and bone were used as raw materials for tools. Shell picks, hammers, adzes, celts, gouges, chisels, awls, knives, scrapers, cups, and dippers were made from Busycon, Pleuroploca, and Strombus shells. Changes in pottery styles through time have enabled archeologists to divide the temporal range of the Glades tradition into several periods. This ceramic chronology, largely based on ceramic decorative motifs now recognized as applying to the Glades region, is summarized in Table 1.

	Period	Dates	Distinguishing ceramics
	Glades IIIb	A.D. 1400 -1513	Almost no decorated ceramics; Glades Tooled rims. ^a
	Glades IIIa	A.D. 1200 -1400	Appearance of Surfside Incised (parallel incised lines below lip); some lip-grooving; St. Johns Check Stamped and Safety Harbor sherds found in this and subsequent periods.
	Glades IIc	A.D. 1100 -1200	Almost no decorated ceramics; some grooved lips; Plantation Pinched (lines of finger-pinched indentations below lip on rim).
	Glades IIb	A.D. 900 -1100	Key Largo Incised still majority decorated type; some incision on rims and some lip grooving; Matecumbe Incised appears (cross-hatched incisions below lip on rim); more incurving bowls.
	Glades IIa	A.D. 750 - 900	Appearance of Key Largo Incised (loops or arches incised below lip); Opa Locka Incised (half-circles or arches Incised below lip in vertical rows with open sides down); Miami Incised (diagonal parallel incised rims below lip on rim).
	Glades I late	A.D. 500 -750	Appearance of decorated pottery (less than 10 percent of ceramics a t sites); Sanibel Incised (ticking to form running lines of inverted Vs below lip); Cane Patch Incised (incised looping line with stab-and-drag punctuations, below lip); Fort Drum Incised (vertical or diagonal ticking on lip or rim); Fort Drum Punctated (punctations around vessel below lip).
	Glades I early	500 B.C A.D. 500	First appearance of sand-tempered pottery (Glades Plain or undecorated Glades Gritty Ware - both types subsumed under plain, sand-tempered, not separated as to type; no decoration.

Table 1. Glades Region Ceramic Chronology.

a. Glades Tooled may appear slightly earlier in time, in period IIIA or the A.D. 1400 date for the beginning of period IIIB maybe earlier. (Archaeology of Precolumbian Florida)

A variety of wooden implements, like the types found in the Key Marco site on the Southeast Florida coast, have also been recovered in the Glades region, often as a result of construction activities, such as digging boat slips or dredging canals. The shell, bone, and wooden tool and weapon assemblages of the Glades culture are as varied as the artifact assemblages of northern Florida.

The variety of archaeological sites in the Glades region rivals that found in any region in northern Florida. Such sites include the Turner River site in Collier County, the Granada site on the Miami River, and Horr's Island in Collier County and Key Marco. Marine shells and earth middens predominate along the coasts, especially in the estuaries. Earth middens, characterized by a dark color resulting from high organic content, are more commonly found than shell middens in some areas. Overall, the archaeological site distribution in the Glades region is densest along the coast and largest where rivers drain interior wetlands. The dependence of Glades people on wetland resources is reflected in the faunal and floral data collected from sites like Granada, site 8Cr201, Key Marco, and the freshwater wetland sites in the Big Cypress area. Various combinations of shellfish, marine or freshwater fish, and reptiles provided the bulk of the meat biomass for the Glades people. Many of these middens were probably used over many generations and may represent villages. Other middens represent likely campsites that were seasonally occupied for hunting and gathering resources. In some coastal and inland Glades sites, burials have been found in villages or camp middens.

HISTORICAL OVERVIEW

Three European nations (Spain, France, and Britain) settled and controlled all or parts of Florida during the Colonial Period (1513 - 1821).

Table 2. Historical Chronology of Florida.		
Period	Date Range	
Colonial		
First Spanish	1513 - 1763	
British	1763 - 1783	
Second Spanish	1783 - 1821	
American		
Territorial	1821 - 1845	
Antebellum	1845 - 1860	
Civil War	1860 - 1865	
Late 19 th /Early 20 th Century	1865 - 1917	
20 th /21 st Century	1917 -present	

Colonial Period

After the initial European discovery of Florida by Ponce de Leon in 1513, several Spanish explorers traversed Florida, including Panfilo de Narvaez in 1528 and Hernando De Soto in 1539. Historical or archaeological evidence doesn't suggest that the early Spanish explorers visited the Collier County area, but their presence was undoubtedly known in South Florida (Hudson et al., 1989). Spanish chroniclers noted that encounters between the Spanish and native populations were often violent, as witnessed by apparent sword wounds on bones found in the Tatham Mound in Citrus County (Mitchem, 1989). Diseases introduced by the Spanish proved more destructive, however, decimating Indian populations throughout Florida.

The Spanish established the first permanent European settlement in Florida at St. Augustine in 1565 (Lyon, 1976). Shortly after the establishment of St. Augustine, Spanish missionaries began a mission system in Florida that would last until the siege of St. Augustine by the British and their Creek allies in 1702 (**Map 2**). All the missions were virtually destroyed by 1704 (McEwan, 1993).



Map 2. Spanish Missions in Florida [long-term Spanish missions were not established in southern Florida (UWF-Archaeology Dept.)]

It has been estimated that between 4,000 and 7,000 people lived in southwest Florida at the time of European contact. Estimates of 1,000 people living at Calos, what is believed to be the Calusa capital at Mound Key, and as many as 50 villages being subject to the rulers of the region are not unusual (Widmer, 1988). According to Widmer (1988), many of the cultural pattern characteristic of the Calusa sociopolitical system can be found as early as A.D. 800 in southwestern Florida. The presence of non-mortuary ceremonial mounds, evidence of a dense population, and indications of burial hierarchy all point to the existence of a chiefdom-level society.

By the 1600s, the native population had greatly reduced. Little trace of the original inhabitants could be found until the middle of the eighteenth century. At that time, the coastal area was inhabited by seasonal fishermen from Cuba and other areas. Fishing ranchos along the coast were established in areas such as Boca Grande, Useppa Island, Punta Rassa, and San Carlos Bay (Hammond, 1973).



The Calusa

Figure 1. A representation of the Calusa royal court in Mound Key, the exhibit is in the Florida Museum of Natural History in Gainesville.

At the time the Spanish arrived in SW Florida in 1513, they were met by the powerful and populous Calusa nation. The Calusa were forewarned of the Spanish plans of conquest by many refugees from present-day Cuba who had fled to SW Florida. The Calusa were spread over as much as 10,000 square miles in over 50 pueblos. These villages were concentrated heavily along

the coast and were home to between 700 and 1000 people each. The interior settlements were much smaller, typically with less than 100 residents.

The Calusa were aggressively isolationist during the first 175 years of Spanish rule in Florida. For their part, the Spanish regarded South Florida as not being worth the trouble of subjugating either from a military or resource standpoint, so they did not press the issue. Spanish missions in South Florida typically did not last more than a year. The Calusa's isolation broke in 1688 when the Calusa chief converted to Christianity and allowed a group of his people to live near Havana for a year to evaluate the living conditions and to see how they would be treated. Visitation to Cuba by the Calusa increased through the 1690s. The timing proved fortuitous because Carolinian-allied, Yamasee Indians, began conducting slaving raids deep into Calusa territory in the early 1700s (**Map 3**). By 1711, most of the Calusa had evacuated to the Keys, including the Calusa Chief and most of his surviving vassals. Hundreds of the surviving Calusa evacuated to Cuba over the next few years and settled in the vicinity of La Cabaña, a small area northeast of downtown Havana, immediately across the harbor entrance.

Some Calusa may have been able to return to their homes in the interlude between the collapse of the Indian slave trade (1715) and the start of the Seven Years' War in 1756 (AKA the French and Indian War), when British-allied Creeks invaded and took over the interior of Florida, leading to the complete occupation of the peninsula. The remaining Calusa refugees in Key West evacuated to Cuba. After the British siege of Havana in 1762, most of the Calusa in Havana moved to Guanabacoa, Southeast of downtown Havana, to make room for the construction of the Castillo de La Cabaña that was constructed to defend the harbor entrance.

alata old Savana Good Ground 111: the roli the South Bounds of Ca C.co del Anclote Takes andc Bay del Spirito Florida The on Sancto Land Brook Carlos Bay Kany Ponce Bay Muspa I. Tortugas C.Florida Str

The commander's notes: H. Place where they swam over a Deep River [Caloosahatchee]. I. The same River is here Brackish. K. Place where they took 29 slaves. L. Here they took 6 slaves. M. Here they took and killed 33 Men at 1 o'clock the same day, numerous body of Indians came against them. They being but 33 men, yet put them presently to flight; they having no arms but Harpoons made of Iron and Fish bones; they were all painted.

Map 3. A map of a 1708 British-led Yamassee slave raid waged against Florida Indians and describing contact with the Calusa.

British Period 1763-1783

During the British period, beginning in 1763, the region saw a large in-migration of Creek. Many of the surviving members of the coastal tribes evacuated to Cuba with the Spanish when the British took over. In 1765, the Treaty of Picolata (today Palatka) recognized all the interior of Florida as belonging to the Lower Creeks. This territory would have followed a line from the west bank of the St. John's River to its source in St. Lucie County, then south to Cape Sabal on the southern tip of the Florida Peninsula. The coastal areas, defined by the landward extent of brackish water, were ceded by the Creeks to the British, with British law recognizing the interior of Florida being the legal possession of the Creeks. British law also held that the ultimate fee title to the property lay with the Crown. The Creeks were entitled to own, occupy, and enjoy the entirety of their possessions; however, they could not dispose of their property without having it cleared by the delegated authority of the Crown. Similarly, the British could not legally dispossess the Creeks of their property without their consent.

Throughout the second half of the 18th century, the Creeks who lived along the length of the Florida Peninsula (the Seminoles) grew culturally and politically distinct from the Alabama Creeks. The Seminoles incorporated large numbers of black refugees from the southern U.S. into their population. By the end of the British period, in 1783, the Creek Confederacy and Seminoles no longer had a cognizable political affiliation and were, by all accounts, distinct and independent tribes.

Second Spanish Period (retrocession) 1783-1821

Throughout the Second Spanish Period, following the post-revolution departure of the British, the Spanish Monarchy felt their hold on the territory was tenuous at best. They faced increasing unregulated migration from northern settlers and constant violations of their sovereignty by armed parties seeking the return of slaves, many of whom had found a home among the Seminoles or had been given legal asylum by the Spanish in their coastal cities after swearing an oath of loyalty to the Spanish Crown. The recognition by the British that the interior land belonged to the Seminoles was preserved by the Spanish through the Treaty of Pensacola in 1784 and the Treaty of Walnut Hills in 1793. Spanish law, at that time, recognized a tribal right to property on par with that of a young don who inherited an estate before coming of age. The Seminoles were the legal owner of the land but required Spanish permission to sell their land.

The post-revolution relationship between the U.S. and the Creeks quickly splintered and deteriorated. The U.S. signed a treaty with a faction of the Creeks in 1790, to which the Seminoles were not a party, that included a provision for the return of any former slaves or their children. Private Georgia militias used this treaty as a justification for raiding Seminole towns throughout northern Florida and abducting black Seminoles. The raids drove many bands of Seminoles south, where they settled in large numbers in the uplands. Their numbers swelled following the U.S. – Creek War in Alabama and Tennessee (1813-1814), which sent many Creek refugees to Florida.

Territorial/Early American Period

The Seminole Wars

Disputes between the Seminole Indians and white settlers led to three successive wars. The first took place predominately in the northern part of Florida between 1817 and 1818. Not long afterward in 1823, the Seminoles were encouraged to sign the Treaty of Moultrie Creek, which provided for the removal of the Seminoles to lands in the west. Some Seminoles did not agree with the terms of this treaty, however, and by 1835 the Florida territory again erupted with hostilities.

The Second Seminole War (1835-1842) took place primarily in southern Florida. In the years leading up to the Second Seminole War, there was a significant in-migration of Seminole Indians into the area. These were both Seminoles who had been living in Florida for many years as well as new arrivals following the Creek War of 1813-1814. After the First Seminole War, the Indians had been gradually pushed south of the Caloosahatchee River from north-central Florida (**Map 4**). Several forts, satellite camps, and temporary supply depots were established in present-day Lee and Collier counties at the onset of the second wave of hostilities.



Map 4. Movement of Creeks into Florida in the eighteenth century and of Seminoles into the southern part of the state in the nineteenth century. Numbers show modern settlements; (1) Brighton; (2) Dania; (3-4) Big Cypress federal and state reserves; (5) Tamiami Trail.



Map 5. Approximate location of permanent Seminole camps within Big Cypress (1930)

During the progression of the second Seminole war, the military established a series of frontier outposts, intended to be approximately 20 miles apart, as an attempt to effectively contain the Seminoles to areas south of Lake Okeechobee and away from the coasts. The military campaign against the Seminoles in Collier County intensified between November 1841 and February 1842 when Captain George Wright organized a series of river and land expeditions into the interior of the county. Starting from coastal points such as Goodland Point and Big Marco Pass, expeditions were initiated in search of any remaining Seminole Indians. Although the attempts to locate Seminole forces were unsuccessful, it allowed the U.S. government to explore the county's interior and coastal fringe for the first time (Tebeau, 1966). Following the unilateral cessation of the campaign by the U.S. Military, a few hundred Seminoles lived in the vicinity of Big Cypress, including Billy Bowlegs II's large band who were the focus of the short-lived Third Seminole war, and who relocated to Oklahoma following the end of that conflict in 1858.

Late 19th/Early 20th - Century Settlement

1850s - 1900

The latter half of the 19th century saw the region develop as an eclectic mix of outcasts, outlaws, deserters, Seminole Indians, and entrepreneur settlers. Homesteading settlers began trickling into the area during the 1860s and lived mainly off the land with their small vegetable plots. The government would grant 160-acre tracts to single men or heads of households through successive acts of Congress, such as the Armed Occupation Act of 1842. This act granted 160 acres to anyone who submitted a permit to the regional land office to build and live in a house on the parcel and cultivate at least 5 acres of it for at least 5 years. Many settlers were veterans of the Second Seminole War who had familiarized themselves with choice parcels during their routine patrols. However, these early settlers were few and far between, the U.S. Government had only authorized 1,250 homesteads for the entire peninsula south of Palatka. During the Civil War, the southern part of the Florida peninsula became home to many deserting Confederate soldiers. During this time, several Seminole families moved back into the area around Lake Trafford. In 1893, the Women's National Indian Association set up an outreach service in present-day Immokalee, where the Seminole Tribe of Florida still holds a small reservation.



The Widow McLean, emblematic of the eclectic mix of late 19th century settlement of the countryside around southwest FL. Standing over six feet tall, she was 200 pounds of pure orneriness. When asked if she had ever been scared living alone in the swamps and wilds she replied, "Never have been and I reckon it's too late to begin now."



Feather Trade

Near the end of the 19th century tens of millions of wild birds were killed to meet the demand for feather adornments on high-end clothing. Herons and egrets, with their long, delicate bridal veil breeding plumage were among the most prized for the fashion industry. The plumage hunters targeted the largest rookeries where they could take the greatest number of birds in their breeding plumage.



Figure 3. An advertisement from a 19th century feather accessory catalog.

20th Century

Audubon Wardens

By the turn of the century, the population of these plumage birds had collapsed, leading to the passage of the Weeks–McLean Migratory Bird Act in 1913 (which was held unconstitutional and later replaced by the Migratory Bird Treaty Act of 1918). Following the passage of the Weeks–McLean Act, the Audubon Society hired seasonal wardens to guard prominent rookeries, including the well-known bird rookery in Corkscrew.



Photo showing the warden camp at the Corkscrew Swamp rookery within a pine flatwood (foreground), marsh (intermediate), and the corkscrew cypress strand (background), illustrating the condition of the land before the large-scale land conversion of the 20th century. The knee-high palmettos, scorch marks on the pines, and lack of shrubs in the marsh are clear indicators of a landscape that burned frequently. Photographed by T. Gilbert Pearson in 1913.

Figure 4. Camp of Rhett Green, Warden of Corkscrew Rookery, Florida.

The 20th century brought additional alterations to the natural communities, including logging, cattle grazing, fire suppression, and urban and rural development in the surrounding landscape. The first commercial citrus groves were planted in the first years of the 20th century and were bearing fruit by 1915. Barron Collier acquired 1.3 million acres of land between 1911 and 1925, and the arrival of the Atlantic Coast Line railway in 1928 enabled the wholesale harvesting of hardwoods and virgin cypress. Much of the lumber from the historic Big Cypress Swamp was harvested under government and international contracts to help rebuild post-war Europe. In the latter half of the 20th century, commercial activities such as timber, oil exploration, and recreational hunting became

important in the region. Several cattle operations were in operation, including the Flint family cattle ranch in Flint Pen Strand. Other landowners, such as Alico and Collier, leased land for grazing and hunting. Florida's first commercial oil was produced from the Sunniland Oil Field in 1943, the first of 11 commercial oil fields. The West Felda oil field (1966) and Lake Trafford oil field (1969) are located north of the GHOTE.

Everglades City, Copeland, Jerome, and Lee-Cypress

Touted as Florida's Last Frontier, Everglades City is located forty-five minutes south of Naples. As in the olden days, this former county seat has remained relatively laid-back and unpretentious. While fishing and tourism are presently the major economic factors of this small town, the early years are marked by the agriculture and industrial center that once flourished in this region. Numerous historical sites are located within walking distance of one another, with several situated around the town circle in Everglades City.

Barron Collier began acquiring properties in the area in 1922 and lobbied for Collier County to be established. Collier County was then created from his inholdings in 1923. The Village of Everglade became the county seat and was later renamed Everglades City. Collier made Everglades City his headquarters for the construction of the Tamiami Trail. Port DuPont, in Everglades City, served as the industrial center of the town and included a sawmill, foundry, and machine shop. A canal was dredged during the construction of the Tamiami Trail in the 1920s, and a road was constructed from Port DuPont to Carnestown. A series of dredged canals north of the Tamiami Trail can be identified in the 1940 aerial photograph and is posited to have been utilized for fill material during road construction. Barges, machinery, and equipment used to construct the Tamiami Trail were brought from Port DuPont to Carnestown. A work camp, warehouse, foundry, and machine shop were established for the work camp. For a period, Carnestown was home to the largest warehouse on the southwest coast of Florida and was the center of construction activities. After the Tamiami Trail was completed, Carnestown was demolished, and all associated machinery and housing were relocated to other areas of development. Around the same time the trail was completed, S.R. 29 was constructed and connected Everglades City to Immokalee. The Barron River Canal, located east of S.R. 29, served as a borrow canal to provide fill for the roadway and railway grade construction. As a result, the sheet flow from the Big Cypress Basin is diverted to the Barron River Canal and currently runoff to Chokoloskee Bay.



Map 6. Overview map showing significant industrial operations associated with the GHOTE.





In 1928, the Atlantic Coast Railway was completed to provide service to Everglades City from Immokalee and cities further north. A railroad station was constructed in Carnestown and another just in Copeland, just north of Carestown, to ship produce and lumber north to Immokalee. Copeland was originally a farming community established by Alfred D. Webb and the Janes brothers. Webb and J.B. Janes purchased 10 acres of undeveloped land in Copeland for tomato farming in 1932, and by the early 1940s, agricultural land had expanded to several thousand acres. The area became known as a tomato farming center. Other vegetable crops included peppers, cucumbers, and melons. Approximately 1,400 acres of the GHOTE tracts are estimated to have been farmed for vegetable crops. The produce grown on the site would be sent to packing houses in Copeland, where they were washed and shipped north on the Atlantic Coast Railway. Agricultural productivity was significantly diminished by the late 1960s, and the areas that were once cleared for cropland have since been overgrown with natural vegetation.

Small-scale lumbering in the Big Cypress began around 1900 with small sawmills at Everglades, Naples, and Immokalee. By 1930, eight small mills were operating within 20 miles of Immokalee (Tebeau, 1966). In the mid to late 1930s, lumber operations expanded. The peak

lumbering period was from the 1940s through the mid-1950s. By the end of this time, most of the large south Florida slash pines and cypress had been removed. Early pine logging was completed by teams with oxen that would haul felled trees to small mill towns. In 1940, C.J. Jones built a sawmill and lumber treatment facility in Jerome, just north of Copeland, to process the slash pines that were being harvested from present-day Big Cypress National Preserve. The Jerome camp at the Jerome site was small but, included at least a dozen small buildings that were used to house the workers of the sawmill. Reports indicate the facility and housing structures accidentally caught on fire in 1955 and burned to the ground.

Copeland became a center of the local logging industry in the 1940s. During World War II, cypress was in heavy demand for manufacturing barrels and packing crates and coffins. Collier County had one of the country's largest remaining stands of virgin cypress and pine trees. These virgin stands in Collier County had reached heights upward of 130 feet or more. Lee Tidewater Cypress Company began logging operations in Collier County in 1944 and retired in April 1957. It was the only logging operation devoted exclusively to logging cypress. Tramways were constructed for the logging operation in the present-day Fakahatchee Preserve State Park and Bird Rookery Swamp. The main tramway leading from Lee-Cypress to the northwest later became a road and main entrance to the Fakahatchee Preserve State Park, known today as Janes Scenic Drive. Cypress lumber was transported from Lee-Cypress north on the Atlantic Coast Railway to Perry, Florida. By 1945, most of the narrow-gauge tram roads through the hardwood hammocks and cypress, which can still be explored from the Bird Rookery Swamp trailhead, had been constructed to make industrial scale logging possible. Lee Tidewater Cypress Company operated four steam engines through the swamps until the logging ceased, and many of the workers relocated because the economically harvestable stands had been depleted. The last steam locomotive used to carry timber is displayed at the Collier County Museum in Naples. The Lee Tidewater Cypress Company camp employed 1000 workers. The Company managed a selfcontained community (Village of Lee-Cypress), creating its power plant, general store with a restaurant, repair shop and housing, and a Baptist church for the workers and their families at the height of the logging industry. Almost all functions in the camp were overseen by the Lee-Cypress Company. As the industries closed, the town's population declined to just a few dozen homes.

Resource Preservation

In 1974, Big Cypress National Preserve was established as the nation's first national preserve to prevent the development of the world's largest Jetport in the heart of the Greater Everglades of south Florida. The concept of a national preserve was born from an exercise in compromise by the local conservations, sportsmen, environmentalists, Seminoles, Miccosukees, and many others who wanted to protect the Everglades but felt that national parks were managed in a restrictive manner and access to the swamp and feared Gladesmen's way of life would be lost. The first purchase of land creating Fakahatchee Strand State Park was made in 1974 with funds from the Environmentally Endangered Lands (EEL) program. It was the beginning of a continuous acquisition effort that is ongoing to this day through the Conservation and Recreation Lands (CARL) Program. In 1981, the Florida Legislature established the Save Our Rivers (SOR) program authorizing the five water management districts to acquire environmentally sensitive land. The legislation (373.59, Florida Statutes) produced the Water Management Lands Trust Fund

and empowered the water management districts to acquire lands needed to manage, protect, and conserve the state's water resources. Once acquired, the lands are managed in an environmentally acceptable manner and support appropriate public use. SOR funds were used in the acquisition of the Picayune Strand State Forest to the west and the GHOTE MA. In 1989 The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service acquired 26,270 acres for the Florida Panther National Wildlife Refuge through the Land and Water Conservation Fund (LWCF). The GHOTE was the last remaining large, contiguous tract of land not slated for development.

REFERENCES

- Beriault, J., R. S. Carr, J. Stripp, R. Johnson, and J. Meeder. 1981. *The Archaeological Salvage of the Bay West Site, Collier County, Florida*. Florida Anthropologist 34:39-58.
- Carr, R. S., and J. Beriault. 1984. *Prehistoric Man in Southern Florida*. In Environments of South Florida, Present and Past II, edited by Patrick J. Gleason, pp. 1-14. Miami Geological Society, Coral Gables, FL.
- Dickel, D. N. and R. S. Carr. 1991. *An Archaeological Survey of Collier County, Florida: Phase I.* Archaeological and Historic Conservancy, Inc. Technical Report No. 38. Manuscript #2934 on file, Florida Master Site File, Division of Historic Resources, Tallahassee, FL.
- Griffin, J. W. 1965. *Archaeological Survey, Everglades National Park*. Manuscript #904 on file, Florida Master Site File, Division of Historical Resources, Tallahassee, FL.
- Griffin, J. W. 1988. *The Archaeology of Everglades National Park: A Synthesis*. National Park Service, Southeast Archaeological Center, Tallahassee, FL.
- Hodges, F. W. 1907. The Narrative of Alvar Nunez Cabeza de Vaca. In Spanish Explorers in the Southern United States 1528 - 1534, edited by Franklin Jameson, pp. 1-126. Scribner and Sons, New York, NY.
- Hudson, C., C. B. DePratter, and M. T. Smith. 1989. Hernando de Soto's Expedition through the Southern United States. In First Encounters: Spanish Explorations in the Caribbean and the United States, 1492- 1570, edited by Jerald T. Milanich and Susan Milbrath, pp. 77-98. University of Florida Press, Gainesville, FL.
- Lyon, E. 1976. *The Enterprise of Florida: Pedro Menendez de Aviles and the Spanish Conquest of Florida*. University Press of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- National Audubon Society. NA. Technical Report: *Logging in Big Cypress*. National Audubon Society Ecosystem Research Unit, NY. Available online at http://purl.flvc.org/fcla/tc/feol/FI06041912.pdf as of February 2024.
- Nash, R. 1930. *Seminole Camps, 1930.* State Archives of Florida, Florida Memory. Available online at <u>https://www.floridamemory.com/items/show/323159</u> as of February 2024.
- Marquardt, W. H. 1992. Recent Archaeological and Paleoenvironmental Investigations in Southwest Florida. In Culture and Environment in the Domain of the Calusa, edited by William H. Marquardt, pp. 9-57. Institute of Archaeology and Paleoenvironmental Studies, University

of Florida, Gainesville, FL.

- McEwan, B.G. 1993. The Spanish Missions of La Florida. University Press of Florida, Tallahassee, FL.
- Milanich, J., T., Fairbanks, C., H. 1980. Florida archaeology. Academic Press, NY.
- Milanich, J., T. 1994. Archaeology of Precolumbian Florida. University Press of Florida. Gainesville, FL.
- Mitchem, J. M. 1989. Artifacts of Exploration: Archaeological Evidence from Florida. In First Encounters: Spanish Explorations in the Caribbean and the United States, 1492-1570, edited by Jerald T. Milanich and Susan Milbrath, pp. 99-109. University of Florida Press, Gainesville, FL.
- SCS Engineers, SFWMD. 2023. Phase 1 Environmental Site Assessment, Green Heart of the Everglades. SFWMD, West Palm Beach, FL.
- Sullivan-Hartung, M. 2010. *Hidden History of Everglades City and Points Nearby*. The History Press, West Columbia, SC.
- Tebeau, C.W. 1966. *Florida's Last Frontier: The History of Collier County*. University of Miami Press, Coral Gables, FL.
- Tebeau, C.W. 1971. *A History of Florida*. Revised 1980. Reprinted 1987. University of Miami Press, Coral Gables, FL.
- Widmer, R. J. 1988. *The Evolution of the Calusa, A Nonagricultural Chiefdom on the Southwest Florida Coast.* University of Alabama Press, Tuscaloosa, AL.

Appendix B. Green Heart of the Everglades Natural Communities

The Green Heart of the Everglades (GHOTE) property comprises nine distinct natural communities and two altered landcover types based on a combination of vegetation, landscape position, and hydrology (FNAI 2010). These communities will be managed through achieving and maintaining optimal fire return intervals for fire-dependent communities; control of non-native plant and animal species; maintenance of natural hydrological functions; maintenance of proper vegetative structure that represents the natural diversity of the community; maintenance of healthy populations of plant and wildlife species (including those that are imperiled or endemic); and maintenance of intact ecotones between natural communities across the landscape.

The following modifications to the FNAI classifications were made:

- Cypress Originally classified as Cypress/Tupelo by FNAI. Better classified as Cypress due to lacking Tupelo (FNAI Natural Community Guide 2010 and Kawula and Redner 2018)).
- Bays and Estuaries Originally classified as Marine and Estuarine Mineral Based by FNAI. Better classified as Bays and Estuaries Unconsolidated Substrate.
- Marl Prairie Originally classified as Prairies and Bogs by FNAI. It is better classified as Marl Prairie. (FNAI Natural Community Guide 2010).

The habitats within the GHOTE are classified as follows:

- Hardwood Hammock
- ➢ Wet Flatwoods
- Freshwater Forested Wetlands
- > Cypress
- Gades Marsh
- ➢ Marl Prairie
- Bays and Estuaries
- ➤ Salt Marsh
- Mangrove Swamps
- Altered Landcover

Hardwood Hammock (0.14%)

This community encompasses approximately 15 acres, subclassified as rockland and maritime hammocks.

In the GHOTE, the hardwood hammock community is represented by a couple of small rockland hammock islands intermixed with the cypress strands on the north side of the property and a few maritime hammocks that are surrounded by the mangroves and salt marshes on the south side of the property. Several islands of rockland hammock can also be found within the matrix of cypress and freshwater forested wetlands, but these smaller islands have not been quantified. Typical plants include cabbage palm (Sabal palmetto), royal palm (Roystonea regia), swamp laurel oak (Quercus laurifolia), live oak (Quercus virginiana), and gumbo limbo (Bursera simaruba). Hardwood hammocks have a sparse understory due to over-story shading. The typical understory vegetation includes wax myrtle (Myrica cerifera), marlberry (Ardisia escallonioides), white stopper (Eugenia axillaris), wild coffee (Psychotria nervosa), beautyberry (Callicarpa americana), Simpson's stopper (Myrcianthes fragrans), Jamaican capertree (Capparis cynophallophora), Wingleaf Soapberry (Sapindus saponaria), gallberry (Ilex glabra), hog plum (Ximenia americana), common persimmon (Diospyros virginiana), Florida Bully (Sideroxylon reclinatum), myrsine (Rapanea punctata), and saw palmetto (Serenoa repens). Epiphytes, including orchids, ferns, and bromeliads can be found on larger trees. Nuisance and invasive species presence are minimal in this community.

Rockland hammocks are ranked second most endangered both statewide and globally (G2/S2), and maritime hammocks are ranked as globally vulnerable (G3/S2) (FNAI, 2010). Rockland hammocks have a very restricted range and are at high risk of extinction due to this. Maritime hammocks have a moderate range globally but are vulnerable due to a widespread decline.

Wet Flatwoods (2%)

This community encompasses approximately 180 acres and is found in the northern portion of the GHOTE intermixed with marl prairie and freshwater forested wetland communities.

In the GHOTE, the wet flatwoods are characterized by a moderate canopy of slash pine with an understory of low to dense shrub coverage and dense groundcover vegetation. Cabbage palm is also common in the canopy. Typical understory vegetation includes dahoon holly (*Ilex cassine*), cabbage palm, and wax myrtle, myrsine, and Carolina willow (*Salix caroliniana*). Small inclusions of saw palmetto are scattered in slightly elevated spots. Other plants associated with this habitat type include sawgrass (*Cladium mariscus jamaicense*), iris (*iris sp.*), swamp fern (*Blechnum serrulatum*), and gulfdune paspalum ((*Paspalum monostachyum*). The increase in shrub density in the wet flatwoods is linked to the exclusion of fire. Management of wet flatwoods in the GHOTE will include the appropriate prescribed fire rotation. The community is in fair condition with varying coverage of nuisance and invasive species.

Wet flatwoods provide valuable habitats for various wildlife, including Florida panthers, black bears, fox squirrels, white-tailed deer, tree-cavity-dependent species, and tree-nesting species. FNAI ranks wet flatwoods as apparently secure in its range globally and statewide (G4/S4).

Freshwater Forested Wetlands (5%)

The freshwater forested wetland community in the GHOTE is subclassified as mixed wetland hardwood, freshwater tidal swamp, and mixed hardwood-coniferous.

Mixed wetland hardwood encompasses approximately 97 acres and occurs as patches between the marl prairie and wet flatwoods communities in the northern portion of GHOTE. The canopy is dominated by cabbage palm, red maple *(Acer rubrum)*, swamp bay *(Persea palustris)*, and laurel oak. Cypress is also present but represents a relatively inconspicuous part of the flora. Typical understory vegetation includes wax myrtle, myrsine, Carolina willow, wild coffee, swamp fern, sawgrass *(Cladium jamaicense)*, inundated beaksedge *(Rhynchospora inundata)*, rush fuirena *(Fuirena scirpoidea)*, saltmarsh umbrella-sedge *(Fuirena breviseta)*, musky mint *(Hyptis alata)*, arrowhead *(Sagittaria lancifolia)*, and sand cordgrass *(Spartina bakeri)*.

The freshwater tidal swamp community in the GHOTE is approximately 47 acres and is characterized by a mixture of freshwater and saltwater tolerant species. This area once represented a healthy mixed hardwood-coniferous swamp strand that extended from the Big Cypress National Preserve. The remnant community has been severed from the original mixed hardwood-coniferous swamp system by a canal and a series of ditches and berms (furrows). This area has since been recruited by the native vegetation and developed into a freshwater tidal swamp due to the influx of freshwater discharge from the adjacent canal and pulses of saltwater in response to tides. The canopy and sub-canopy contain red mangrove (*Rhizophora mangle*), black mangrove (*Avicennia germinans*), buttonwood (Conocarpus erectus), and pond apple (Annona glabra). Groundcover vegetation includes swamp-lily (*Crinum americanum*), beaksedge (*Rhynchospora sp.*), needlegrass rush (*Juncus roemerianus*), and leather fern (*Acrostichum sp.*). Because of the disturbance from the remnant furrows and ditches from the previous industrial activities, nuisance and invasive species, including Australian pine, Brazilian pepper, and cattail, are prominent in the vegetation structure but are expected to be eradicated, and the natural floodplain swamp flora is expected to be restored with the implementation of the vegetation management program.

The mixed hardwood-coniferous swamp community encompasses approximately 424 acres and occurs between the marl prairies and cypress strands. Cabbage palm is prominent in the canopy, likely due to hydrologic alterations. Various canopy species, including cypress, pond apple, Carolina willow, swamp bay, dahoon holly, swamp laurel oak, and pop ash are found in this community. Typical understory vegetation includes buttonbush (*Cephalanthus occidentalis*), wax myrtle, swamp fern, sawgrass (*Cladium jamaicense*), beaksedge (*Rhynchospora sp.*), cattail (Typha sp.), sawgrass, pickerelweed (*Pontederia cordata*), alligator flag (*Thalia geniculata*), big floating heart (*Nymphoides aquatica*), duckweed (*Lemna sp.*), bladderwort (*Utricularia sp.*), and arrowhead.

The coverage of nuisance and invasive species in the freshwater forested wetlands within the GHOTE varies. FNAI ranks hydric hammocks and freshwater tidal swamps as apparently secure in their range globally and statewide (G4/S4). Mixed hardwood-coniferous swamps or canopied swamps are ranked as vulnerable globally and statewide (G3/S3) due to a restricted range, relatively few populations (often 80 or fewer), recent and widespread declines, or other factors.

Cypress 7%)

This community is subclassified as dome and strand swamps and encompasses approximately 732 acres.

Dome swamps in the GHOTE are scattered over the marl prairies and dominated by cypress, red maple, dahoon holly, pop ash (*Fraxinus caroliniana*), and pond apple (*Annona glabra*), with sawgrass, alligator flag, maidencane, arrowhead, and pickerelweed in the center. Other common plants include swamp bay, sweetbay, chain fern (Woodwardia sp.), floating heart, wild pine (*Tillandsia sp.*), royal fern (*Osmunda regalis*), Carolina willow, wax myrtle, orchids (Encyclia sp. and Epidendrum sp.), St. John's-wort, Mexican primrose willow (*Ludwigia octovalvis*), Virginia willow (*Itea virginica*), and swamp dogwood (*Cornus foemina*), and buttonbush.

The strand swamp is part of the elongated depressional strand that extends from Fakahatchee State Park. This community is represented by a closed canopy cypress-dominated wetland. Some remnant tram roads extending into the strand have become overground with hardwoods, forming artificial linear hammocks. Cabbage palms are also increasingly spreading in the strand due to the alterations in the hydrology. Maple is prominent in the understory, particularly in the transitional areas. Other variable woody understory include pond apple, swamp laurel oak, cabbage palm, strangler fig, swamp bay, sweet bay, Carolina willow, and buttonbush. The groundcover vegetation includes string-lily, giant leather fern, swamp fern (*Telmatoblechnum serrulatum*), royal fern, primrose willow (*Ludwigia sp.*), smartweed (*Polygonum sp.*), and sawgrass.

The cypress community is in fair condition with varying coverage of nuisance and invasive species. Dome Swamps are ranked statewide and globally as apparently secure (G4/S4), though it may be quite rare in parts of its range, especially at the periphery. Strand swamps are ranked the second most endangered level statewide and globally (G2/S2).

Glades Marsh (8%)

In the GHOTE, the glades marsh community is approximately 887 acres. It grades into the marl prairie, cypress strand, and saltmarsh communities. An old tram bed that severs the marl prairie habitat and the glades marsh restricts the hydrological connection between the marl prairie habitat and the glades marsh communities.

The glades marsh community is dominated by groundcover vegetation, including sawgrass, sand cordgrass, arrowhead, cattail, needlegrass rush, maidencane, musky mint, fireflag, pickerelweed, and iris sp.

Glades marshes are ranked as vulnerable statewide and globally (G3/S3).

Bays and Estuaries (10%)

The Bays and Estuaries community in the GHOTE encompasses 1,081 acres and is characterized by unconsolidated substrates and open water bays.

The unconsolidated substrate consists of unvegetated, open areas of mineral-based substrate surrounded by mangroves. This open-water habitat is underlain primarily by marl or very fine sand and silt/clay. This bottom provides habitat for various benthic invertebrates. When exposed during low water levels, these areas are used extensively by shore and wading birds as feeding and loafing areas. Included among the unconsolidated substrates are a few swamp lakes of varying depths. These are likely manmade features associated with the construction of adjacent roads. Although small, these areas provide refuge for American alligator (*Alligator mississippiensis*), river otter (*Lontra canadensis*), bottom-feeding fish, and various turtle and frog species. They also provide nesting and feeding habitats for black-crowned night herons (*Nycticorax nycticorax*), wood storks (*Mycteria americana*), purple gallinules (*Porphyrula martinica*), egrets, herons, and ibis.

The open water bay consists of an expansive marine bottom. These areas consist of widely unvegetated substrates with occasional pockets of submerged vegetation. Seagrass and algae in the open water bay provide shelter to numerous marine organisms, supporting a wide variety of commercially and recreationally important fish, crustaceans, and mollusks. Live bottom reefs of oysters may be scattered throughout. The GHOTE bay community is also very important to the federally threatened smalltooth sawfish (*Pristis pectinata*) and the endangered manatee.

Bays and Estuaries are ranked statewide and globally as secure (G5/S5).

Marl Prairie (16%)

In the GHOTE, this community encompasses approximately 1,771 acres.

The marl prairie community is dominated by groundcover vegetation intermixed with hammocks, cypress, and freshwater forested communities. The canopy is open with occasional occurrences of cabbage palm, cypress, dahoon holly, swamp bay, pop ash, laurel oak, and red maple. The understory is characterized by low to dense shrub coverage indicative of hydrologic alteration and fire exclusion. The sub-canopy vegetation is a mix of scattered to dense cabbage palm, cypress, wax myrtle, buckthorn, willow, red maple, saffron plum (*Sideroxylon celastrinum*), swamp dogwood (*Cornus foemina*), false-willow (*Baccharis angustifolia*), wild coffee, myrsine, and buttonbush. Dense pockets of nuisance and invasive shrub species dominate in more disturbed areas. The ground cover consists of gulfdune paspalum, sawgrass, inundated beaksedge, saltmarsh umbrella-sedge, rush fuirena, arrowhead, swamp fern, and sawgrass.

The marl prairie community is in poor condition due to the presence of dense shrubs and a high concentration of nuisance and invasive species. Signatures from the 1940s aerial photographs suggest that the marl prairie community within the GHOTE was used for agriculture. Nuisance and invasive species in this community range from scattered in less disturbed areas to monocultures in highly disturbed areas. The density of shrub species is expected to decrease, and the natural prairie flora restored with the implementation of the prescribed fire program. Manual

manipulation, such as mechanical and herbicide treatment, might be required in dense areas to restore the natural plant coverage in this community.

Prairies provide valuable habitat for white-tailed deer and numerous bird species. Management of the prairie system includes the appropriate application of prescribed fire, treatment of non-native invasive plant species, and mechanical treatments as needed.

Marl prairies are ranked as vulnerable statewide and globally (G3/S3).

Estuarine Mangrove Swamp (26%)

The mangrove community in the GHOTE encompasses approximately 2,886 acres of its southern boundary. Red and black mangroves dominate this community. Buttonwood is occasionally present. Within the mangrove are a few shell mounds high enough to support the maritime hammock. Once limited to the property's southern edge, the mangrove community has noticeably been migrating northward since the drainage canals and the construction of US 41 have reduced the freshwater pressure, allowing the tides to transport and distribute mangrove propagules farther north. Mangrove tree heights vary noticeably throughout the property, likely due to reoccurring loss of biomass associated with frequent storm events and hurricanes. The understory is generally open where tree heights have reached canopy stature. Saltmarsh species are occasionally found in the groundcover, particularly along the northern edge of the mangroves where the natural saltmarsh community is being displaced.

The mangrove swamps are of significant value to the estuarine system. The mangroves serve as protected habitats for nursery-stage fish, crustaceans, and shellfish and provide important habitats for nesting and roosting colonial water birds. The mangrove community in the GHOTE is also home to rare and endangered orchids.

Nuisance and invasive species coverage in the mangrove swamp is minimal. The mangrove swamp community is ranked as secure globally and apparently secure statewide (G5/S4).

Estuarine Salt Marsh (26%)

The salt marsh community is approximately 2,922 acres and represents the dominant vegetation community in the GHOTE. It is a brackish system and is periodically inundated at the higher tides and during storm events. The salt marsh grades into the mangrove swamp, forming overlapping zones where red mangrove and buttonwood become increasingly frequent. The canopy and sub-canopy are open, which is typical of an herbaceous community. Red mangroves are occasionally present within the salt marsh because of the landward progression of the mangrove infringement. The vegetation in the salt marsh is dominated by needlegrass rush (*Juncus roemerianus*), cattail, salt marsh cordgrass (*Spartina alterniflora*), and salt grass (*Distichlis spicata*).

These salt marshes are important feeding areas for many resident and migratory wading birds, waterfowl, raptors, and mammals. Limiting disturbance to vegetation in the salt marsh by limiting airboats and other vessels to designated trails and improving the hydrology is significant to maintaining this natural community type.

The salt marsh community is in good condition, with varying coverage of nuisance and invasive species. It is ranked globally and apparently secure statewide (G5/S4).

Altered Landcover Types (3%)

The altered landcover makes up approximately 10 acres of the GHOTE. These areas consist primarily of ditches, roads, and previously cleared areas bordering adjacent roads and dwellings. These areas will not be restored to their historic natural community but will be maintained for nuisance and invasive species in their altered states.

REFERENCES

- Duever, M. J., J. E. Carlson, L. A. Riopelle, L.H. Gunderson, and L.C. Duever. 1976. Ecosystem analyses at Corkscrew Swamp. In HT Odum, KC Ewel, JW Ordway & MK Johnson (eds.), Cypress wetlands for water management, recycling and conservation (pp. 707-737). Third annual report to National Science Foundation and The Rockefeller Foundation. Center for Wetlands, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL.
- Clem, S. E. and Duever, M.J. 2019. *Hydrologic changes over 60 years (1959-2019) in an oldgrowth bald cypress swamp on a rapidly developing landscape.* Wetland Science & Practice. Society of Wetland Scientists, Middleton, WI.
- Florida Natural Areas Inventory (FNAI). 2010. *Guide to the natural communities of Florida: 2010 edition.* Florida Natural Areas Inventory, Tallahassee, FL Available online at. <u>https://www.fnai.org/PDF/FNAI-Natural-Community-Classification-Guide-</u> <u>2010 20150218.pdf</u> as of June 2021.
- Kawula, R. and J. Redner. 2018. *Florida Land Cover Classification System*. Center for Spatial Analysis Fish and Wildlife Research Institute. Florida Fish and Wildlife Conservation Commission, Tallahassee, FL. Available online at. <u>https://myfwc.com/media/20455/land-cover-classification-revision-2018.pdf</u> as of June 2021.
- Myers, J. and J. Ewel. 1990. *Ecosystems of Florida*. University of Central Florida Press. University Presses of Florida, Orlando, FL.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. 1999. *Florida Scrub Including Scrubby Flatwoods and Scrubby High Pine*. South Florida multi-species recovery plan. Atlanta, Georgia. 2172 pp.
- Wade, D., J. Ewel, and R. Hofstetter. 1980. *Fire in South Florida Ecosystems*. United States Department of Agriculture Forest Service, Southeast Forest Experiment Station, Gen. Tech. Rep., No. SE-17. 125pp. Asheville, NC.
Appendix C. Species List

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Acer rubrum	Red Maple	
Acmella repens	Oppositeleaf Spotflower	
Acoelorraphe wrightii	Paurotis Palm	ST
Acrostichum danaeifolium	Giant Leather Fern	
Albizia lebbeck	Lebbeck	non-native
Aletris lutea	Yellow Colicroot	
Alysicarpus vaginalis	White Moneywort	non-native
Amaranthus australis	Southern Amaranth	
Ambrosia artemisiifolia	Common Ragweed	
Ammannia latifolia	Pink Redstem	
Anemia adiantifolia	Pineland Fern	
Annona glabra	Pond Apple	
Ardisia escallonioides	Marlberry	
Asclepias incarnata	Swamp Milkweed	
Asclepias lanceolata	Fewflower Milkweed	
Asclepias longifolia	Longleaf Milkweed	
Asemeia grandiflora	Showy Milkwort	
Asparagus aethiopicus	Sprenger's Asparagus	non-native
Baccharis glomeruliflora	Silverling	
Baccharis halimifolia	Groundsel Tree	
Bacopa caroliniana	Carolina Water-Hyssop	
Bacopa monnieri	Herb-Of-Grace	
Berchemia scandens	Supplejack	
Bidens alba	White Beggarticks	
Boehmeria cylindrica	False Nettle	
Boltonia diffusa	Smallhead Doll's Daisy	
Buchnera floridana	Florida Bluehearts	
Bursera simaruba	Gumbo Limbo	
Campyloneurum phyllitidis	Long Strapfern	
Carex gigantea	Giant Sedge	
Cassytha filiformis	Love Vine	
Casuarina equisetifolia	Australian Pine	non-native
Casuarina glauca	Swamp Sheoak	non-native
Centella asiatica	Spadeleaf	
Centella erecta	American Coinwort	
Cephalanthus occidentalis	Buttonbush	
Cheiroglossa palmata	Hand Fern	

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Chrysobalanus icaco	Cocoplum	
Cirsium horridulum	Bristle Thistle	
Citrus sp.	Citrus	
Cladium mariscus jamaicense	Jamaica Swamp Sawgrass	
Coelorachis rugosa	Wrinkled Jointtail Grass	
Conoclinium coelestinum	Blue Mistflower	
Coreopsis leavenworthii	Leavenworth's Tickseed	
Cornus foemina	Swamp Dogwood	
Crinum americanum	Southern Swamp Lily	
Cyperaceae sp.	Sedges	
Cyperus ligularis	Swamp Flatsedge	
Cyperus surinamensis	Tropical Flatsedge	
Dalbergia ecastaphyllum	Coinvine	
Dendrophylax porrectus	Needleroot Airplant Orchid	
Desmodium incanum	Creeping Beggarweed	non-native
Dichanthelium caerulescens	Blue Witchgrass	
Dichanthelium strigosum var. glabrescens	Hairless Witchgrass	
Diodia virginiana	Virginia Buttonweed	
Diospyros virginiana	Common Persimmon	
Distichlis spicata	Saltgrass	
Dyschoriste angusta	Pineland Twinflower	
Echinochloa paludigena*	Florida Cockspur Grass	
Echinochloa sp.	Barnyard Grass	
Eleocharis cellulosa	Gulf Coast Spikerush	
Eleocharis interstincta	Knotted Spikerush	
Encyclia tampensis	Florida Butterfly Orchid	
Epidendrum amphistomum	Big-Mouth Star Orchid	SE
Epidendrum rigidum	Stiff Flower Star Orchid	SE
Erigeron quercifolius	Daisy Fleabane, Oakleaf Fleabane	
Eryngium yuccifolium	Rattlesnake Master	
Erythrina herbacea	Coral Bean	
Eugenia axillaris	White Stopper	
Eupatorium capillifolium	Dogfennel	
Eupatorium leptophyllum	False Fennel	
Eupatorium mikanioides*	Semaphore Thoroughwort	

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Eupatorium serotinum	Late Boneset, Lateflowering Thoroughwort	
Euploca polyphylla	Pineland Heliotrope	
Eustachys petraea	Pinewoods Fingergrass	
Evolvulus sericeus	Silky Evolvulus	
Ficus aurea	Florida Strangler Fig	
Fimbristylis cymosa	Hurricanegrass	
Fimbristylis sp.	Fringe Rush	
Flaveria linearis	Narrowleaf Yellowtops	
Fraxinus caroliniana	Carolina Ash	
Funastrum clausum	White Twinevine	
Helenium pinnatifidum	Southeastern Sneezeweed	
Hippocratea volubilis	Medicine Vine	
Hypericum limosum	Coastalplain St. John's Wort	
Hypericum sp.	St. John'd Wort	
Hyptis alata	Musky Mint	
Ilex cassine	Dahoon Holly	
Ipomoea hederacea	Ivy-Leaved Morning-Glory	non-native
Ipomoea sagittata	Saltmarsh Morning-Glory	
Iva microcephala	Piedmont Marsh Elder	
Juncus biflorus	Large Grass-Leaved Rush	
Juncus megacephalus	Bighead Rush	
Juncus scirpoides	Needlepod Rush	
Justicia angusta	Everglades Water-Willow	
Kosteletzkya pentacarpos	Saltmarsh Mallow	
Laguncularia racemosa	White Mangrove	
Lantana camara	Common Lantana	non-native
Leucaena leucocephala	White Leadtree	non-native
Leucobryum albidum	White Moss	
Lobelia glandulosa	Glade Lobelia	
Ludwigia microcarpa	Smallfruit Primrose-Willow	
Ludwigia octovalvis	Mexican Primrose-Willow	
Ludwigia peruviana	Peruvian Primrose-Willow	non-native
Ludwigia repens	Creeping Primrose-Willow	
Lycium carolinianum	Christmas Berry	
Magnolia virginiana	Sweetbay Magnolia	
Mangifera indica	Indian Mango	non-native
Melaleuca quinquenervia	Broad-Leaved Paperbark	non-native
Melanthera angustifolia	Everglades Squarestem	
Melanthera nivea	Snow Squarestem	
Melothria pendula	Creeping Cucumber	
Mikania scandens	Climbing Hempvine	

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Mitreola petiolata	Lax Hornpod	
Mitreola sessilifolia	Swamp Hornpod	
Morella cerifera	Wax Myrtle	
Muhlenbergia sericea	Gulf Muhly	
Myrcianthes fragrans	Simpson's Stopper	ST
Myrsine cubana	Colicwood, Myrsine	
Nekemias arborea	Peppervine	
Nephrolepis brownii	Brown's Sword Fern	non-native
Nephrolepis cordifolia	Fishbone Fern, Tuberous Sword Fern	non-native
Nephrolepis exaltata	Southern Sword Fern	
Oenothera simulans	Southern Beeblossom	
Osmunda spectabilis	American Royal Fern	
Packera glabella	Butterweed	
Panicum repens	Torpedo Grass	non-native
Panicum virgatum	Switchgrass	
Parthenocissus quinquefolia	Virginia Creeper	
Passiflora pallida	Corkystem Passionvine	
Persea palustris	Swamp Bay	
Persicaria hydropiperoides	Swamp Smartweed	
Persicaria punctata	Dotted Knotweed	
Phlebodium aureum	Golden Polypody	
Phragmites australis	Common Reed	non-native
Phyla nodiflora	Turkey Tangle Frogfruit	
Phytolacca americana	American Pokeweed	
Pinus elliottii densa	South Florida Slash Pine	
Piriqueta cistoides	Pitted Stripeseed	
Pithecellobium unguis-cati	Catclaw Blackbead	
Pleopeltis michauxiana	Resurrection Fern	
Pluchea baccharis	Rosy Camphorweed	
Polygala balduinii	Baldwin's Milkwort	
Polygonum sp.	Knotweeds	
Polystachya concreta	Yellow Helmet Orchid	SE
Pontederia cordata	Pickerelweed	
Proserpinaca palustris	Marsh Mermaidweed	
Psilotum nudum	Whisk Fern	
Psychotria nervosa	Shiny-Leaved Wild Coffee	
Psychotria nervosa	Wild Coffee	
Psychotria tenuifolia	Velvet-Leafed Wild Coffee	
Pteridium aquilinum var. pseudocaudatum	Tailed Bracken Fern	
Pteridium caudatum	Southern Brackenfern	
Quercus laurifolia	Swamp Laurel Oak	

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Quercus minima	Dwarf Live Oak	
Quercus virginiana	Southern Live Oak	
Randia aculeata	White Indigoberry	
Rhizophora mangle	Red Mangrove	
Rhynchospora colorata	Whitetop Sedge	
Rhynchospora inundata	Narrowfruit Horned Beaksedge	
Rhynchospora microcarpa	Southern Beaksedge	
Rhynchospora miliacea	Millet Beaksedge	
Rhynchospora odorata	Fragrant Beaksedge	
Rhynchospora sp.	Beaksedges	
Richardia grandiflora	Largeflower Mexican Clover	non-native
Roystonea regia	Royal Palm	SE
Rubus trivialis	Southern Dewberry	
Ruellia blechum	Browne's Blechum	non-native
Ruellia caroliniensis	Carolina Ruellia	
Sabal palmetto	Cabbage Palmetto	
Sabatia calycina	Coastal Rose Gentian	
Sabatia stellaris	Marsh Pink	
Saccharum giganteum	Sugarcane Plumegrass	
Sagittaria lancifolia	Lanceleaf Arrowhead	
Salix caroliniana	Carolina Willow	
Samolus ebracteatus	Limewater Brookweed	
Sansevieria hyacinthoides	Mother-In-Law's Tongue	non-native
Sapindus saponaria	Wingleaf Soapberry	
Schinus terebinthifolia	Brazilian Pepper	non-native
Serenoa repens	Saw Palmetto	
Sesuvium portulacastrum	Sea Purslane	
Setaria magna	Giant Bristlegrass	
Setaria parviflora	Knotroot Bristlegrass	
Sideroxylon reclinatum	Florida Bully	
Sisyrinchium angustifolium	Narrow-Leaved Blue-Eyed Grass	
Sisyrinchium sp.	Blue-Eyed Grass	
Smilax bona-nox	Saw Greenbrier	
Smilax laurifolia	Laurel-Leaf Greenbrier	
Solanum donianum	Mullein Nightshade	ST
Solidago mexicana	Southern Seaside Goldenrod	
Spermacoce neoterminalis*	Everglades Key False Buttonweed	
Spermacoce verticillata	Shrubby False Buttonweed	non- <i>native</i>
Sporobolus bakeri	Sand Cordgrass	
Stenandrium dulce	Sweet Shaggytuft	
Stenotaphrum secundatum	Saint Augustine Grass	

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Stillingia sylvatica	Queen's Delight	
Symphyotrichum carolinianum	Climbing Aster	
Symphyotrichum dumosum	Bushy Aster	
Syngonium	Arrow-Head Vines	non-native
Syngonium podophyllum	Goosefoot-Plant	non-native
Syzygium cumini	Java Plum	non-native
Taxodium ascendens	Pondcypress	
Taxodium distichum	Baldcypress	
Telmatoblechnum serrulatum	Toothed Midsorus Fern	
Teucrium canadense	American Germander	
Thalia geniculata	Alligator Flag	
Thelypteris interrupta	Swamp Shield-Fern	
Thelypteris palustris	Marsh Fern	
Thespesia populnea	Portia Tree	non-native
Tiedemannia filiformis	Water Cowbane	
Tillandsia balbisiana	Balbis' Airplant	ST
Tillandsia fasciculata	Cardinal Airplant	SE
Tillandsia paucifolia	Potbelly Airplant	
Tillandsia setacea	Southern Needleleaf Airplant	
Tillandsia usneoides	Spanish Moss	
Tillandsia utriculata	Giant Airplant	SE
Tillandsia variabilis	Leatherleaf Airplant	ST
Toxicodendron radicans	Eastern Poison Ivy	
Typha	Cattails	
Urena lobata	Caesar Weed	non-native
Vicia acutifolia	Fourleaf Vetch	
Vigna luteola	Hairypod Cowpea	
Vitis cinerea	Graybark Grape, Florida Grape	
Vitis rotundifolia	Muscadine Grapevine	
Vittaria lineata	Shoestring Fern	
Waltheria indica	Sleepy Morning	
Woodwardia virginica	Virginia Chainfern	
Ximenia americana	Hog Plum	
Zizaniopsis miliacea	Giant Cutgrass	

a. Key to abbreviations: Species listed by the State of Florida as State-designated Threatened (ST), State-designated Endangered (SE). * Native Endemic.

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Accipiter cooperii	Cooper's Hawk	
Accipiter striatus	Sharp-shinned Hawk	
Actitis macularius	Spotted Sandpiper	
Aglaius phoeniceus	Red-winged Blackbird	
Aix sponsa	Wood duck	
Anas clypeata	Northern shoveler	
Anas crecca	Green-winged Teal	
Anas fulvigula	Mottled duck	
Anas platyrhynchos	Mallard	
Anhinga anhinga	Anhinga	
Anthus rubescens	American Pipit	
Aramus guarauna	Limpkin	
Archilochus colubris	Ruby-throated Hummingbird	
Ardea alba	Great Egret	
Ardea herodias	Great Blue Heron	
Ardea herodias occidentalis	Great White Heron	
Arenaria interpres	Ruddy Turnstone	
Aythya affinis	Lesser Scaup	
Baeolophus bicolor	Tufted Titmouse	
Bombycilla cedrorum	Cedar Waxwing	
Botaurus lentiginosus	American Bittern	
Bubo virginianus	Great Horned Owl	
Bubulcus ibis	Cattle Egret	
Buteo brachyurus	Short-tailed Hawk	
Buteo jamaicensis	Red-tailed Hawk	
Buteo lineatus	Red-shouldered Hawk	
Buteo platypterus	Broad-winged Hawk	
Butorides virescens	Green Heron	
Calidris alba	Sanderling	
Calidris alpina	Dunlin	
Calidris melanotos	Pectoral Sandpiper	
Calidris minutilla	Least Sandpiper	
Calidris pusilla	Semipalmated Sandpiper	
Caprimulgus carolinensis	Chuck-will's-widow	
Caprimulgus vociferus	Whip-poor-will	
Caracara cheriway	Crested Caracara	FT
Cardinalis cardinalis	Northern Cardinal	
Cathartes aura	Turkey Vulture	
Catharus fuscescens	Veery	
Catharus guttatus	Hermit Thrush	
Catharus minimus	Gray-cheeked Thrush	
Catharus ustulatus	Swainson's Thrush	

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Charadrius semipalmatus	Semipalmated Plover	
Charadrius vociferus	Killdeer	
Chen caerulescens	Snow Goose	
Chlidonias niger	Black Tern	
Chordeiles minor	Common Nighthawk	
Circus cyaneus	Northern Harrier	
Cistothorus palustris	Marsh Wren	
Cistothorus platensis	Sedge Wren	
Coccyzus americanus	Yellow-billed Cuckoo	
Coccyzus minor	Mangrove Cuckoo	
Colaptes auratus	Northern Flicker	
Colinus virginianus	Northern Bobwhite	
Columba leucocephala	White-crowned Pigeon	ST
Columbina passerina	Common Ground Dove	
Coragys atratus	Black Vulture	
Corvus brachyrhynchos	American Crow	
Corvus ossifragus	Fish Crow	
Cyanocitta cristata	Blue Jay	
Dolichonyx oryzivorus	Bobolink	
Dryobates pubescens	Downy Woodpecker	
Dryocopus pileatus	Pileated Woodpecker	
Dumetella carolinensis	Gray Catbird	
Egretta caerulea	Little Blue Heron	ST
Egretta rufescens	Reddish Egret	ST
Egretta thula	Snowy Egret	
Egretta tricolor	Tricolored Heron	ST
Elanoides forficatus	Swallow-tailed Kite	
Eudocimus albus	White Ibis	
Falco columbarius	Merlin	
Falco peregrinus	Peregrine Falcon	
Falco sparverius	American Kestrel	
Fregata magnificens	Magnificent Frigatebird	
Fulca americana	American Coot	
Gallinago delicata	Wilson's Snipe	
Gallinula galeata	Common Gallinule	
Gavia immer	Common Loon	
Genus Limnodromus	Dowitcher	
Geothlypis trichas	Common Yellowthroat	
Grus canadensis pratensis	Florida Sandhill Crane	ST
Haematopus palliatus	American Oystercatcher	ST

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Haliaeetus leucocephalus	Bald Eagle	Eagle Act Protected
Helmitheros vermivorum	Worm-eating Warbler	Toteeted
Himantopus mexicanus	Black-necked Stilt	
Hirundo rustica	Barn Swallow	
Icterus spurius	Orchard Oriole	
Ixobrychus exilis	Least Bittern	
Lanius Iudovicianus	Loggerhead Shrike	
Larus argentatus	Herring Gull	
Larus delawarensis	Ring-billed Gull	
Laterallus jamaicensis	Black Rail	FT
Leucophaeus atricilla	Laughing Gull	
Lophodytes cucullatus	Hooded Merganser	
Megaceryle alcyon	Belted Kingfisher	
Megascops asio	Eastern Screech-owl	
Melanerpes carolinus	Red-bellied Woodpecker	
Meleagris gallopavo	Wild Turkey	
Melospiza georgiana	Swamp Sparrow	
Melospiza melodia	Song Sparrow	
Mergus serrator	Red-breasted Merganser	
Mimus polyglottos	Northern Mockingbird	
Mniotilta varia	Black-and-white Warbler	
Molothrus ater	Brown-headed Cowbird	
Molothrus bonariensis	Shiny Cowbird	
Mycteria americana	Wood Stork	FT
Myiarchus crinitus	Great Crested Flycatcher	
Nannopterum auritum	Double-crested Cormorant	
Nyctanassa violacea	Yellow-crowned Night Heron	
Nycticoras nycticorax	Black-crowned Night Heron	
Pandion haliaetus	Osprey	
Parkesia motacilla	Louisiana Waterthrush	
Parkesia noveboracensis	Northern Waterthrush	
Passerculus sandwichensis	Savannah Sparrow	
Passerina ciris	Painted Bunting	
Passerina cyanea	Indigo Bunting	
Pavo cristatus	Indian Peafowl	Non-native
Pelecanus erythrorhynchos	American White Pelican	
Pelecanus occidentalis	Brown Pelican	
Peucaea aestivalis	Bachman's Sparrow	
Picoides borealis	Red-cockaded Woodpecker	FE
Picoides villosus	Hairy Woodpecker	
Pipilo erythrophthalmus	Eastern Towhee	

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Piranga olivacea	Scarlet Tanager	
Platalea ajaja	Roseate Spoonbill	ST
Plegadis falcinellus	Glossy Ibis	
Pluvialis squatarola	Black-bellied Plover	
Podilymbus podiceps	Pied-billed Grebe	
Polioptila caerulea	Blue-gray Gnatcatcher	
Porphyrio martinica	Purple Gallinule	
Porzana carolina	Sora	
Progne subis	Purple Martin	
Protonotaria citrea	Prothonotary Warbler	
Quiscalus major	Boat-tailed Grackle	
Quiscalus quiscula	Common Grackle	
Rallus elegans	King Rail	
Rallus longirostris	Clapper Rail	
Recurvirostra americana	American Avocet	
Regulus calendula	Ruby-crowned Kinglet	
Riparia riparia	Bank Swallow	
Rostrhamus sociabilis plumbeus	Snail Kite	FE
Rynchops niger	Black Skimmer	ST
Sayornis phoebe	Eastern Phoebe	
Scolopax minor	American Woodcock	
Seiurus aurocapillus	Ovenbird	
Setophaga americana	Northern Parula	
Setophaga caerulescens	Black-throated Blue Warbler	
Setophaga coronata	Yellow-rumped Warbler	
Setophaga discolor	Prairie Warbler	
Setophaga dominica	Yellow-throated Warbler	
Setophaga palmarum	Palm Warbler	
Setophaga petechia	Yellow Warbler	
Setophaga pinus	Pine Warbler	
Setophaga ruticilla	American Redstart	
Setophaga striata	Blackpoll Warbler	
Sialia sialis	Eastern Bluebird	
Sitta pusilla	Brown-headed Nuthatch	
Spatula discors	Blue-winged Teal	
Sphyrapicus varius	Yellow-bellied Sapsucker	
Spinus tristis	American Goldfinch	
Stelgidopteryx serripennis	Northern Rough-winged Swallow	
Sterna forsteri	Forster's Tern	
Sterna hirundo	Common Tern	
Sternula antillarum	Least Tern	ST
Streptopelia decaocto	Eurasian Collared Dove	Non-native

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Strix varia	Barred Owl	
Sturnella magna	Eastern Meadowlark	
Sturnus vulgaris	European Starling	Non-native
Tachycineta bicolor	Tree Swallow	
Thalasseus maximus	Royal Tern	
Thalasseus sandvicensis	Sandwich Tern	
Thryothorus ludovicianus	Carolina Wren	
Toxostoma rufum	Brown Thrasher	
Tringa flavipes	Lesser Yellowlegs	
Tringa melanoleuca	Greater Yellowlegs	
Tringa semipalmata	Willet	
Tringa solitaria	Solitary Sandpiper	
Troglodytes aedon	House Wren	
Troglodytes hiemalis	Winter Wren	
Turdus migratorius	American Robin	
Tyrannus dominicensis	Gray Kingbird	
Tyrannus tyrannus	Eastern Kingbird	
Tyrannus verticalis	Western Kingbird	
Tyto alba	Barn Owl	
Vermivora celata	Orange-crowned Warbler	
Vermivora cyonoptera	Blue-winged Warbler	
Vireo altiloquus	Black-whiskered Vireo	
Vireo griseus	White-eyed Vireo	
Vireo olivaceus	Red-eyed Vireo	
Vireo solitarius	Blue-headed Vireo	
Zenaida macroura	Mourning Dove	

a. Key to abbreviations: Species listed by the State of Florida as Federally-designated Endangered (FE), Federally-designated Threatened (FT).

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Blarina shermani	Sherman's Short-tailed Shrew	ST
Canis latrans	Coyote	Non-native
Cryptotis parva	Least Shrew	
Dasypus novemcinctus	Nine-banded Armadillo	Non-native
Didelphis virginiana	Virginia Opossum	
Eumops floridanus	Florida Bonneted Bat	FE
Glaucomys volans	Southern Flying Squirrel	
Lontra canadensis	River Otter	
Lynx rufus	Bobcat	
Mephitis mephitis	Striped Skunk	
Mustela frenata olivacea	Southeastern Weasel	
Neovison vison evergladensis	Everglades Mink	ST
Odocoileous virginianus	White-tailed Deer	
Oryzomys palustris	Marsh Rice Rat	
Perimyotis subflavu	Tricolored Bat	
Peromyscus gossypinus	Cotton Mouse	
Procyon lotor	Raccoon	
Puma concolor	Florida Panther	FE
Sciurus carolinensis	Eastern Gray Squirrel	
Sciurus niger avicennia	Big Cypress Fox Squirrel	ST
Sigmodon hispidus	Hispid Cotton Rat	
Spilogale putorius	Eastern Spotted Skunk	
Sus scrofa	Wild Pig	Non-native
Sylvilagus floridanus	Eastern Cottontail Rabbit	
Sylvilagus palustris	Marsh Rabbit	
Trichechus manatus	West Indian Manatee	FT
Tursiops truncatus	Atlantic Bottle-nosed Dolphin	Marine Mammal Protection Act
Urocyon cinereoargenteus	Gray Fox	
Ursus americanus	American Black Bear	Bear Conservation Rule
Vulpes vulpes	Red Fox	Non-native

Table 3. List of mammals utilizing the GHOTE

		· -
Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Agama picticauda	Peter's Rock Agama	Non-native
Agkistrodon piscivorus	Florida Cottonmouth	
Alligator mississippiensis	American Alligator	FT(S/A)
Anolis carolinensis	Green Anole	
Anolis equestris	Knight Anole	Non-native
Anolis sagrei	Brown Anole	Non-native
Apalone ferox	Florida Softshell	
Cemophora coccinea	Florida Scarlet Snake	
Chelydra serpentina osceola	Florida Snapping Turtle	
Coluber constrictor priapus	Southern Black Racer	
Crocodylus acutus	American Crocodile	FT
Crotalus adamanteus	Eastern Diamondback Rattlesnake	
Deirochelys reticularia chrysea	Florida Chicken Turtle	
Diadophis punctatus	Southern Ringneck Snake	
Drymarchon couperi	Eastern Indigo Snake	FT
Farancia abacura	Eastern Mud Snake	
Hemidactylus garnotii	Indo-Pacific Gecko	Non-native
Heterodon platirhinos	Eastern Hognose Snake	
Igauana iguana	Green Iguana	Non-native
Kinosternon baurii	Striped Mud Turtle	
Lampropeltis elapsoides	Scarlet Kingsnake	
Lampropeltis getulus floridana	Florida Kingsnake	
Leiocephalus carinatus	Norhern Curly-tailed Lizard	Non-native
Malaclemys terrapin macrospilota	Ornate Diamondback Terrapin	Protected by FWC from being collected in the field without a permit
Micrurus fulvius	Eastern Coral Snake	
Nerodia clarkia compressicauda	Mangrove Watersnake	
Nerodia fasciata pictiventris	Florida Watersnake	
Neroida floridana	Florida Green Watersnake	

Table 4. List of reptiles on the GHOTE

Scientific Name	Common Name	Listing Status
Neroida taxispilota	Brown Watersnake	
Opheodrys aestivus	Rough Green Snake	
Ophisaurus ventralis	Eastern Glass Lizard	
Pantherophis alleghaniensis	Eastern Ratsnake	
Pantherophis guttatus	Corn Snake	
Plestiodon inexpecatus	Southeastern Five-lined Skink	
Pseudemys nelsoni	Florida Redbelly Turtle	
Python bivittatus	Burmese Python	Non-native
Regina alleni	Striped Crayfish Snake	
Salvator merianae	Argentine Black-and-white Tegu	Non-native
Seminatrix pygaea cyclas	Southern Florida swamp snake	
Sistrurus miliarius barbouri	Dusky Pigmy Rattlesnake	
Storeria victa	Florida Brown Snake	
Terrapene carolina baurii	Florida Box Turtle	
Thamnophis saurita	Ribbon Snake	
Thamnophis sirtalis	Eastern Garter Snake	

Table 4 (Continued). List of reptiles on the GHOTE

a. Key to abbreviations: Species listed by the State of Florida as Federally-designated Threatened because of similarity of appearance (FT(S/A)).

Table 5.	List of amphibians	on the GHOTE
----------	--------------------	--------------

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Acris gryllus dorsalis	Florida Cricket Frog	
Amphiuma means	Two-toed Amphiuma	
Anaxyrus quercicus	Oak Toad	
Anaxyrus terristris	Southern Toad	
Eleutherodactylus planirostris	Greenhouse Frog	Non-Native
Gastrophryne carolinensis	Eastern Narrowmouth Toad	
Hyla cinerea	Green Treefrog	
Hyla gratiosa	Barking Treefrog	
Hyla squirrella	Squirrel Treefrog	
Lithobates grylio	Pig Frog	
Lithobates sphenocephala	Southern leopard frog	
Notophthalmus viridescens piaropicola	Peninsula Newt	
Osteopilus septentrionalis	Cuban Treefrog	Non-Native
Pseudacris nigrita	Southern Chorus Frog	
Pseudacris ocularis	Little Grass Frog	
Siren lacertina	Greater Siren	

Table 6. List of fish utilizing the GHOTE

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Belonesox belizanus	Pike Topminnon	Non-native
Centropomus undecimalis	Common Snook	
Cyprindon variegatus	Sheepshead Minnow	
Eucinostomus harengulus	Tidewater Morjarra	
Eugerres plumieri	Striped Mojarra	
Genus: Mugil	Common Mullet	
Genus: Oreochromis	Tilapias	Non-native
Lepisosteus platyrhincus	Florida Gar	
Mayaheros urophthalmus	Mayan Cichlid	Non-native
Menidia berylina	Inland Silverside	
Poecilia latipinna	Sailfin Molly	
Pristis pectinata	Smalltooth Sawfish	FE
Rubricatochomis letourneuxi	Letourneux's Jewel Cichlid	Non-native

Scientific Name	Common Name	Status
Aculops rhois	Poison Ivy Leaf Mite	
Anartia jatrophae	White Peacock Butterfly	
Camponotus floridanus	Florida Carpenter Ant	
Celithemis eponina	Halloween Pennant	
Doryodes bistrilis	Double-lined Doryodes	
Erythrodiplax berenice	Seaside Dragonlet	
Family: Culicidea	Mosquito	
Family: Hydrophilidae	Water Scavenger Beetle	
Family: Ostreidae	True Oyster	
Genus: Anaxipha	Brown Trigs	
Genus: Beatis	Blue-winged Olives	
Genus: Neonemobious	Small Ground Cricket	
Genus: Penestola	Pearl Moth	
Genus: Toxorhina	Crane Fly	
Genus: Hentzia	Long-jawed Jumping Spiders	
Genus: Neoscona	Spotted Orbweavers	
Gymnandrosoma punctidiscanum	Dotted Gymnandrosoma Moth	
Herpetogramma bipunctalis	Southern Beet Webworm Moth	
Leptoglossus phyllopus	Eastern Leat-footed Bug	
Leucania incognita	Wainscot	
Leucauge argyra	Orchard Orb Weaver	
Leucauge argyrobapta	Mabel Orchard Orbweaver	
Libellula needhami	Needham's Skimmer	
Mangora placida	Tuft-legged Orbweaver	
Marisa cornuarietis	Giant Ramshorn Snail	Non-native
Mecaphesa celer	Swift Crab Spider	
Melogena corona	American Crowned Conch	
Metamasius callizona	Mexican Bromeliad Weevil	Non-native
Paroxya clavuligera	Olive-green Swamp Grasshopper	
Penestola bufalis	Black Penestola Moth	
Planorbella trivolvis	Marsh Ramshorn Snail	
Polygyra cereolus	Southern Flatcoil	
Pomacea maculata	Island Apple Snail	Non-native
Pomacea paludosa	Florida Apple Snail	
Romalea microtera	Florida Lubber	
Samea castellalis	Stained-glass Moth	
Samea muliplicalis	Salvinia Stem Borer Moth	

Table 7.	List of	invertebrates	on the	GHOTE
----------	---------	---------------	--------	-------

Table 7 (Continued). List of invertebrates on the GHOTE			
S	cientific Name	Common Name	Status
Seler	nops submaculosus	Wall Crab Spider	
Stagn	nomantis floridensis	Larger Florida Mantis	
Subfa	mily: Melolonthinae	June Beetle	
Sub	family: Tortricinae	Tortricine Leafroller Moth	
Tetr	agnatha laboriosa	Silver Long-jawed Orbweaver	

Table 7 (Continued). List of invertebrates on the GHOTE