

# **PESTICIDE SURFACE WATER AND SEDIMENT QUALITY REPORT**

## **FEBRUARY 2012 SAMPLING EVENT**



*Richard J. Pfeuffer*  
South Florida Water Management District  
MSC 4441  
3301 Gun Club Road  
West Palm Beach, FL 33406

**Table of Contents**

Summary.....2  
Background and Methods.....2  
Results .....3  
Usage and Water Quality Impacts .....4  
Quality Assurance Evaluation.....6  
Figure 1. South Florida Water Management District Pesticide Monitoring Network.....7  
Table 1. Method detection limits (MDLs) and practical quantitation limits (PQLs) for February 2012 sampling event..8  
Table 2. Summary of pesticide residues ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) detected above the method detection limit in surface water samples collected by SFWMD in February 2012. ....9  
Table 3. Summary of pesticides residues ( $\mu\text{g/Kg}$ ) detected above the method detection limit in sediment.....10 samples collected by SFWMD in February 2012.....10  
Table 4. Selected properties of pesticides detected during the February 2012 sampling event. ....11  
Table 5. Toxicity of pesticides detected during the February 2012 sampling event to freshwater aquatic invertebrates and fishes ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ). ....12  
Table 6. Atrazine Desethyl (DEA)/Atrazine ratio (DAR) data for February 2012 sampling event. ....13  
Glossary .....14  
References.....15

**Summary**

As part of the South Florida Water Management District’s (SFWMD) quarterly ambient monitoring program, unfiltered water and sediment samples were collected February 9 to February 15, 2012, and analyzed for over 70 pesticides and/or products of their degradation.

The herbicides 2,4-D, ametryn, atrazine, hexazinone, and norflurazon along with the degradate atrazine desethyl, were detected in one or more of these surface water samples. No harmful impacts are expected from the detected pesticides.

The insecticides/degradates DDD, DDE, and DDT were found in the sediment at several locations. Two DDE and one DDD compound sediment concentrations were of a magnitude considered to have a harmful effect to freshwater sediment-dwelling organisms. No harmful impacts are expected from the other detected pesticides.

The compounds and concentrations found are typical of those expected from an area of intensive historical and contemporary agricultural activity.

**Background and Methods**

The SFWMD pesticide monitoring network includes stations designated in the Everglades Settlement Agreement, the Lake Okeechobee Protection Act Permit, and the non-Everglades

Construction Project (non-ECP) permit. The canals and marshes depicted in **Figure 1** are protected as Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) 62-302 Class III (fishable and swimmable) waters, while Lake Okeechobee and a segment of the Caloosahatchee River are protected as a Class I drinking water supply. Water Conservation Area 1 (WCA-1) and the Everglades National Park are also designated as Outstanding Florida Waters, to which anti-degradation standards apply. Surface water and sediment are sampled quarterly and semiannually, respectively, upstream at each structure identified in the permit or agreement. Sediment samples are collected using a petite Ponar® dredge.

Seventy-three pesticides and degradation products were analyzed in samples from 26 of the network 27 sites (**Figure 1**). The analytes, their respective method detection limits (MDLs), and practical quantitation limits (PQLs) are listed in **Table 1**. All the analytical work is performed by the Florida Department of Environmental Protection (FDEP) Central Laboratory in Tallahassee, Florida. Analytical method details can be found at the following location: <http://www.dep.state.fl.us/labs/cgi-bin/sop/chemsop.asp>.

To evaluate the potential impacts on aquatic life, the observed concentration is compared to the appropriate criterion outlined in F.A.C. 62-302.530. If a pesticide compound is not specifically listed, acute and chronic toxicity criterion are calculated as one-third and one-twentieth, respectively, of the amount lethal to 50% of the test organisms in 96 hours, using the lowest technical grade effective concentration 50 (EC<sub>50</sub>) or lethal concentration 50 (LC<sub>50</sub>) reported in the summarized literature for the species significant to the indigenous aquatic community (F.A.C. 62-302.200). Each pesticide's description and possible uses and sites of application described herein are taken from Hartley and Kidd (1987). Sediment concentrations are compared to freshwater sediment quality assessment guidelines (MacDonald Environmental Sciences, Ltd., and United States Geological Survey, 2003). A value below the threshold effect concentration (TEC) should not have a harmful effect on sediment-dwelling organisms. Values above the probable effect concentration (PEC) demonstrate that harmful effects to sediment-dwelling organisms are likely to be frequently or always observed. This summary covers surface water and sediment samples collected from February 9 to February 15, 2012.

### **Results**

At least one pesticide was detected in surface water at 16 of the 26 sites and in sediment at 8 of the 20 sites. The non-ECP permit requires sampling at S142 only during discharge or flow events. For this sampling event, no sample was obtained due to the lack of discharge at the time of sample collection. A minor modification of the Lake Okeechobee Water Control Structure Operations Permit (#0174552-010, dated December 18, 2011) eliminated sediment sampling at S65E, S191, and FECSR78. Additionally, sediment sampling was reduced to an annual frequency at S2, S3, and S4 for only ametryn, chlordane, DDD, DDE, and DDT analysis. Sediment samples are not collected at S333, S356-334, and TAMBR105, due to no requirement in the respective mandate. All of these compounds have previously been detected in this monitoring program.

The sediment DDE concentrations at S2 and S6 as well as the DDD concentration at S6, were of a magnitude considered to represent detrimental effects to sediment-dwelling organisms in

freshwater sediments. All other detected concentrations in the surface water and sediment were below any effect level.

The above findings must be considered with the caveat that pesticide concentrations in surface water and sediment may vary significantly in relation to the timing and magnitude of pesticide application, rainfall events, pumping and other factors, and that this was only one sampling event. The possible acute and chronic toxicity and environmental fate impacts are reported based on the single sampling event and do not take into account previous monitoring data.

### ***Usage and Water Quality Impacts***

**2,4-D:** 2,4-D is a selective systemic herbicide used for the post-emergence control of annual and perennial broad leaf weeds in terrestrial (grassland, established turf, sugarcane, rice, and on non-crop areas) as well as aquatic areas. Environmental fate and toxicity data in **Tables 4 and 5** indicate that 2,4-D (1) has minimum loss from soil by surface adsorption, with a moderate loss by leaching and surface solution; (2) is slightly toxic to mammals and relatively non-toxic to fish; and (3) does not bioaccumulate significantly. The highest 2,4-D residue was detected at S6 (0.22 micrograms per liter [ $\mu\text{g/L}$ ]) (**Table 2**). Using these criteria, this observed level should not have an acute or chronic effect on fish or aquatic invertebrates. 2,4-D was not detected in the sediment.

**Ametryn:** Ametryn is a selective terrestrial herbicide registered for use on sugarcane, bananas, pineapple, citrus, corn, and non-crop areas. Most algal effects occur at concentrations greater than ( $>$ ) 10  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (Verschueren, 1983). Environmental fate and toxicity data in **Tables 4 and 5** indicate that ametryn (1) is lost from soil relatively easily by leaching, surface adsorption, and in surface solution; (2) is relatively non-toxic to mammals and fish; and (3) does not bioconcentrate significantly. Additional fish toxicity data include a 96-hour  $\text{LC}_{50}$  of 14.1 milligrams per liter ( $\text{mg/L}$ ) for goldfish (Hartley and Kidd, 1987). The ametryn surface water concentrations found in this sampling event ranged from 0.017 to 0.10  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (**Table 2**). Using these criteria, these observed surface water concentrations should not have an acute, detrimental impact on fish or aquatic invertebrates. Ametryn was not detected in the sediment.

**Atrazine:** Atrazine is a selective systemic herbicide registered for use on pineapple, sugarcane, corn, rangelands, ornamental turf and lawn grasses, and non-crop areas. Environmental fate and toxicity data in **Tables 4 and 5** indicate that atrazine (1) is easily lost from soil by leaching and in surface solution, with moderate loss from surface adsorption; (2) is relatively non-toxic to mammals and fish; and (3) does not bioconcentrate significantly. Additional fish toxicity data include a 96-hour  $\text{LC}_{50}$  of 76  $\text{mg/L}$  for carp, 16  $\text{mg/L}$  for perch, and 4.3  $\text{mg/L}$  for guppies (Hartley and Kidd, 1987). Also, in a flow-through bioassay, the maximum acceptable toxicant concentration (MATC) of atrazine was 90 and 210  $\mu\text{g/L}$  for bluegill and fathead minnow, respectively (Verschueren, 1983). The draft ambient aquatic life water quality criterion identifies a one-hour average concentration that does not exceed 1,500  $\mu\text{g/L}$  more than once every three years on the average (United States Environmental Protection Agency [U.S. EPA], 2003). The atrazine surface water concentrations found in this sampling event at 12 of the 26 sampling locations, ranged from 0.013 to 0.66  $\mu\text{g/L}$  (**Table 2**). Using these criteria, these observed surface water

concentrations should not have an acute or chronic detrimental impact on fish or invertebrates. Atrazine was not detected in the sediment.

Atrazine desethyl (DEA) and atrazine desisopropyl (DIA) are biotic degradation products of atrazine. These degradation products are both persistent and mobile in water; however, DEA is more stable and the dominant initial metabolite. Since DEA and DIA are structurally and toxicologically similar to atrazine, the concentrations of total atrazine residue (atrazine + DEA + DIA) may also be a significant consideration in the surface water environment. The DEA to atrazine ratio (DAR), on a molar basis, has been suggested as an indicator of nonpoint-source pollution of groundwater (Adams and Thurman, 1991) and as a tracer of groundwater discharge into rivers (Thurman et al., 1992). Goolsby et al. (1997) determined that low DAR values, median <0.1, occur in streams during runoff shortly after application of atrazine. Higher DAR values, median about 0.4, occur later in the year after considerable degradation of atrazine to DEA has occurred in the soil (Goolsby et al. (1997). The low median DAR ratio (e.g. 0.05) at the locations where both atrazine and DEA were detected, suggests minimum degradation of atrazine (**Table 6**). However, these general guidelines were developed based on observations in Midwest watersheds in northern temperate climates with different soil and water management regimes as well as higher atrazine water concentrations. Applications to the South Florida environment should be made with caution.

DDD, DDE, DDT: DDE is an abbreviation of **d**ichloro**d**iphenyl**d**ichloroethylene [2, 2-bis (4-chlorophenyl)-1, 1-dichloroethene]. DDE is an environmental dehydrochlorination product of DDT (**d**ichloro**d**iphenyl**t**richloroethane), a popular insecticide for which the U.S. EPA cancelled all uses in 1973. The large volume of DDT used, the persistence of DDT, DDE and another metabolite, DDD (**d**ichloro**d**iphenyl**d**ichloroethane), and the high  $K_{oc}$  of these compounds account for the frequent detections in sediments. The large hydrophobicity of these compounds also results in a significant bioconcentration factor (**Table 4**). In sufficient quantities, these residues have reproductive effects in wildlife and carcinogenic effects in many mammals.

The DDD sediment concentrations detected range from 4.3 to 36 micrograms per kilogram ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$ ) (**Table 3**). Any concentration which would fall below the TEC ( $4.9 \mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$ ) should not impact sediment dwelling organisms while concentrations above the PEC ( $28 \mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$ ), frequently or always have the possibility for impacting sediment-dwelling organisms. Two of the four sediment concentrations detected were between the TEC and PEC. These concentrations may have the possibility for harmful effects on freshwater sediment-dwelling organisms. The sediment concentration detected at S6 ( $36 \mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$ ) (**Table 3**) exceeded the PEC. DDD was not detected in the surface water.

The TEC is  $3.2 \mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$  and the PEC is  $31 \mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$  for DDE in freshwater sediments. The concentration of DDE detected at S2 and S6 (**Table 3**) exceeded the PEC and frequently or always have the possibility for impacting sediment-dwelling organisms. DDE was not detected in the surface water.

The DDT concentration detected at S6 (**Table 3**) did not exceed the TEC (4.2 µg/Kg). At this level, there should not be any possibility for impacting sediment-dwelling freshwater organisms. No DDT was detected in the surface water.


Hexazinone: Hexazinone is a non-selective contact herbicide that inhibits photosynthesis. Registered uses include sugarcane, pineapple, and non-crop areas. Environmental fate and toxicity data in **Tables 4 and 5** indicate that hexazinone (1) is easily lost from soil by leaching, with moderate loss from surface adsorption or surface solution; (2) is relatively non-toxic to mammals and fish; and (3) does not bioconcentrate significantly. Hexazinone is practically non-toxic to freshwater invertebrates with an EC<sub>50</sub> of 145 mg/L for *Daphnia magna* (U.S. EPA, 1988). The only surface water concentration detected in this sampling event at S191 (0.10 µg/L) (**Table 2**) should not have an acute impact on fish or aquatic invertebrates. Hexazinone was not detected in the sediment.

Norflurazon: Norflurazon is a selective herbicide registered for use on many crops including citrus. Environmental fate and toxicity data in **Tables 4 and 5** indicate that norflurazon (1) is easily lost from soil surface solution and a moderate potential for loss due to leaching and surface adsorption; (2) is relatively non-toxic to mammals and fish; and (3) does not bioconcentrate significantly. The LC50 for norflurazon is >200 mg/L for catfish and goldfish (Hartley and Kidd, 1987). The highest norflurazon surface water concentration detected (0.044 µg/L at S190) (**Table 2**) is several orders of magnitude below the calculated chronic action level. Using these criteria, these observed concentrations should not have an acute, detrimental impact on fish or aquatic invertebrates. Norflurazon was not detected in the sediment.

#### ***Quality Assurance Evaluation***

No pesticide analytes were detected in the equipment blanks performed at S18C, S331, S2, S3, S8, US41-25, and S5A. All of the collected samples were shipped and all bottles were received.

**Figure 1.** South Florida Water Management District Pesticide Monitoring Network.



Pesticide Monitoring Program Report: February 2012 Sampling Event

**Table 1.** Method detection limits (MDLs) and practical quantitation limits (PQLs) for February 2012 sampling event.

Pesticide or metabolite	Water: range of MDLs - PQLs (µg/L)	Sediment: range of MDLs - PQLs (µg/Kg)	Pesticide or metabolite	Water: range of MDLs - PQLs (µg/L)	Sediment: range of MDLs - PQLs (µg/Kg)
2,4-D	0.2 - 0.62	9.3 - 150	endrin aldehyde	0.0037 - 0.016	0.95 - 26
2,4,5-T	0.2 - 0.62	9.3 - 150	ethion	0.0093 - 0.04	2.4 - 65
2,4,5-TP (silvex)	0.2 - 0.63	9.3 - 150	ethoprop	0.0093 - 0.04	2.4 - 65
acifluorfen	0.2 - 0.62	9.3 - 150	fenamiphos	0.037 - 0.16	4.8 - 130
alachlor	0.056 - 0.24	14 - 390	fonofos	0.0093 - 0.04	2.4 - 65
aldrin	0.0019 - 0.008	0.48 - 13	heptachlor	0.0019 - 0.008	0.48 - 13
ametryn	0.0093 - 0.04	2.4 - 65	heptachlor epoxide	0.0019 - 0.008	0.48 - 13
atrazine	0.0094 - 0.13	2.4 - 65	hexazinone	0.019 - 0.092	4.8 - 130
atrazine desethyl	0.0093 - 0.04	N/A	imidacloprid	0.21 - 0.68	N/A
atrazine desisopropyl	0.0093 - 0.04	N/A	linuron	0.21 - 0.68	8.6 - 130
azinphos methyl (guthion)	0.028 - 0.12	7.1 - 190	malathion	0.028 - 0.12	4.8 - 130
α-BHC (alpha)	0.0019 - 0.008	0.48 - 13	metalaxyl	0.047 - 0.2	N/A
β-BHC (beta)	0.0019 - 0.008	0.48 - 13	methamidophos	N/A	24 - 650
δ-BHC (delta)	0.0019 - 0.008	0.48 - 13	methoxychlor	0.0093 - 0.04	3.3 - 90
γ-BHC (gamma) (lindane)	0.0019 - 0.008	0.48 - 13	metolachlor	0.056 - 0.24	14 - 390
bromacil	0.047 - 0.2	9.5 - 260	metribuzin	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 130
butylate	0.019 - 0.08	N/A	mevinphos	0.056 - 0.24	9.5 - 260
carbophenothion (trithion)	0.0056 - 0.024	1.4 - 39	mirex	0.0037 - 0.016	0.95 - 26
chlordane	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 130	monocrotophos	N/A	24 - 650
chlorothalonil	0.0075 - 0.032	1.4 - 39	naled	0.075 - 0.32	19 - 520
chlorpyrifos ethyl	0.0093 - 0.04	2.4 - 65	norflurazon	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 130
chlorpyrifos methyl	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 130	parathion ethyl	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 130
cypermethrin	0.011 - 0.048	2.4 - 65	parathion methyl	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 130
DDD-P,P'	0.0037 - 0.016	0.95 - 26	PCB-1016	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 100
DDE-P,P'	0.0037 - 0.016	0.95 - 26	PCB-1221	0.019 - 0.08	9.5 - 210
DDT-P,P'	0.0037 - 0.016	1.4 - 39	PCB-1232	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 100
demeton	0.028 - 0.12	7.1 - 190	PCB-1242	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 100
diazinon	0.019 - 0.08	2.4 - 65	PCB-1248	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 100
dicofol (kelthane)	0.022 - 0.096	5.7 - 150	PCB-1254	0.019 - 0.08	7.1 - 150
dieldrin	0.0019 - 0.008	0.48 - 13	PCB-1260	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 100
disulfoton	0.019 - 0.08	2.4 - 65	permethrin	0.0093 - 0.04	2.4 - 65
diuron	0.21 - 0.68	8.6 - 130	phorate	0.0093 - 0.04	2.4 - 65
α-endosulfan (alpha)	0.0019 - 0.016	0.48 - 13	prometryn	0.019 - 0.08	4.8 - 130
β-endosulfan (beta)	0.0019 - 0.016	0.48 - 13	prometon	0.019 - 0.08	N/A
endosulfan sulfate	0.0037 - 0.036	0.95 - 26	simazine	0.0093 - 0.04	2.4 - 65
endrin	0.006 - 0.026	1.5 - 41	toxaphene	0.093 - 0.4	29 - 770
			trifluralin	0.0075 - 0.034	1.9 - 52

N/A – not analyzed

Pesticide Monitoring Program Report: February 2012 Sampling Event

---

**Table 2.** Summary of pesticide residues ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ ) detected above the method detection limit in surface water samples collected by SFWMD in February 2012.

Date	Location	Flow	2,4-D	ametryn	atrazine	atrazine desethyl	hexazinone	norflurazon	Number of compounds detected at location	
2/9/2012	S177	N	-	-	0.013 I*	-	-	-	1	
	S178	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
	S18C	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
	S331	Y	-	-	0.038 I	-	-	-	1	
	S332DX	N	-	-	0.021 I	-	-	-	1	
2/13/2012	FECSR78	N	0.21 I	-	-	-	-	-	1	
	S12A	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
	S191	N	0.20 I	-	-	-	0.10	-	2	
	S2	N	-	0.021 I*	0.23 *	0.022 I*	-	-	3	
	S3	N	-	0.017 I	0.58	0.021 I	-	-	3	
	S31	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
	S333	Y	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
	S356-334	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
	S4	N	-	-	0.21	0.021 I	-	-	2	
	S65E	Y	-	-	0.049	-	-	-	1	
	TAMBR105	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
	US41-25	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	
	2/14/2012	G123	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
		L3BRS	N	-	-	-	-	-	-	0
S140		N	-	-	-	-	-	0.040 I	1	
S190		N	-	-	-	-	-	0.044 I	1	
S8		N	-	-	0.02 I	-	-	-	1	
2/15/2012	S5A	N	-	0.037 I	0.66	0.030 I	-	-	3	
	S6	N	0.22 I	0.10	0.44	0.012 I	-	-	4	
	S7	N	-	-	0.023 I	-	-	-	1	
	S9	Y	-	-	0.12	-	-	-	1	
Total number of compound detections			3	4	12	5	1	2	27	

N – no, Y – yes, R – reverse; - denotes that the result is below the method detection limit; \* value is the average of replicate samples; I – value reported is less than the practical quantitation limit, and greater than or equal to the method detection limit

Pesticide Monitoring Program Report: February 2012 Sampling Event

**Table 3.** Summary of pesticides residues ( $\mu\text{g}/\text{Kg}$ ) detected above the method detection limit in sediment samples collected by SFWMD in February 2012.

Date	Location	Flow	DDD-P,P'	DDE-P,P'	DDT-P,P'	Number of compounds detected at location
2/9/2012	S177	N	-	7.4	-	1
	S178	N	-	6.9 I	-	1
2/13/2012	S2	N	11 I *	<b>54</b> *	-	2
	S3	N	5.9 I	28	-	2
	S31	N	-	4.3 I	-	1
2/14/2012	S8	N	-	3.1 I	-	1
2/15/2012	S5A	N	4.3 I	18	-	2
	S6	N	<b>36</b>	<b>120</b>	4.1 I	3
Total number of compound detections			4	8	1	13

N – no, Y – yes, R – reverse; - denotes that the result is below the method detection limit

\* – value is the average of replicate samples

I – value reported is less than the practical quantitation limit, and greater than or equal to the method detection limit

Values in bold, italicized font are at a concentration that harmful effects to sediment-dwelling organisms are likely to be frequently or always observed.

Pesticide Monitoring Program Report: February 2012 Sampling Event

**Table 4.** Selected properties of pesticides detected during the February 2012 sampling event.

Common Name	Surface Water Standards F.A.C. 62-302 (µg/L)	Acute Oral LD <sub>50</sub> For Rats (mg/kg) (1)	Bioconcentration Factor (2)	Volatility from Water (2)	Soil Conservation Service (SCS) rating (3)			K <sub>oc</sub> (mL/g) (3, 4)	Soil Half-life (days) (3, 4)	Water Solubility (WS) (mg/L) (3, 4)	U.S. EPA Carcinogenic Potential (5)
					LE	SA	SS				
2,4-D (acid)	(100)	375	13	I	M	S	M	20	10	890	D
ametryn	-	1,110	33	I	M	M	M	300	60	185	D
atrazine	-	3,080	86	I	L	M	L	100	60	33	C
DDD-p,p'	-	3,400	3,173	I	-	-	-	239,900	-	0.055	-
DDE-p,p'	-	880	2,887	S	-	-	-	243,220	-	0.065	-
DDT-p,p'	0.001	113	15,377	I	-	-	-	140,000	-	0.00335	-
hexazinone	-	1,690	2	I	L	M	M	54	90	33,000	D
norflurazon	-	9,400	94	I	M	M	L	700	90	28	C

- No data available

FDEP F.A.C. 62-302 surface water standards (4/2008) for Class III waters except Class I noted in ( )

Bioconcentration Factor (BCF) calculated as  $BCF = 10^{(2.71 - 0.564 \log WS)}$  (2)

Volatility from water: R = rapid, I = insignificant, S = significant

SCS ratings are pesticide loss due to leaching (LE), surface adsorption (SA) or surface solution (SS) and grouped as large (L), medium (M), small (S), or extra small (XS)

B2: probable human carcinogen; C: possible human carcinogen; D: not classified; E: evidence of non-carcinogen for humans (5)

(1) Hartley and Kidd (1987)

(2) Lyman, et al. (1990)

(3) Goss and Wauchope (1992)

(4) Montgomery (1993)

(5) U.S. EPA (1996a)

Pesticide Monitoring Program Report: February 2012 Sampling Event

**Table 5.** Toxicity of pesticides detected during the February 2012 sampling event to freshwater aquatic invertebrates and fishes (µg/L).

Common Name	48 hr EC <sub>50</sub> Water flea <i>Daphnia magna</i>	Acute Toxicity (*)	Chronic Toxicity (*)	96 hr LC <sub>50</sub> Fathead Minnow (#) <i>Pimephales promelas</i>	Acute Toxicity (*)	Chronic Toxicity (*)	96 hr LC <sub>50</sub> Bluegill <i>Lepomis macrochirus</i>	Acute Toxicity (*)	Chronic Toxicity (*)	96 hr LC <sub>50</sub> Largemouth Bass <i>Micropterus salmoides</i>	Acute Toxicity (*)	Chronic Toxicity (*)	96 hr LC <sub>50</sub> Rainbow Trout (#) <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Acute Toxicity (*)	Chronic Toxicity (*)	96 hr LC <sub>50</sub> Channel Catfish <i>Ictalurus punctatus</i>	Acute Toxicity (*)	Chronic Toxicity (*)
2,4-D	25,000 (5)	8,333	1,250	133,000 (5)	44,333	6,650	180,000 (9)	60,000	9,000	-	-	-	100,000 (2)	33,333	5,000	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	900 (48 hr) (4)	-	-	-	-	-	110,000 (5)	36,667	5,500	-	-	-
ametryn	28,000 (5)	9,333	1,400	16,000 (6)	5,333	800	4,100 (2)	1,367	205	-	-	-	8,800 (2)	2,933	440	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3,600 (6)	1,200	180	-	-	-
atrazine	6,900 (5)	2,300	345	15,000 (5)	5,000	750	16,000 (2)	5,333	800	-	-	-	8,800 (2)	2,933	440	7,600 (2)	2,533	380
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5,300 (7)	1,767	265	-	-	-
DDD-p,p'	3,200 (4)	1,067	160	4,400 (1)	1,467	220	42 (1)	14	2.1	42 (1)	14	2.1	70 (1)	23.3	4	1,500 (1)	500	75
DDE-p,p'	-	-	-	-	-	-	240 (1)	80	12	-	-	-	32 (1)	10.7	2	-	-	-
DDT-p,p'	-	-	-	19 (3)	6.3	0.95	8 (3)	2.7	0.4	2 (3)	0.7	0.10	7 (3)	2.3	0	16 (3)	5.3	0.8
hexazinone	151,600 (5)	50,533	7,580	274,000 (2)	91,333	13,700	100,000 (5)	33,333	5,000	-	-	-	180,000 (5)	60,000	9,000	-	-	-
	151,600 (8)	50,533	7,580	274,000 (8)	91,333	13,700	505,000 (8)	168,333	25,250	-	-	-	>320,000 (8)	>106,667	> 16,000	-	-	-
norflurazon	15,000 (5)	5,000	750	-	-	-	16,300 (5)	5,433	815	-	-	-	8,100 (5)	2,700	405	>200,000 (2)	>67,000	>10,000
	>15,000 (10)	>5,000	>750	-	-	-	16,300 (10)	5,433	815	-	-	-	8,100 (10)	2,700	405	-	-	-

- No data available

(\*) Florida Administrative Code (F.A.C.) 62-302.200, for compounds not specifically listed, acute and chronic toxicity standards are calculated as one-third and one-twentieth, respectively, of the amount lethal to 50% of the test organisms in 96 hours, where the 96 hour LC<sub>50</sub> is the lowest value which has been determined for a species significant to the indigenous aquatic community.

(#) Species is not indigenous. Information is given for comparison purposes only.

- (1) Johnson and Finley (1980)
- (2) Hartley and Kidd (1987)
- (3) Montgomery (1993)
- (4) Verschueren (1983)
- (5) U.S. EPA (1991)
- (6) U.S. EPA (2005)
- (7) U.S. EPA (2006)
- (8) U.S. EPA (1994)
- (9) Mayer and Ellersieck (1986)
- (10) U.S. EPA (1996b)

Pesticide Monitoring Program Report: February 2012 Sampling Event

**Table 6.** Atrazine Desethyl (DEA)/Atrazine ratio (DAR) data for February 2012 sampling event.

Date	Site	Flow*	atrazine		atrazine desethyl		DAR
			µg/l	moles/l	µg/l	moles/l	
2/13/2012	S2	N	0.23	1.05107E-09	0.022	1.15652E-10	0.1
	S3	N	0.58	2.68911E-09	0.021	1.11922E-10	0.04
	S4	N	0.21	9.73642E-10	0.021	1.11922E-10	0.1
2/15/2012	S5A	N	0.66	3.06002E-09	0.030	1.59888E-10	0.1
	S6	N	0.44	2.04001E-09	0.012	6.39551E-11	0.03
			DAR	All sites	Flow only sites	No flow	
			average	0.07	-	0.07	
			median	0.08	-	0.05	
			minimum	0.03	-	0.03	
			maximum	0.11	-	0.11	

\* N – no, Y – yes, R - reverse

## Glossary

**Bioconcentration Factor:** The ratio of the concentration of a contaminant in an aquatic organism to the concentration in water, after a specified period of exposure via water only. The duration of exposure should be sufficient to achieve a near steady-state condition.

**EC<sub>50</sub>:** A concentration necessary for 50 percent of the aquatic species tested to exhibit a toxic effect short of mortality (e.g., swimming on side or upside down, cessation of swimming) within a short (acute) exposure period, usually 24 to 96 hours.

**Henry's law constant (H):** Relates the concentration of a compound in the gas phase to its concentration in the liquid phase. The constant is calculated from the formula:  $H = P_{vp}/S$  where  $P_{vp}$  is pressure in atmospheres and  $S$  is solubility in moles/meter<sup>3</sup> for a compound.

**K<sub>oc</sub>:** The soil/sediment partition or sorption coefficient normalized to the fraction of organic carbon in the soil. This value provides an indication of the chemical's tendency to partition between soil organic carbon and water.

**LC<sub>50</sub>:** A concentration which is lethal to 50 percent of the aquatic animals tested within a short (acute) exposure period, usually 24 to 96 hours.

**LD<sub>50</sub>:** The dosage which is lethal to 50 percent of the terrestrial animals tested within a short (acute) exposure period, usually 24 to 96 hours.

**Method Detection Limits (MDLs):** The minimum concentration of an analyte that can be detected with 99 percent confidence of its presence in the sample matrix.

**Practical Quantitation Limits (PQLs):** The lowest level of quantitation that can be reliably achieved within specified limit of precision and accuracy during routine laboratory operating conditions. The PQLs are further verified by analyzing spike concentrations whose relative standard deviation in 20 fortified water samples is < 15 percent. In general, PQLs are 2 to 5 times larger than the MDLs.

**Probable Effect Concentration (PEC):** The probable effect concentration is intended to identify concentrations above which harmful effects to sediment-dwelling organisms are likely to be frequently or always observed.

**Soil or water half-life:** The time required for one-half the concentration of the compound to be lost from the water or soil under the conditions of the test.

Threshold Effect Concentration (TEC): The threshold effect concentration is intended to identify concentrations below which harmful effects to freshwater sediment-dwelling organisms are unlikely to be observed.

### References

- Adams, C.D. and E.M. Thurman. 1991. *Formation and Transport of Deethylatrazine in the Soil and Vadose Zone*. Journal Environmental Quality, 20: 540-547.
- Callahan, M.A., M.W. Slimak, N.W. Gabel, I.P. May, C.F. Fowler, J.R. Freed, P. Jennings, R.L. Durfee, F.C. Witmore, B. Maestri, W.R. Mabey, B.R. Holt, and C. Gould. (1979). *Water-Related Environmental Fate of 129 Priority Pollutants, Volume I*. USEPA 440/4-79-029a.
- Goolsy, D.A., E.M. Thurman, M.L. Pomes, M.T. Meyer, and W.A. Battaglin. 1997. *Herbicides and Their Metabolites in Rainfall: Origin, Transport, and Deposition Patterns across the Midwestern and Northeastern United States, 1990-1991*. Environmental Science Technology, 31(5): 1325-1333.
- Goss, D. and R. Wauchope. (Eds.) 1992. *The SCS/ARS/CES Pesticide Properties Database: II Using It With Soils Data In A Screening Procedure*. Soil Conservation Service. Fort Worth, TX.
- Hartley, D. and H. Kidd. (Eds.) 1987. *The Agrochemicals Handbook*. Second Edition, The Royal Society of Chemistry. Nottingham, England.
- Johnson, W.W. and M.T. Finley. 1980. *Handbook of Acute Toxicity of Chemicals to Fish and Aquatic Invertebrates*. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service Resource Publication 137. Washington, DC.
- Lyman, W.J., W.F. Reehl, and D.H. Rosenblatt. 1990. *Handbook of Chemical Property Estimation Methods*. American Chemical Society, Washington, DC.
- MacDonald Environmental Sciences, Ltd. and United States Geological Survey. 2003. *Development and Evaluation of Numerical Sediment Quality Assessment Guidelines for Florida Inland Waters*. Report to Florida Department of Environmental Protection. Tallahassee, FL.
- Mayer, F.L. and M.R. Ellersieck. 1986. *Manual of Acute Toxicity: Interpretation and Database for 410 Chemicals and 66 Species of Freshwater Animals*. United States Fish and Wildlife Service Publication No. 160.

Montgomery, J.H. 1993. *Agrochemicals Desk Reference: Environmental Data*. Lewis Publishers. Chelsea, MI.

Thurman, E.M., D.A. Goolsby, M.T. Meyer, M.S. Mills, M.L. Pomes, and D.W. Kolpin. 1992. *A Reconnaissance Study of Herbicides and Their Metabolites in Surface Water of the Midwestern United States Using Immunoassay and Gas Chromatography/Mass Spectrometry*. *Environmental Science Technology*, 26(12): 2440-2447.

United States Environmental Protection Agency. 1988. Chemical Fact Sheet for Hexazinone. September, 1988.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1991. Pesticide Ecological Effects Database. Ecological Effects Branch, Office of Pesticide Programs, Washington, DC.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1994. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) Hexazinone. EPA 738-R-94-022 September 1994.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1996a. *Drinking Water Regulations and Health Advisories*. Office of Water. EPA 822-B-96-002.

\_\_\_\_\_. 1996b. Reregistration Eligibility Decision Norflurazon List A Case 0229.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2003. Ambient Aquatic Life Water Criteria for Atrazine. Revised Draft EPA-822-R-03-023. October 2003.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2005. Reregistration Eligibility Decision (RED) for Ametryn; EPA 738-R-05-006 September 2005.

\_\_\_\_\_. 2006. Decisions Document for Atrazine.

Verschuere, K. 1983. *Handbook of Environmental Data on Organic Chemicals*. Second Edition, Van Nostrand Reinhold Co. Inc. New York, NY.