SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



Resiliency Coordination Forum May 24, 2023



1. Opening Remarks





Wes Brooks, Ph.D.

Chief Resilience Officer Florida Statewide Office of Resilience



Housekeeping

Moderator: Yvette Bonilla



SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



2. Overview of District Resiliency Efforts



Carolina Maran, Ph.D., P.E., District Resiliency Officer

May 24, 2023



3. Resilient Florida







2021 LEGISLATION

"Always Ready Bill" Establishing the Program and 380.093, F.S.

Senate Bill 1954 / House Bill 7019 Unanimously passed in both chambers.

Became Law July 1, 2021.





2022 LEGISLATIVE CHANGES

- Added or modified definitions, eligible entities, due dates.
- More support for small communities.
- Requires some Vulnerability Assessments (VAs) include specific rainfall analyses.
- Statewide Resilience Plan must include funding amount not less than \$100 M.





PROGRAM ELEMENTS

RF Grant Program (Planning Grants)

Statewide Flooding and Sea Level Rise Resilience Plan Regional Resilience Entities (RRE)

Comprehensive Statewide Data Set and Assessment

Florida Flood Hub for Applied Science



RESILIENT FLORIDA TIMELINE PROJECT AWARDS AND KEY DATES





RESILIENT FLORIDA TIMELINE PROJECT AWARDS AND KEY DATES CONT.





RESILIENT FLORIDA GRANTS FOCUS ON PLANNING

Distribution of Entities Awarded Planning Grants for Vulnerability Assessments

- Municipalities with Planning VA FY21-22
- Municipalities Submitting Data through County VA FY21-22
- Municipalities with Planning VA FY22-23
- Municipalities Submitting Data through County VA FY22-23
 Counties with Planning VA FY21-22 *
 Counties Submitting Data through Regional Entities FY21-22
 Counties Submitting Data through Local Projects FY21-22
 Counties with Planning VA FY22-23

* Duval County covered by the City of Jacksonville VA



- Direct state funding supporting VAs for 63 counties and 158 municipalities between FY21-22 and FY22-23.
- Regional Resilience funding supporting VAs for 9 counties.
- 2 county submitting data on their own.



IMPLEMENTATION GRANT CYCLE TIMELINE FOR PORTAL APPLICATIONS (PAST & FUTURE)

JULY 1

Resilient Florida project portal opens to accept applications.

SEPT. 1

Deadline to submit proposed projects through portal.

DEC. 1

Statewide Flooding and Sea Level Rise Resilience Plan due.



RESILIENT FLORIDA STATEWIDE FLOODING AND SEA LEVEL RISE RESILIENCE PLAN



- Prioritized based on criteria in statute and implemented via rule 62S-8, F.A.C.
- 3-year rolling plan of projects:
 - Fully-fund projects to completion.
- Years 1, 2 and 3 preliminary plans use completed local VAs.
- Year 4+ plans use projects identified in the Statewide Vulnerability Assessment.



RESILIENT FLORIDA STATEWIDE FLOODING AND SEA LEVEL RISE RESILIENCE PLAN

A TIERED REVIEW PROCESS FOR THE PLAN WAS CREATED IN SB 1954 AND CODIFIED IN SECTION 380.093, F.S., AND INCORPORATED IN DEP RULE 62S-8, F.A.C.

TIER 3 (20%) Local match, previous commitment and exceeding minimum requirements.

TIER 1 (40%)

Addressing risks to critical assets and regionally significant assets, as well as existing efforts to reduce upland costs.



TIER 4 (10%)

Innovation to reduce costs, regional collaboration, and financially disadvantaged communities.

TIER 2 (30%)

Existing flooding conditions, readiness to proceed, environmental options and exceeding minimum requirements.



IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT TYPES – LOOKING AHEAD

FY 23-24 Statewide Flooding and Sea Level Rise Resilience Plan PENDING FINALIZATION OF STATE BUDGET APPROPRIATION



\$219,354,031



IMPLEMENTATION PROJECT TYPES

Resilient Florida Grants-to-date

35%

	0%	5%	10%	15%	20%	25%	30%
Enhancing Capability and Capacity of Stormwater Infrastructure	\$346,777,247						
Adapting Community Critica Infrastructure	\$289,523,412						
Improving Transportation 8 Evacuation Routes	\$209,859,933						
Natural Systems Restoration, Living Shorelines, Erosion Contro	\$156,654,555						
Coastal Flood Control (Grey)	\$128,166,950						
New or Retrofit Critical Community & Emergency Facilities	\$	41,294,219					
	\$1,172,276,316.41						



SITE VISIT TEAM

- Five regional staff throughout the state.
- Collect location data and information specific to funded projects.
- Types of site visits:
 - Preconstruction.
 - Interim.
 - Final.
- Liaisons between grantee and other RF staff.





LOOKING AHEAD RESILIENT FLORIDA PROGRAM 2023



PRE-APPLICATION PERIOD

- April 1 June 15.
- Staff-time dedicated to pre-application support:
 - Eligibility checks.
 - Application review and feedback.
 - GIS shapefile(s) for project area(s).

WEBINAR SERIES

- Florida Adaptation Planning Guidebook.
- 62S-8 Program Guidance for Applicants.
- Planning and Implementation Q&A + Portal Navigation.
- General Portal Q&A.

CONTACT

- Office: (850) 245-7600.
- Web: <u>https://floridadep.gov/RFresources</u>.
- E-mail: <u>Resilience@FloridaDEP.gov</u>.



LOOKING AHEAD RESILIENT FLORIDA PROGRAM 2023



OFFICE HOURS

Resilient Florida is holding weekly virtual "office hours" via Microsoft Teams during the pre-application assistance period. Office hours will be recurring on Tuesday mornings from 9:30-11:30 a.m. EDT and Thursday afternoons from 2:00-4:00 p.m. EDT.

When: Tuesdays, 9:30-11:30 a.m. **How**: <u>Join online</u>

When: Thursdays, 2:00-4:00 p.m. **How**: Join online



THANK YOU

Eddy Bouza

Office of Resilience and Coastal Protection | Resilient Florida Program <u>Eddy.Bouza@FloridaDEP.gov</u> (850) 245-7562

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



4. SFWMD Sea Level Rise and Flood Resiliency Plan – 2023 Update

David Colangelo, District Resiliency Plan Coordinator



Today's Outline

- 2023 SFWMD Resiliency Plan Overview of Chapters
- Project Implementation ExamplesNext Steps





Project Team

- Carolina Maran
- David Colangelo
- Francisco Pena
- Yitzy Rosenberg
- Nicole Cortez
- > Zan Kugler
- Candi Heater
- Julie Maytok
- Lissette Sori
- Lucine Dadrian
- Vijay Mishra
- Sandy Smith
- Akintunde Owosina
- Hongying Zhao
- Matahel Ansar

District Resiliency District Resiliency District Resiliency District Resiliency District Resiliency District Resiliency Budget and Finance Budget

Engineering & Construction Engineering & Construction Engineering & Construction Hydrology and Hydraulics Hydrology and Hydraulics Hydrology and Hydraulics

- > Tibebe Dessalegne
- Jun Han
- Fred Sklar
- > Cassondra Armstrong
- Phyllis Klarmann
- Matthew Biondolillo
- Maryam Masheyekhi
- Christine Carlson
- Alexandra Hoffart
- Mark Elsner
- Peter Kwiatkowski
- Jim Jarmon
- Tom Colios
- Bradley Jackson
- Marcy Zehnder

Hydrology and Hydraulics Hydrology and Hydraulics Applied Sciences **Applied Sciences Applied Sciences Ecosystem Restoration GeoSpatial Services GeoSpatial Services GeoSpatial Services** Water Supply Water Supply Water Supply Water Supply **Big Cypress Basin Real Estate**



Public Comments/Contributors

Local Governments / Districts:

- St. Lucie County
- Martin County
- Palm Beach County
- Broward County
- Miami-Dade County
- Monroe County
- Lee County
- Town of Cutler Bay
- Village of El Portal

sfwmd.gov

- City of Port St. Lucie
- Lake Worth Drainage District
- Florida Keys Aqueduct Authority
- Florida Dept. of Transportation
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service

NGOs:

- Miami Waterkeeper
- Sanibel-Captiva Conservation Foundation
- Growing Climate Solutions
- National Parks Conservation Association
- Urban Paradise Guild
- Audubon of Florida
- Florida Veterans for Common Sense
- Center for Biological Diversity
- South Florida Water Coalition
- Family Lands Remebered
- Everglades Foundation
- Friends of Biscayne Bay
- Central Florida Regional Planning Council

Private Companies:

- ➢ 300 Engineering Group
- Conservation Concepts LLC

Universities:

- ➢ University of Miami
- Florida International University

Other individuals

2023 Resiliency Plan Chapters

- Chapter 1. Our Resiliency Vision
- Chapter 2. Central and Southern Florida System and Big Cypress Basin
- Chapter 3. Flood Protection Level of Service Program
- Chapter 4. Nature-Based Solutions
- Chapter 5. Ecosystem Restoration Resiliency & Carbon Storage
- > Chapter 6. Water Supply Resiliency
- Chapter 7. Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy
- > Chapter 8. Characterizing and Ranking Resiliency Projects
- > Chapter 9. Priority Implementation Projects
- Chapter 10. Priority Planning Studies

sfwmd.gov



Building Resilience and Mitigating Risks to South Florida's Water Resources

Chapter 1 – Our Resiliency Vision

Risk Reduction

- Reduce risk while maximizing effectiveness
- Implementation Resources
 - Project planning and management
- Future Conditions
 - Population and land development
 - Climate and sea level rise considerations



Chapter 1 – Our Resiliency Vision

Vulnerable Population and Critical Infrastructure

- Ensure community-wide benefits
- Protection of community lifelines
- Leveraging Partnerships and Public Engagement
 - Resiliency Forum
 - Outreach activities

Ecosystem Restoration/Carbon Storage



Chapter 1 – Our Resiliency Vision

➢Nature-Based Solutions

 Incorporate NBS into Gray (traditional) Infrastructure projects

Energy Efficiency/Renewable Energy

- Follow latest building codes
- Energy efficient designs

sfwmd.gov

 Offset new energy demands with renewable energy solutions



Chapter 2 – C&SF and BCB Systems

FY20 SIP

Current Challenges and Limitations

- Population growth
- Land development
- Extreme rainfall events
- Sea level rise
- Capital Improvement Plan
 - Building resiliency into projectsCIP feeds into FPLOS





S28



sfwmd.gov

Chapter 3 – Flood Protection Level of Service

- Phase I Flood Vulnerability Assessments
 - Identify basin-wide flood vulnerabilities
- Phase II Adaptation and Mitigation Planning
 - Identify solutions to vulnerabilities
- Phase III Implementation (Through this Plan)
 - Design, permitting, real estate, construction
- Flood Impact Assessment Tool (SFWMD-FIAT)
 - Estimate flood damage costs
 - Calculate benefit cost analysis

sfwmd.gov



Chapter 4 – Nature Based Solutions

Nature-Based Solutions – Reduce Flood Risk

- Reconnecting Floodplains
- Wetland Restoration
- Innovative Stormwater Storage
- Living Shorelines
- Bioswales

Integrate into Gray Infrastructure
 Collect, Store and Slow the Flow
 Project Recommendations in Chapter 9



Chapter 4 – Nature Based Solutions

Process for Assessing and Implementing NBS

- Identify Opportunities (available land)
- Select and assess NBS
- Design NBS implementation processes
- Engage stakeholders, communicate co-benefits and establish partnerships
- Implement NBS, upon funding
- Monitor and evaluate co-benefits
- Transfer and upscale NBS

Process for Evaluating NBS

Performance Metrics



sfwmd.gov

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Chapter 5 – Ecosystem Restoration and Carbon Storage

- Ecosystem Restoration Projects Increase Resiliency
- Restoration projects have the potential to uptake carbon
 - CERP Projects
 - Stormwater Treatment Areas
 - Water Conservation Areas
 - Other District Lands
- Project Recommendation Carbon Storage Monitoring
 - Soil accretion

sfwmd.gov

Carbon flux towers



Chapter 6 – Water Supply Resiliency

- Understanding and assessing vulnerabilities to future conditions
 - Water Supply Vulnerability Assessment
- Building upon existing water supply plans
 - Protect existing water supply sources
 - Develop alternative water sources
- Assessing long term scenarios



sfwmd.gov

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Chapter 7 – Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy

- Seeking to increase energy efficiency and offset existing and new energy demands
- Florida building code energy efficiency requirements
- Solar energy project recommendations
 - Solar arrays on lands adjacent to C-43 and C-44 reservoirs
 - Large up to 75 megawatt solar farms on District lands or
 - Smaller up to 5 megawatt arrays to power District facilities
 - Solar canopy in HQ parking lot and other facilities
 - Floating solar panels pilot project on Lake Freddy







SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

Chapter 8 – Characterizing and Ranking Resiliency Projects

Ranking Criteria – Four Tiers

Fwmd.gov

1. Likelihood of System Deficiency (40%)

				Low Probability				High Probability
Criteria	ID	Category	Weighting	1	2	3	4	5
Likelihood of System Deficiency	1.1	FPLOS Phase I Assessment Results (Current and /or Future Conditions)	15%	Future Conditions Less than 25-Year	Future Conditions 10-YR or less	Future Conditions 5-Yr or less	Current Conditions 10-YR or less	Current Conditions 5-YR or less
	1.2	Known Chronic and Nuisance Flooding Report (OR)	13%					Yes, flooded more than three times within the last five years or is experiencing ongoing erosion.
	1.3	No Alternatives/Backup to Mitigate Worst Case Scenario	3%			Partial		Yes
	1.4	Return Period of Overbank Flooding		More than 100-yr	100-yr or less	50-yr or less	25-yr or less	5-yr or less
	1.5	Sea Level Resulting in Overbank Flooding	6%	>3 ft	2 ft to 3 ft	1 ft to 2 ft	0.5 to 1 ft	0.5 ft or less
	1.6	Exceedance of Canal Normal Operating Range (OR)			Less than or Equal to 1 ft	More than 1 ft	> 2.5 ft	> 3.5 ft
	1.7	Finished Floor Elevation < Base Flood Elevation	ished Floor Elevation ase Flood Elevation			FFE < BFE + 1'	FFE < BFE + 2' (or 1' inland)	FFE < BFE + 3' (or 2' inland)
	1.8	FEMA Flood Zone Exposure	3%					Yes
	1.9	Storm Surge Inundation Exposure				Yes, under Cat 3	Yes, under Cat 4	Yes, under Cat 5
Chapter 8 – Characterizing and Ranking Resiliency Projects

Ranking Criteria – Four Tiers

sfwmd.go

2. Consequence of System Deficiency (30%)

				Low Probability	High Probability			
Criteria	ID	Category	Weighting	1	2	3	4	5
	2.1	Critical Assets / Lifelines	6%			0-25% of Critical Assets are within areas lower than 6FT or within inundated areas from FPLOS	25-50% of Critical Assets are within areas lower than 6FT or within inundated areas from FPLOS	More than 50% of Critical Assets are within areas lower than 6FT or within inundated areas from FPLOS
			6%			1 or more RS Critical Assets	3 or more RS Critical Assets	5 or more RS Critical Assets
	2.2	Impact Area Across Administrative Boundaries	2.5%	1 County		1 County & 2 Administrative Boundaries		> 2 Counties & > 2 Administrative Boundaries
Consequence	2.3	Social Vulnerability (CDC SVI)	5.0%				0.4 - 0.6	> 0.6
of System Deficiency		Social Vulnerability (CEQ CEJST)	3.0%					Yes
	2.4	Environmental Protected Areas	3.5%	Lower Density		Average		Higher Density
	2.5	Total Population	1%	Up to 50,000 people	Up to 100,000 people	Up to 200,000 people	Up to 500,000 people	More than 500,000 people
	2.6	Public Water Supply Wellfields	5%	Lower Density		Average		Higher Density
	2.7	Adaptation Action Areas	1%	Does not Intersect Adaptation Action Area				Intersect Adaptation Action Area

Chapter 8 – Characterizing and Ranking Resiliency Projects

Ranking Criteria – Four Tiers

sfwmd.go

3. Project Benefits and System Enhancement (20%)

				Low Probability	High Probability			
Criteria	ID	Category	Weighting	1	2	3	4	5
	3.1	Nature-based Solutions	5%					Yes
	3.2	Ecosystem Restoration	570					Yes
	3.3	Cost Benefit Analysis	2.5%					BCA Larger than 1
Benefits from System Enhancement	3.4	Previous State Funding	2.5%		Previous State Funding utilized in Preconstruction activities	Previous State Funding utilized in Design	Previous State Funding utilized in Permitting	Previous State Funding utilized in Construction
	3.5	Available Match	2.5%			Specifically identified local, state, or federal cost share, but the funds have not been appropriated or released at the time the applicant submits its proposal to the FDEP		Approved and adopted capital improvement plan
	3.6	Florida Building Code Design Criteria	2.5%					Yes
	3.7	Innovative Technologies	5%					Yes

Chapter 8 – Characterizing and Ranking Resiliency Projects

Ranking Criteria – Four Tiers

4. Structure Inspection Program Rating and Capital Improvement Program Status (10%)

				Low Probability				High Probability
Criteria	ID	Category	Weighting	1	2	3	4	5
Project Status (SIP / CIP Programs)	4.1	SIP Overall Rating	5%			Overall C-3 or N/A	Overall C-4	Overall C-5
	4.2	Capital Improvement Program (CIP) Status	5%	Issue ID & Risk Ranking	PDR Approved / Project Kick-off Meeting and/or Suvey & Geotech Commenced	Partial Design	Design Complete / Permit Application Submitted	Initiated Construction



SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT **Chapter 8 – Characterizing and Ranking Resiliency Projects**

Coastal Structure Resiliency Projects	Likelihood of System Deficiency	Consequence of System Deficiency	Benefits from System Enhancement	Project Status	Total Points	Other Priority Projects	Likelihood of System Deficiency	Consequence of System Deficiency	Benefits from System Enhancement	Project Status	Total Points
	20.25	24.46	40.75		00.10	Big Cypress Basin Microwave Tower	39.50	22.96	17.50	4.00	83.96
S-26	38.25	24.16	18.75	5.00	86.16	S-61 Spillway Enhancement	36 50	23.16	16.25	7.00	82,91
S-29 & C-9 Basin Resiliency	35.30	24.16	17.50	4.00	80.96	and Erosion Control			10120	1.00	
S-27 & C-7 Basin Resiliency	39.50	21.26	16.25	3.00	80.01	C-29, C-29 <mark>A, C-29B and C-29C C</mark> anal	36.50	23.16	16.25	6.00	81.91
<u>S-21</u>	39.50	19.26	16.25	4.00	79.01	Conveyance Improvements		23.10	10.23	0.00	01.01
G-57	37.05	21.5 <mark>6</mark>	16.25	4.00	78.86	S- <mark>59 En</mark> hancement and C-31 Canal	26.50	22.16	16.25	6.00	91 01
S-28 & C-8 Basin Resiliency	3 6.50	21.0 <mark>6</mark>	16.25	3.00	76.81	Conveyance Improvements	50.50	23.10	10.25	0.00	01.91
S-37A	3 3.50	22.9 <mark>6</mark>	16.25	3.00	75.71	S-58 Structure Enhancement and		22.46	10.25	C 00	01.01
S-25B	<mark>35</mark> .25	15.06	18.75	5.00	74. 06	Temporary Pump	36.50	23.16	16.25	6.00	81.91
G-58	<mark>39</mark> .50	14.0 <mark>1</mark>	16.25	4.00	73 .76	L-31E Levee Improvements	35.85	20.16	1 6.25	4.00	76.26
G-93	<mark>3</mark> 3.50	18.0 <mark>1</mark>	1 6.25	6.00	7 3.76	EMMA	37.00	13.35	1 8.13	7.00	75.48
S-22	<mark>3</mark> 6.50	16.9 <mark>6</mark>	16. 25	3.00	72.71	Corbett Levee Water Control Structur	36.25	15.01	1 7.50	6.00	74.76
S-25	/37.00	15.2 <mark>6</mark>	16.25	3.00	71.5 <mark>1</mark>	South Miami-Dade Curtain Wal	31.00	21.96	1 8.75	3.00	74.71
S-197	37.05	13.3 <mark>5</mark>	16.25	3.00	69. <mark>65</mark>						
G-54	27.50	22.7 <mark>6</mark>	16.25	3.00	69 .51						
S-20F	26.50	20.7 <mark>6</mark>	16.25	5.00	6 8.51		Legen	d			
G-56	26.30	22.96	16.25	3.00	68.51						
S-13	31.65	16.96	16.25	3.00	67.86		Priority	/ Levels			
S-36	29.30	17.76	16.25	3.00	66.31			High			
S-20G	26.50	17.01	16.25	4.00	63.76			ing i			
S-123	26.50	16.76	16.25	3.00	62.51			Medium Hig	gh		
S-33	19.30	22.56	16.25	3.00	61.11			Medium			
S-20	26.50	13.35	16.25	3.00	59.10						
S-21A	23.50	13.01	16.25	5.00	57.76			Low			

Chapter 9 – Priority Implementation Projects

- ≥23 Projects at Coastal Water Control Structures
 - Enhance and adapt structures to restore original level of service
 - Implement additional regional strategies (including basinwide storage, flood barriers/levees, conveyance and nature-based features)

▶15 Other Resiliency Related Projects

- Enhance and adapt inland water control structures and levees
- Restore more natural hydroperiods
- Improve communications/automation for flood control
- Build coastal resilience with nature-based projects
- Renewable energy projects

sfwmd.gov

Project Implementation: C-8 Basin Resiliency – FEMA BRIC Grant Award

- Replace S-28 Structure and construct flood barrier
- Install Forward Pump Station
- Enhance secondary canal banks
- Construct temporary floodwater detention area
- >install living shoreline





South Florida: Water Management: District 3301 Gun: Club: Road, West Palm Beach, Florida: 33406 561-696-8900; www.sfwmd.gov



DS CLI ANELIE: This maps is a conceptual or planning tool only. This South Fibrids Works in An agement District data and guarantee or mains any representation reparing the information contrained hires. It is not self-association or tride and do sound alled the interacts of any previous or properties, led adding any present or form a plan or used in any approximation of the sound from tobles recent a declarum and for original maps of party and the association or tride recent a declarum and confridential under Staction 119.07 ±CD(6)(1). Florida Struta.

Map Produced on Date: 11/0/2021 2:54:10 Pt

C-7 Basin Resiliency – FEMA BRIC Grant Application

- Enhance S-27 Structure and construct storm surge barrier
- Install Forward Pump Station
- Install living shoreline and wetland restoration/stormwater detention area
- Build canoe/kayak launch area
- Install park amenities with shaded area for educational/recreational use

sfwmd.gov



C-9 Basin Resiliency – FEMA BRIC Grant Application

- Enhance S-29 Structure and construct storm surge barrier
- Install Forward Pump Station
- Install living shoreline and wetland restoration/stormwater detention area at Pickwick Lake
- Build canoe/kayak launch area
- Install park amenities with shaded area for educational/recreational use

sfwmd.gov



Proposed Project: C-9 Canal Enhancement Project – EPA Planning Grant

- FPLOS study results recommended widening a portion of C-9 Canal to enhance conveyance and storage capacity
- Forward pumps alone are not enough to achieve desired level of service
- Project footprint along seven-mile section of C-9 Canal
- Chosen based upon potentially available District owned ROW





C-9 Canal Widening and Linear Marsh Potential Project Footprint



) 0.3 0.6 0.9 1.2 Mil

SISCLAMRER This map is a conceptual or planning tool only. The South Florida Water Management District does not guarantee or make any representation egarding the information contained herein. It is not self-executing or binding and does not affect the interests of any persons or properties, including any research or future right or use of real property and is executing to this conditional sciolosure and confidential under Section 116.071(3)(a)(1), Florida Statute.



Proposed Canal Enhancement Features and Benefits

Canal widening

- Enhance conveyance and storage
- Improve flood protection level of service

Construct wetland adjacent to canal

- Create additional stormwater storage
- Restore floodplain connectivity
- Increased evapotranspiration in wetland can contribute to reduction in peak stage and flood duration
- Enhance water quality
- Improve fish and wildlife habitat



C-9 Canal Widening and Linear Marsh - Typical Section



outh Florida Water Management District 301 Gun Club Road, West Palm Beach, Florida 33406 61-686-8800; www.sfwmd.gov

SCLAMER: In trag is a conceptual or planning tool only. The South Florida Water lanagement District does not guarantee or make any representation againing the information contained hereis. It is not self-executing or binding rid does not affect the interests of any persons or properties, including any sensar for Klure right or use of raal preparional is exempt from public coords disclaure and confidential under Section 110.071(3)(a)(1), Florida table.

Map Produced on Date: 2/8/202

Proposed Canal Enhancement Features and Benefits

Construct access roads along canal banks

- Improve access for operation and maintenance
- Potential for increased public access for recreation
- Construct low water crossings along access road to connect wetland with canal
- Construct structural and/or naturebased features at secondary canals outfalls of to improve water quality



sfwmd.gov

Coastal Structures Enhancement and Self Preservation Mode – Resilient Florida Grant

- Urgent need to optimize and enhance operation of structures during storm surge and high tide events
- Includes enhancing electronic/mechanical components, modifying gates on 20+ Coastal Structures
- This project will allow water control structures to operate autonomously during extreme events
 - Reduce upstream flooding risks
 - Protect water supply against saltwater intrusion







Everglades Management district Assessment

- Demonstration-scale pilot study: address Everglades vulnerabilities to SLR
- Nature-based solution to increase coastal mangrove elevation and reduce saltwater intrusion, peat collapse and land loss
- Increase adaptive capacity of Florida's coastal wetlands to keep up with SLR and provide flood protection to upland areas
 - Preserve, enhance and restore mangroves
 - Build coastal resilience by reduce storm surge damage
 - Create/enhance wildlife habitat
- Results are transferable to areas throughout the Gulf and Atlantic Coasts of Florida



Upper Kissimmee Basin Hurricane Ian's Observed Flooding Occurrences



Post Hurricane Ian Projects

- 1. C-29, C-29A, C-29B, C-29C Canal Conveyance Improvements
- 2. S-59 Structure Enhancement and C31 Canal Conveyance Improvements
- 3. S-58 Structure Enhancement and Temporary Pump
- 4. S-61 Spillway Enhancement and Erosion control
- 5. Big Cypress Basin Microwave Tower
- 6. Corbett Levee Water Control Structures

7. Upper Kissimmee Basin Flood Study, Adaptation Planning and Project Recommendations (Planning)

sfwmd.gov

Chapter 10 – Priority Planning Studies

FPLOS Phase I Assessments FPLOS Phase II >Water Supply Vulnerability Assessment ➤Water and Climate Resiliency Metrics >Hydrometeorological Data Monitoring Statewide Climate Projections > Enhancing Tidal Predictions Flooding Observations Survey and **Notification**

- Evaluating Performance of SFINCS
- Green Infrastructure Flood Mitigation
- Waterways Impact Protection Effort (Funded via FDEP Innovative Tech Grant)
- Future Conditions District Internal Guidance for Regulation
- Carbon Storage Monitoring
- Designing Wetland Habitat Enhancement and Flooding Improvements for Charlotte Harbor Flatwoods





Next Steps

- May 24th Today Plan is released for public comments
 - Sea Level Rise and Flood Resiliency Plan | South Florida Water Management District (sfwmd.gov)
- June 23rd Deadline for Public Comments
 Submit comments to: <u>resiliency@sfwmd.gov</u>
- July 31st Deadline to Incorporate Public Comments
- September 1st List of Priority Projects Due to FDEP

October 1st – HB513 Report Due to Governor's Office and Legislature

Subscribe for District Resiliency Updates

- Sign-up for our updates by visiting <u>https://www.sfwmd.gov/news-events</u> and following these steps:
 - 1 Click on the "Subscribe for Email" icon
 - 2 Enter your email address
 - 3 Select "District Resiliency" under Subscription Topics









blic Meetings and Forum

News and Meetings

Our large network of communication channels allows you to interact with the District, share opinions, participate in public meetings and engage with us in real-time. You can also use these channels to read statements and news releases, find information during an emergency, or learn about our mission and the work we do. The following is a directory of all of the District's communication channels.





S. For comments?

www.sfwmd.gov/resiliency

Photo by Miami DDA

Brief Break





Monroe County Resiliency Efforts Rhonda Haag, Chief Resilience Officer

> May 24, 2023 SFWMD Forum



SI ERIN L. DEADY, P.A.

5. Monroe County Flood Vulnerability Assessment



SLR Condition: NOAA 2017 Intermediate-High SE FL Regional Climate Compact Updated Projections 2019

Resiliency Initiatives

County Resiliency Initiatives

• • • • •



Green Keys!

5-year work plan, 165 recommendations

- Recommendations included:
 - Pilot Roads Projects
 - Improve elevation data
 - Engineering level analysis of transportation impacts countywide (this Roads Adaptation Project)
 - Numerous other vulnerability recommendations, including updates when significant new data available



Energy and Climate

Element in comprehensive Plan (2016) Sands neighborhood in Big Pine and Twin Lakes in Key Largo projects initiated in 2016 with design/permits phase completed in 2020.

Pilot Road Elevation

Projects



LiDAR Elevation Data

New Roads Mobile LiDAR elevation data for all County maintained roadways, completed in 2019.

Municipal effort underway



Grants for SLR <u>Planning and Projects</u>

- Sands Road Project Big Pine
- Twin Lakes Roads project Key Largo
- Stillwright design only Key Largo

SLR Related Planning Efforts

In Process



Roads Adaptation Plan

- Identify sea level rise impacts to roads and drainage comprehensively
- Identify policy and funding options
- Develop engineering alternatives and Implementation Plan



Vulnerability Assessment for County non-Road Assets

- Assessment is being updated separately for habitat, buildings, and infrastructure.
- This is funded by Resilience Planning Grant



Comprehensive Plan

- Peril of Flood amendments to address
 State requirements (drafted)
- Adaptation Action Areas (in process)
- Other amendments as necessary



Pending Grants and Projects in application review

- Twin Lakes x 2 (State & Fed)
- Sands Subdivision x 2 (State & Fed)
- Regional Roads Adaptation Planning with Municipalities and 6 County Neighborhood evaluations (State)
- Natural Areas Adaptation Plan (State)
- Stillwright Point (State)

New! Resilience Planning & Living Shoreline Projects



- 1. \$50,000 Pigeon Key Resilient Design
- 2. \$120,843 Watershed Mgmt. Plan for CRS Points
- 3. \$139,350 Vulnerability Assessment Update to meet State Requirements
- 4. \$150,000 Natural Resource Habitat Assessment DEP funded w/\$75,000 match
- 5. \$200,000 Harry Harris Park Resilient Redesign w/ \$100,000 match.
- 6. \$900,000 Long Key Living Shoreline
- 7. \$3 Million Duck Key Living Shoreline/Breakwater Repairs

Municipal Projects Managed by County

- Watershed Management Plans and Vulnerability Assessments (County, Marathon and Layton / Key Colony Beach) \$797,722
- 2. Mobile Lidar Surveying \$481,934 underway in all 5 municipalities, funded by municipalities. To be complete December 2023
- 3. Street Elevation Planning \$1.5 Million to begin fall 2023. Local funding.





Funding Allocation by Jurisdiction

Phase II Design

Islamorada	\$416,771
Key West – doing their own	\$561,735
Marathon	\$471,133
Layton	\$12,080
Key Colony Beach	\$42,281
Total	\$1,504,000

Roads Adaptation

Use science and engineering to identify What roads are vulnerable to sea level rise, When and How High they need to be Elevated.

Monroe County Roadway **Vulnerability Study**

GOALS:

- Help make the Keys more able to withstand sea level rise impacts (become more resilient)
- Help maintain access to 2) homes and businesses
- Help protect property 3) values.



King Ave, Key Largo



King Ave, Key Largo





Monroe County Vulnerability







Vulnerabilities

- Increasing water levels due to Climate Change
 - Sea Level Rise
 - King Tides
- Extreme weather events

Why?

- 220-mile archipelago of ancient coral islands
- Low elevations (Roadway and private properties)
- Porous Limerock

Vulnerability and Criticality Assessment



ent score Step 2 Step 2	y rs	
Criticality Evaluations Factors Percer	hting ntages	
nerability Score	50%	
nber of Residential Units	25%	
dways Associated with Critical Facilities (Police, Fire, etc.)	10%	
tlands/Natural Habitats associated with Road Segment	5%	
dway Functional Classification and Evacuations Routes	<u>5%</u>	
n-Residential Focus Species associated with Road Segment	3%	
and Focus Species Associated with Road Segment	2%	



* Cost estimate is conceptual and does not include design, right-of-way acquisition, harmonization/cost to cure, and legal fees. Cost estimates are preliminary and subject to change. Cost Estimate is based on 2020 Dollars.

Roads Next Steps

- 1. Special Assessments Development for Canals and Roads –2023.
- 2. 15 *Resilient FL* Road Adaptation Grants for \$380 Million applied in August 2022, top 3:
 - a) Upper Keys / Key Largo Winston Waterways Largo Gardens \$30.7 Million (50% match)
 - b) Middle Keys / Conch Key awarded \$7 Million (50% match)
 - c) Lower Keys / Big Coppitt
 \$49 Million (50% match)
 TOTAL \$86.7 Million / \$43 Million Match



U.S. Army Corp Florida Keys Coastal Storm Risk



US Army Corps of Engineers ® Norfolk District





FLORIDA KEYS COASTAL STORM RISK MANAGEMENT **FEASIBILITY STUDY APPROVED PAN**




OVERARCHING EXISTING PROBLEMS

- Structures (commercial and residential) and critical infrastructure in the Florida Keys are vulnerable to damage from inundation caused by storm surge.
- Critical transportation routes (U.S. Route 1) are vulnerable to damage from wave energy and erosion caused by coastal storms. Inundation caused by storm surge limits or in some locations prevents vehicle travel on U.S. Route 1, the only evacuation route out of the Florida Keys
- Critical infrastructure, U.S. Route 1, and structures throughout the Florida Keys are vulnerable to damage caused by coastal storm events which contributes to both direct and indirect life loss and overall human health and safety risk to the population of the Florida Keys.
- There are rich environmental resources that are unique to the study area that are vulnerable to the effects of coastal storms.



The Recommended Plan Includes:

- U.S. 1 shoreline stabilization (revetment) in 6 areas
- Nonstructural measures for residential and nonresidential structures at risk:
 - Elevation of residential structures- 4,698 structures
 - Floodproofing of commercial properties and critical infrastructure- 1,052 commercial structures / 53 critical infrastructure buildings

Estimated Project Costs and Benefits:

- Total Estimated Project Cost (65/35 cost share):
 \$2,772,359,000
 - 65% federal funding of project = \$1,802,033,000
 - 35% non-federal funding of project = \$970,326,000
 - Total Average Annual Benefit: \$131,603,000
- Benefit Cost Ratio is 1.5

Environmental Resilience Projects

Breakwaters -Protecting Neighborhoods

- \$2.1 Million Tavernier Breakwater Repair / Air Curtain Project Construction anticipated for fall 2023. HUD funded.
- \$1.3 Million Rock Harbor Breakwater Repair / Air Curtain project Construction anticipated for fall 2023. HUD funded.



Canal Restorations for FY23-24

1) Canal #105 backfill and culvert. Tavernier / Key Largo. Cost estimate \$668,870.

2) Canal #255 organic muck removal, backfilling, air curtain and injection well. Big Pine Key. Cost estimate \$300,000

3) Canal **#315 organic muck removal, backfilling and air curtain.** Big Pine Key. *Design only*. Cost estimate \$2,373,982.

4) Canal **#295 organic muck removal, backfilling and air curtain.** Big Pine Key. Cost estimate \$1,040,726.

5) Canal **#297 organic muck removal, backfilling and air curtain**. Big Pine Key. *Design only*. Cost estimate \$1,352,390.

6) Canal #290 backfilling and air curtain. Big Pine Key. *Design only*. ost estimate \$900,000

New Canals for FY23-24 con't.

7) Canal #287 organic muck removal, backfilling and air curtain. Big Pine Key. Design only. Cost estimate \$2,942,881

8) Canal **#82 organic muck removal, backfilling and air curtain.** Key Largo. Design only. Cost estimate \$2,547,229.

9) Canal #474 backfill and air curtain. Geiger Key. Cost estimate \$220,650.

10) Pilot Project! Canal #278 injection well. Big Pine Key. Cost estimate \$250,000

11) **Pilot Project! Canal #58 injection well.** Key Largo. Cost estimate \$250,000

12) Canal #293 organic muck removal, backfill and air curtain. Big Pine Key. Design only. Cost estimate \$2,145,335 Million. Funded by DEP Ft. Myers.





COMPREHENSIVE BENEFITS GUIDANCE



Comprehensive Benefits Policy Directive: January 2021. Two Key changes to our approach

More comprehensive evaluation of all four P&G accounts:

- NED: National Economic Development
- **RED:** Regional Economic Development
- OSE: Other Social Effects
- EQ: Environmental Quality

Mandatory Alternatives carried forward to the final array:

g. Each study must include, at a minimum, the following plans in the final array of alternatives for evaluation:

- (1) The "No Action" alternative.
- (2) A plan that maximizes net total benefits across all benefit categories.
- (3) A plan that maximizes net benefits consistent with the study purpose.

(4) For flood-risk management studies, a nonstructural plan, which includes modified floodplain management practices, elevation, relocation, buyout/acquisition, dry flood proofing and wet flood proofing.

(5) A locally preferred plan, if requested by a non-federal partner, if not one of the aforementioned plans.

6. COMPREHENSIVE BENEFITS EVALUATION



Evaluation of an Alternative







EXAMPLES FROM EACH ACCOUNT



NED

- Damages Prevented
- Transportation Cost Savings
- Water Supply Benefits
- Hydropower Benefits
- Emergency Cleanup cost reduction
- Incidental Recreation Benefits

RED

- Job Created/wages supported
- Local economic impact from wages supported
- Local Tax Revenue
- Local Business Revenue
- Changes in Real Estate Value
- Net change in household income

OSE

- Life Safety/ Population at Risk
- Cost of Living
- Quality of Life
- Community Cohesion
- Voter Participation
- Civic Participation
- Community Resiliency

EQ

- Habitat Units
- Acres Restored
- Species Risk or Loss
- Cultural Resource Risk or Loss
- Critical Habitat
 created



BENEFITS IN THE OTHER ACCOUNTS



- Monetized (\$)
- Quantified but not Monetized
- Measured but not fully quantified
- Evaluated using Directional Impacts
- Discussed qualitatively

Fully Quantitative

Precise numbers (dollars and cents); etc.

Hypothetical Example: Alterative 1 will reduce expected average annual flood damage by \$2,445,980 per year throughout the system Quantitative

Semi-

Orders of Magnitude (thousands, millions, billions, etc.)

Hypothetical Example: Alterative will reduce expected average annual flood damage by between \$1 and \$10 million per year Categorical

Categories (major positive effects, minor positive effects, major adverse effects etc.)

Hypothetical Example: Alterative 1 will have a minor positive effect on flood risk in Area A, a significant effect in Area B, and no effect in Area C Fully Qualitative

Narrative discussion of effects only

Hypothetical Example: Alternative A will likely reduce flood risk throughout the system





- The Directive came with:
- No additional time
- No additional money
- No additional tools
- No specific implementation Guidance! (i.e., the why but not the how).
- Study Scoping is More Important than Ever!
- The Alternatives Milestone is more important than Ever



CURRENT SAJ EXAMPLES



IRL South PAC Report

- USACE Chief of Planning (Eric Bush) did not support Director's Report without additional information about benefits in the other accounts
- Additional information was provided about benefits to tourism, recreation, water supply, and economic viability of the affected counties.
- Director's Report ultimately was signed, but the with caveat that a comprehensive "benefits update" be completed in FY23. We are starting to scope that now.





CURRENT SAJ EXAMPLES



Puerto Rico Coastal Feasibility Report



Ocean Park Planning Reach has economically justified alternatives (i.e., BCR > 1.0).

Other four Accounts still being used to evaluate alternatives



CURRENT SAJ EXAMPLES



Puerto Rico Coastal Feasibility Report



Rincon Planning Reach does **not** have any economically justified alternatives (i.e., BCR < 1.0).

Other four Accounts being used to evaluate alternatives.

Though net NED benefits are negative, the expected annual damages have a significant impact on local economic and (~40 structures are condemned in the FWOP condition, for example).

A Recommended Plan would require an NED waiver based on evaluation of all four accounts.



DEEP DIVE: KEY TAKEAWAYS



- Application of the Comprehensive Benefits Directive is required for of USACE Planning studies.
- PDT economists are well prepared (with certified tools and methods) for NED evaluations, capabilities with respect to the other accounts are more limited. Creativity and Innovation is required.
- No national implementation guidance about **how** to do this.
- Close coordination with the vertical team and the relevant PCX (early and often) even more important than ever.
- Successful implementation also requires close coordination with the NFSponsor and affected communities.

SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

7. Around the Table Updates from:

Local, Tribal, and State Agencies



8. Public Comment:

If you would like to comment, please complete Section 6 of the public comment card and give to a meeting attendant.





SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT



9. Closing Remarks

Carolina Maran, Ph.D., P.E., District Resiliency Officer May 24, 2023



Send us your Comments and Feedback

Please take a moment to send us your comments and suggestions for the upcoming meetings and share your topics of interest with us.



https://forms.office.com/g/4X2kHNe1dj



SOUTH FLORIDA WATER MANAGEMENT DISTRICT

10. Adjourn

Thank you for joining!