### TOTAL PHOSPHORUS SAMPLE COLLECTION AT THE S-10 AND S-39 STRUCTURES AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE SAMPLING

### Water Quality Assessment Division South Florida Water Management District For June 13, 2005 Technical Oversight Committee (TOC) Meeting

### **Executive Summary**

Flow and water quality (total phosphorus) data were retrieved for the S-10 (S-10A, S-10C, S-10D, and S-10E) and S-39 structures for the period from January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2004. The data was used to identify whether the present monitoring protocols were adequate to characterize water quality at these structures during flow events. Water quality data was also used to identify any total phosphorus (TP) gradient across these structures.

The monitoring protocols differ for the five structures and are summarized below:

- S-10A and S-10C: biweekly sampling if flowing.
- S-10D and S-39: biweekly sampling if flowing, otherwise a monthly sample is collected regardless of flow.
- S-10E: biweekly sampling if flowing, otherwise a monthly sample is collected regardless of flow; during the five-year monitoring, flow at this structure totaled 14 acre-feet (ac-ft) [Note that water quality monitoring at this site was terminated in February 2005].

Time series plots of TP and flow for each of the five structures suggest that grab samples were collected during most of the flow events (**Figures 1** through **3**). Typically, flow events lasting seven consecutive days or less were missed by the present sampling schedule at the S-10A, S-10C, and S-10D structures. However, due to the sampling protocol at S-10D, fewer of these events were missed. Flow events were more efficiently sampled at the S-39 structure. The average number of samples collected for the structures ranged from 7 per year at S-10A and S-10C to 16 per year at S-39.

Another part of the data analysis examined whether the sampling protocol was observed for these five structures. To determine whether a site was only visited with no sample collected, an additional parameter known as the "No Bottle Sample" (NOB) needed to be retrieved from the District's database, DBHYDRO. The NOB parameter provides information when a site was visited with no sample collected. By using the NOB with the TP data, the total number of visits (or sampling opportunities) at each site can be calculated. These results are presented for each month in **Figures 4** through **6** and summarized annually in **Table 1**. With the exception of S-10E, each structure should have at least two sampling opportunities per month.

By comparing the number of sampling opportunities with the sampling protocol for each of the structures, the number of missed sampling opportunities can also be calculated (**Table 1**). The total number of missed sampling opportunities for each of the structures over the five years was three or less (**Table 1**). With the exception of these missed opportunities, the sampling protocol was observed during the entire period from 2000 through 2004.

Total phosphorus concentrations varied from structure to structure (**Figures 7** and **8**) with structures located on the northern portion of the L-39 canal (i.e., S-10E and S-10D) exhibiting higher mean TP concentrations than those in the southern portion. During the period from January 2000 through December 2004, average TP concentrations ranged from 32  $\mu$ g/L at S-39 to 60  $\mu$ g/L at S-10D. This gradient was also observed under flow conditions with mean TP concentrations of 30  $\mu$ g/L at S-39 compared with 86  $\mu$ g/L at S-10D (**Figure 8**). Additional statistical summaries of the TP data for the S-10 and S-39 structures are provided in Appendix A.

Based on the data review, additional sample collection would provide more total phosphorus information at each of the structures and would improve chances of collecting samples during short-term flow events. Cost estimates for this change are provided in Appendix B. However, there does not appear to be enough information to be gained from auto-sampling to justify this major change in approach. It is important to note that flow through the three S-10 structures occurs only a few days per month (Appendix C).

In light of these facts, consideration should be given to modifying the present sampling protocol for S-10A, S-10C, S-10D, and S39. Such a modification will require that samples be collected biweekly at each structure regardless if the structure is flowing. These changes should increase the number of samples collected at S-10A and S-10C by an average of four times and samples collected at S-10D and S-39 by twofold. In view of the relatively infrequent flow events at these structures, consistent biweekly sampling is a reasonable strategy to improve water quality information for discharges from the Refuge.



Figure 1. Mean daily flows and total phosphorus grab sample concentrations collected from January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2004 at: (A) S-10E and (B) S-10D.



Figure 2. Mean daily flows and total phosphorus grab sample concentrations collected from January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2004 at: (A) S-10C and (B) S-10A.



Figure 3. Mean daily flows and total phosphorus grab sample concentrations collected from January 1, 2000 through December 31, 2004 at S-39.



Figure 4. Stacked bar graph identifying total number of times per month Stations S-10E (A) and S-10D (B) were visited by field sampling crew from January 2000 through December 2004. The dark colored bars indicate the number of samples collected at the sites each month while the light colored bars indicate the number of times the sites was visited but no sample was collected because there was not flow at the time of the sample collection visit.



Figure 5. Stacked bar graph identifying total number of times per month Stations S-10C (A) and S-10A (B) were visited by field sampling crew from January 2000 through December 2004. The dark colored bars indicate the number of samples collected at the sites each month while the light colored bars indicate the number of times the sites was visited but no sample was collected because there was not flow at the time of the sample collection visit.



**Figure 6.** Stacked bar graph identifying total number of times per month Station S-39 was visited by field sampling crew from January 2000 through December 2004. The dark colored bars indicate the number of samples collected at the site each month while the light colored bars indicate the number of times the site was visited but no sample was collected because there was not flow at the time of the sample collection visit.

	Monitoring	Total	<b>Total Number</b>	Total Number	Number of
Structure	Voor	Number of	of Visits to	of TP Samples	Missed Sampling
	Teal	Flow Days	Structure	Collected	Opportunities
	2000	27	29	9	1
	2001	24	26	4	0
S10A	2002	28	27	9	0
	2003	50	23	7	2
	2004	42	26	6	0
	2000	26	29	11	1
	2001	30	26	5	0
S10C	2002	28	27	5	0
	2003	7	23	5	2
	2004	51	26	7	0
	2000	28	29	16	1
	2001	37	26	12	0
S10D	2002	67	27	14	0
	2003	52	25	14	1
	2004	44	26	13	0
	2000	1	12	12	0
	2001	0	12	12	0
S10E	2002	1	12	12	0
	2003	0	12	12	0
	2004	1	12	12	0
	2000	193	25	15	1
	2001	136	26	13	0
S39	2002	295	27	22	0
	2003	236	25	17	1
	2004	224	26	14	0

 Table 1.
 Summary of flow events and monitoring performed annually at the S-10 and S-39 structures from the period from January 2000 through December 2004.

#### Note:

Total Number of Flow Days – Count of days during a year that flow was reported for the structure.

**Total Number of Visits to the Structure** – Total number of scheduled sampling events during the year that a structure was visited.

**Total Number of TP Samples Collected** – Number of scheduled sampling events during the year that water quality samples were collected at each structure.

**Number of Missed Sampling Opportunities** – Number of missed sampling events based on the monitoring protocol at each structure.



Figure 7. Mean annual total phosphorus concentrations at S-10 and S39 structures for all monitoring events (A) and flow events (B) during the period from January 2000 through December 2004.



Figure 8. (A) Mean total phosphorus concentrations at the S-39 and S10 structures for samples collected under all monitoring conditions during the period from January 2000 through December 2004. (B) Mean total phosphorus concentrations at the S-39 and S10 structures for samples collected under conditions when flow was reported in DBHYDRO during the period from January 2000 through December 2004.

# APPENDIX A

Summary Statistics of Flow and Total Phosphorus at the S-10 and S-39 Structures for the period from 2000 through 2004

			-						
Station	Year	No. of Flow Davs	Minimum	Minimum Maximum Median Mean Std. Deviati					
		,			(cfs)			(ac-ft)	
	2000	1	0	3	0	0	0	6	
	2001	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
S10E	2002	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	
	2003	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	2004	1	0	4	0	0	0	7	
	2000	28	0	859	0	49	176	35,345	
	2001	37	0	1,409	0	91	281	61,339	
S10D	2002	67	0	1,147	0	126	304	83,538	
	2003	52	0	899	0	82	218	59,375	
	2004	45	-1622	2,724	0	122	417	88,548	
	2000	26	0	873	0	45	170	32,618	
	2001	31	-59	1,433	0	70	246	47,562	
S10C	2002	28	0	1,169	0	84	284	55,392	
	2003	7	0	883	0	12	91	8,422	
	2004	52	-726	3,276	0	163	461	118,435	
	2000	27	0	908	0	50	185	36,530	
	2001	25	-58	861	0	47	178	32,079	
S10A	2002	28	0	1,201	0	86	293	57,080	
	2003	50	0	1,101	0	101	268	73,160	
	2004	43	-1337	2,641	0	118	374	85,408	
	2000	193	0	862	8	132	241	95,739	
	2001	136	0	888	0	74	185	53,265	
S39	2002	295	0	802	154	240	223	173,443	
	2003	236	0	774	173	264	265	190,956	
	2004	224	0	567	46	101	149	73,339	

#### 2000-2004 Flow Data

			All	Data			
STATION	YEAR	No. of Measurements	Mean	Median	Maximum	Minimum	Std Deviation
	2000	12	66.0	57.5	117	30	27.9
	2001	12	65.4	48.5	200	35	46.2
S10E	2002	12	43.6	49	79	24	16.8
	2003	12	54.2	40	128	28	33.0
	2004	11	51.9	36	171	22	42.9
	2000	15	81.5	57	306	31	84.0
	2001	12	62.7	47	210	31	48.3
S10D	2002	14	41.7	41.5	88	19	18.5
	2003	14	47.8	42	91	17	22.9
	2004	13	65.2	35	196	18	63.4
	2000	10	64.0	52.5	111	37	27.4
	2001	5	27.8	29	41	20	8.6
S10C	2002	5	30.6	30	42	19	10.3
	2003	5	27.6	32	42	13	11.8
	2004	7	17.0	12	36	11	9.2
	2000	8	42.0	36	66	26	13.9
	2001	4	31.3	21	70	13	26.1
S10A	2002	9	25.6	29	35	17	7.4
	2003	7	30.4	23	57	13	16.4
	2004	6	80.2	70.5	166	10	70.2
	2000	15	43.9	44	82	19	19.1
	2001	13	31.1	25	72	14	16.6
S39	2002	21	28.1	26	74	14	14.1
	2003	17	25.8	27	39	10	8.4
	2004	14	36.1	23.5	132	11	37.1

# 2000-2004 Total Phosphorus Data (µg/L)

Note: There was one flagged TP value in 2004 at S-10E

		No. of					
STATION	YEAR	Measurements	Mean	Median	Maximum	Minimum	<b>Std Deviation</b>
	2000	2	159	159	261	57	144.2
	2001	2	45	45	47	43	2.8
S10D	2002	4	41.5	40	62	24	18.4
	2003	4	61.8	59	91	38	26.3
	2004	4	140	163	196	38	70.7
	2000	2	66.5	66.5	95	38	40.3
	2001	1	41	41	41	41	
S10C	2002	2	36	36	42	30	8.5
	2003	0					
	2004	4	14	11.5	22	11	5.4
	2000	2	29	29	32	26	4.2
	2001	0					
S10A	2002	2	30.5	30.5	32	29	2.1
	2003	3	46.7	45	57	38	9.6
	2004	3	141.3	151	166	107	30.7
	2000	9	38.6	44	51	22	11.6
	2001	6	22.3	18	46	14	11.8
S39	2002	20	27.9	26	74	14	14.4
	2003	16	25.8	27	39	10	8.7
	2004	11	38	19	132	11	41.8

### 2000-2004 Total Phosphorus Data (µg/L) Flow Events

Note: No significant flow was observed at S-10E during the period from January 2000 through December 2004. Total volume of water during this period was approximately 14 ac-ft.

STATION	YEAR	No. of Measurements	Mean	Median	Maximum	Minimum	Std Deviation
	2000	12	66.0	57.5	117	30	27.9
	2001	12	65.4	48.5	200	35	46.2
S10E	2002	12	43.6	49	79	24	16.8
	2003	12	54.2	40	128	28	33.0
	2004	11	51.9	36	171	22	42.9
	2000	13	69.6	42	306	31	73.1
	2001	10	66.2	49	210	31	52.6
S10D	2002	10	41.8	41.5	88	19	19.5
	2003	10	42.2	41	91	17	20.2
	2004	9	31.9	32	51	18	10.4
	2000	8	63.4	52.5	111	37	27.1
	2001	4	24.5	24.5	29	20	5.2
S10C	2002	3	27.0	22	40	19	11.4
	2003	5	27.6	32	42	13	11.8
	2004	3	21.0	15	36	12	13.1
	2000	6	46.3	44.5	66	33	13.3
	2001	4	31.3	21	70	13	26.1
S10A	2002	7	24.1	19	35	17	7.9
	2003	4	18.3	18.5	23	13	4.1
	2004	3	19.0	13	34	10	13.1
	2000	6	51.8	51.5	82	19	26.1
	2001	7	38.6	34	72	20	17.0
S39	2002	1	34.0	34	34	34	0.0
	2003	1	26.0	26	26	26	0.0
	2004	3	29.3	27	41	20	10.7

### 2000-2004 Total Phosphorus Data (µg/L) No Flow

Note: There was one flagged TP value in 2004 at S-10E

# **APPENDIX B**

Cost Estimates for Sampling the S-10 and S-39 Structures

Options	Structure	Frequency	Collection Method	List of Parameters		Cost	
					Initial Cost	Annual Cost	Total Cost
Option A	Culvert S10 A	7 events (based on 5 year average) and Quarterly	Grab	Events ( based on 5 years average) : ALK, CL,Color,NH4,NO2,NOX,OPO4,TKN,TP O4 TSS TURB	\$0	\$32,037	\$32,037
	Culvert S10 C	7 events ( based on 5 year average) and Quarterly	Grab	Quarterly : CA,K,MG,NA,SIO2,SO4,TOTFE			
	Culvert S10 D	14 events ( based on 5 year average) and Quarterly	Grab				
	S39	16 events ( based on 5 year average) and Quarterly	Grab				
Option B	Culvert S10 A	Weekly	Flow Proportional Autosampler	ТР	\$337,000	\$45,213	\$382,213
	Culvert S10 C	Weekly	Flow Proportional Autosampler				
	Culvert S10 D	Weekly	Flow Proportional Autosampler				
Option C	Culvert S10 A	Weekly	Time Autosampler	ТР	\$81,090	\$45,213	\$126,213
	Culvert S10 C	Weekly	Flow Proportional Autosampler				
	Culvert S10 D	Weekly	Time Autosampler				
Option D	Culvert S10 C	Weekly	Flow Proportional Autosampler	ТР	\$27,000	\$30,733	\$57,733
Option E	Culvert S10 A	Every other week	Grab	Every other week: ALK, CL,Color,NH4,NO2,NOX,OPO4,TKN,TP	\$0	\$39,486	\$39,486
	Culvert S10 C	Every other week	Grab	O4,TSS,TURB Quarterly :			
	Culvert S10 D	Every other week	Grab	CA,K,MG,NA,SIO2,SO4,TOTFE			
	S39	Every other week	Grab				

**Option A** 

Existing water quality monitoring program - Grab samples

Frequency of sampling :	Culvert 10A	7 events ( based on 5 years average) and Quarterly
	Culvert 10C	7 events ( based on 5 years average) and Quarterly
	Culvert 10D	14 events (based on 5 years average) and Quarterly

Culvert	Annual Data	Annual	Annual	Annual	Total
	Collection cost	Analytical	QA/QC	Reporting	Annual
		Cost	Cost	Cost	Cost
Culvert 10A		\$823	\$400	\$400	
Culvert 10C		\$823	\$400	\$400	
Culvert 10D		\$1,403	\$400	\$400	
S39		\$2,295	\$400	\$400	
Total Cost	\$23,493	\$5,344	\$1,600	\$1,600	\$32,037

Annual Cost

\$32,037

	Parameters
(Qrtly)	Every other Week /F or BWF/M
CA	ALK
K	CL
MG	Color
NA	NH4
SIO2	NO2
SO4	NOX
TOTFE	OPO4
	TKN
	TPO4
	TSS
	TURB

Option B Installation of Three Flow Proportional Autosampler units at S10A, S10C, and S10D

Frequency of sampling : Weekly

Culvert	Instrumentation	Installation cost	Annual	Platform	Electricity	List of	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual
	Cost		Maintenance		cost	parameters	Data	Analytical	QA/QC	Reporting
			cost				Collection	Cost	Cost	Cost
							Cost			
Culvert 10A	\$3,500	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$13,500	\$80,000	TP		\$640	\$800	\$800
Culvert 10C	\$3,500	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$13,500	\$0	TP		\$640	\$800	\$800
Culvert 10D	\$3,500	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$13,500	\$176,000	TP		\$640	\$800	\$800
Total Cost	\$10,500	\$30,000	\$15,000	\$40,500	\$256,000		\$23,493	\$1,920	\$2,400	\$2,400

One tim	e Cost
Annual	Cost

\$337,000 \$45,213

Option C

Frequency of sampling : Weekly

Installation of one Flow
Proportional Autosampler uni
at S10C and two time auto
sampler units at S10A and
S10 D

Culvert	Instrumentation	Installation Cost	Annual	Platform	Electricity	Parameter	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Total Cost
	Cost		Maintenance		Cost		Data	Analytical	QA/QC	Reporting	
			cost				Collection	Cost	Cost	Cost	
							Cost				
Culvert 10A	\$3,500	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$13,500	\$0	TP		\$640	\$800	\$800	
Culvert 10C	\$3,500	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$13,500	\$0	TP		\$640	\$800	\$800	
Culvert 10D	\$3,500	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$13,500	\$0	TP		\$640	\$800	\$800	
Total Cost	\$10,500	\$30,000	\$15,000	\$40,500	\$0		\$23,493	\$1,920	\$2,400	\$2,400	\$126,213

One time cost\$81,090Annual Cost\$45,213

**Option D** 

Frequency of sampling: Weekly

Installation of one Flow
Proportional
Autosampler unit at
S10C

Culvert	Instrumentation	Installatio	Annual	Platform	Parameter	Annual	Annual	Annual	Annual	Total
	Cost	n cost	Maintenance			Data	Analytical	QA/QC	Reporting	Cost
			Cost			Collection	Cost	Cost	Cost	
						Cost				
Culvert 10C	\$3,500	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$13,500	TP		\$640	\$800	\$800	
Total Cost	\$3,500	\$10,000	\$5,000	\$13,500	TP	\$23,493	\$640	\$800	\$800	\$57,733

One time cost	\$27,000
Annual Cost	\$30,733

Option E Grab sample collection every other week

Frequency of sampling:	Culvert 10A	Every other week
	Culvert 10C	Every other week
	Culvert 10D	Every other week

Culvert	Annual Data Collection	Annual Analytical	Annual QA/QC	Annual Reporting	Total Annual
	cost	Cost	cost	cost	Cost
Culvert 10A		\$2,398	\$800	\$800	
Culvert 10C		\$2,398	\$800	\$800	
Culvert 10D		\$2,398	\$800	\$800	
S39		\$2,399	\$800	\$800	
Total Cost	\$23,493	\$9,593	\$3,200	\$3,200	\$39,486

Annual Cost

\$39,486

Parameters							
(Qrtly)	Every other Week						
CA	ALK						
ĸ	CL						
MG	Color						
NA	NH4						
SIO2	NO2						
SO4	NOX						
TOTFE	OPO4						
	TKN						
	TPO4						
	TSS						
	TURB						

S10 A

Parameter: Unit Cost		Freque	ency of Sar	npling	Cost Analytical		
					Average for 5 years	Purposed	
		Average for 5 years	Purposed				
ALK	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78	
CL	\$8.07	7	26		\$56	\$209.82	
COLOR	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78	
NH4	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78	
NOX	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78	
NO2	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78	
OPO4	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78	
TKN	\$12.26	7	26		\$86	\$318.76	
TPO4	\$9.14	7	26		\$64	\$237.64	
TSS	\$7.77	7	26		\$54	\$202.02	
TURB	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78	
CA	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52	
K	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52	
MG	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52	
NA	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52	
SIO2	\$8.25	4	4		\$33	\$33.00	
TOTFE	\$19.73	4	4		\$79	\$78.92	
		<b>Total Cost</b>			\$823	\$2,398.70	

S10 C

Parameter: Unit Cost		Freque	ency of Sar	npling	Cost Analytical			
					Average for 5 years	Purposed		
		Average for 5 years	Purposed					
ALK	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78		
CL	\$8.07	7	26		\$56	\$209.82		
COLOR	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78		
NH4	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78		
NOX	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78		
NO2	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78		
OPO4	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78		
TKN	\$12.26	7	26		\$86	\$318.76		
TPO4	\$9.14	7	26		\$64	\$237.64		
TSS	\$7.77	7	26		\$54	\$202.02		
TURB	\$6.53	7	26		\$46	\$169.78		
CA	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52		
K	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52		
MG	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52		
NA	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52		
SIO2	\$8.25	4	4		\$33	\$33.00		
TOTFE	\$19.73	4	4		\$79	\$78.92		
		<b>Total Cost</b>			\$823	\$2,398.70		

S10 D

		Freque	ency of Sar	npling	Cost Analytical			
Parameter	Unit Cost				Average for 5 years	Purposed		
		Average for 5 years	Purposed					
ALK	\$6.53	14	26		\$91	\$169.78		
CL	\$8.07	14	26		\$113	\$209.82		
COLOR	\$6.53	14	26		\$91	\$169.78		
NH4	\$6.53	14	26		\$91	\$169.78		
NOX	\$6.53	14	26		\$91	\$169.78		
NO2	\$6.53	14	26		\$91	\$169.78		
OPO4	\$6.53	14	26		\$91	\$169.78		
TKN	\$12.26	14	26		\$172	\$318.76		
TPO4	\$9.14	14	26		\$128	\$237.64		
TSS	\$7.77	14	26		\$109	\$202.02		
TURB	\$6.53	14	26		\$91	\$169.78		
CA	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52		
K	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52		
MG	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52		
NA	\$8.13	4	4		\$33	\$32.52		
SIO2	\$8.25	4	4		\$33	\$33.00		
TOTFE	\$19.73	4	4		\$79	\$78.92		
		Total Cost			\$1,403	\$2,398.70		

S39

		Frequency of Sampling			Cost Analytical			
Parameter	Unit Cost				Average for 5 years	Purposed		
		Average for 5 years	Purposed					
ALK	\$6.53	16	26		\$104	\$169.78		
CL	\$8.07	16	26		\$129	\$209.82		
COLOR	\$6.53	16	26		\$104	\$169.78		
NH4	\$6.53	16	26		\$104	\$169.78		
NOX	\$6.53	16	26		\$104	\$169.78		
NO2	\$6.53	16	26		\$104	\$169.78		
OPO4	\$6.53	16	26		\$104	\$169.78		
TKN	\$12.26	16	26		\$196	\$318.76		
TPO4	\$9.14	16	26		\$146	\$237.64		
TSS	\$7.77	16	26		\$124	\$202.02		
TURB	\$6.53	16	26		\$104	\$169.78		
CA	\$8.13	16	4		\$130	\$32.52		
K	\$8.13	16	4		\$130	\$32.52		
MG	\$8.13	16	4		\$130	\$32.52		
NA	\$8.13	16	4		\$130	\$32.52		
SIO2	\$8.25	16	4		\$132	\$33.00		
TOTFE	\$19.73	16	4		\$316	\$78.92		
		Total Cost			\$2,295	\$2,398.70		

# **APPENDIX C**

Flow Days for the S-10 and S-39 Structures



Average number days flow was recorded at the S-10 and S-39 structures over the period from January 2000 through December 2004.