COMPREHENSIVE EVERGLADES RESTORATION PLAN

LOXAHATCHEE RIVER WATERSHED RESTORATION PROJECT (LRWRP)

Summary Evaluation of Alternative Plans Project Delivery Team Meeting #12









AGENDA

- Encore display of the four decision criteria from the Principles and Guidelines
- Summary of how alternatives meet the criteria
- Discussion of selected details alternatives and criteria
- Additional considerations
- The NER Plan





PRINCIPLES AND GUIDELINES CRITERIA

- **Effectiveness:** Extent to which an alternative plan alleviates the specified problems and achieves the specified opportunities
- Efficiency: Extent to which an alternative plan is the most costeffective means of alleviating problems and realizing opportunities. CE/ICA is one method to identify plans that maximize environmental benefits compared to costs
- Completeness: Extent to which a given alternative plan provides and accounts for all necessary investments or other actions to ensure the realization of the planned effects
- Acceptability: Workability and viability of the alternative plan with respect to acceptance by State and local entities and the public and compatibility with existing laws, regulations, and public policies





SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE

	Alt 2	Alt 5	Alt 10	Alt 13
Effectiveness				
Flow at Lainhart	++	++	+++	+
River/Estuary	++	++	++	+
Acres restored	++	++	+	+++
Connectivity	++	++	+	+++
Plant-Animal	++	++	+	+++
Efficiency				
River/Estuary HU		CE, BB	CE, BB	
Wetland HU		CE		CE, BB
Completeness	у	у	у	n
Acceptability	у	у	n	n





EFFICIENCY SUMMARY OF COST EFFECTIVENESS & INCREMENTAL COST ANALYSIS

- Watershed (wetlands, connectivity) habitat unit benefits
 - Order of performance (low to high) is 10, 2, 5, 13.
 - Alts 5 and 13 are cost effective
- River, floodplain, estuary habitat unit benefits
 - Order of performance (low to high) is 13, 2, 5, 10
 - Alts 5 and 10 are cost effective and best buys
- CE/ICA suggests that Alt 5 is the National Ecosystem Restoration (NER) plan – it is the only alternative that is cost effective for both types of habitat units
- Alt 5 is also the second best performer for both types of habitat and is the least costly of the four alternatives





EFFICIENCY (CONT.)

- Selection of Alt 13 rather than Alt 5 would produce many more watershed habitat units for only a slightly higher cost, but Alt 13 is the worst performing alternative for river/floodplain/estuary habitat units.
- Selection of Alt 10 rather than Alt 5 would produce more river/floodplain/estuary habitat units and a much larger cost, but Alt 10 is the highest cost and worst performing alternative for watershed habitat units.
- However, effectiveness and efficiency (CE/ICA) are not the only deciding factors. Consider completeness and acceptability.





COMPLETENESS

The four alternatives are complete except for the following concerns which are not yet resolved

- All four alternatives rely on water from Indian Trail
 Improvement District (ITID). Change in operation of ITID
 flood control infrastructure is assumed but is not a formal
 management measure in the alternatives.
- Alt 13 and Avenir. Alt 13 does not yet have an administrative method to allow the LRWRP project to flow water across the proposed Avenir mitigation site, or a cost estimate for a replacement mitigation site for the Avenir mitigation should this be required.





ACCEPTABILITY

- Alts 2 and 5 are acceptable
- Alt 13 has acceptability concerns
 - Use of the Avenir mitigation site might not be resolved
 - Complex operational requirements; might not work as modeled
- Alt 10 has acceptability concerns
 - Changing operations of Lake Okeechobee
 - No control of the size or timing of the C-51 Phase 2 rock mine
 - Significant and complex negotiations for land acquisition
 - Complex operational requirements; might not work as modeled

Does the plan comply with laws, regulations, policies?

Can the plan be built? Is it feasible?

Will the plan work? Is it feasible?





ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

Alt 2

Connects L-8 Canal to C-18W basin – additional flexibility of water source; potential WQ concerns with L-8 Canal

L-8 Shallow seepage losses

Uncertainty with ASR

C-18W reservoir near residential area

Alt 5

Does not connect to L-8 Canal – less flexibility for water source; relies on cleaner sources of water (ITID, Corbett, C-18W basin, but not L-8 Canal)

Uncertainty with ASR

C-18W reservoir near residential area

Alt 10

Connects L-8 Canal to C-18W basin – additional flexibility of water source; potential WQ concerns with L-8 Canal

Atypical water storage feature – potential risks for storage volume, WQ, cost

Incidental benefit - improves water quality to City of WPB public water supply

C-18W reservoir near residential area

Alt 13

Connects L-8 Canal to C-18W basin – additional flexibility of water source; potential WQ concerns with L-8 Canal

L-8 Shallow seepage losses
Significant land acquisition required
Uncertainty with ASR





SUMMARY OF PERFORMANCE

	Alt 2	Alt 5	Alt 10	Alt 13
Effectiveness				
Flow at Lainhart	++	++	+++	+
River/Estuary	++	++	++	+
Acres restored	++	++	+	+++
Connectivity	++	++	+	+++
Plant-Animal	++	++	+	+++
Efficiency				
River/Estuary HU		CE, BB	CE, BB	
Wetland HU		CE		CE, BB
Completeness	у	у	у	n
Acceptability	у	у	n	n





ALTERNATIVE 5

- Kitching Creek (Hydration): Spreader canal; weir/plug (Jenkins Ditch)
- Moonshine Creek (MC) & Gulfstream East (GE) (Restoration): Connect HSLCD ditch to MC; clear MC vegetation; weir in Hobe Grove Ditch; regrade adjacent area to historic topography
- Cypress Creek Canal (CCC) (Reduce Overdrainage): Replace CCC weir to raise control elevation; raise berm at Ranch Colony; automate twin 84" culverts:
- Gulfstream West (Restoration & Reduce Overdrainage): Partial backfill & relocate southern end of HSLCD canal; small pump; construct flow through marsh to attenuate flow
- 5. Palmar East (Restoration & Connectivity)
 Plug ditches; remove pipes; improve northern
 berm; construct western berm; improve
 eastern berm; pumps at Thomas Farm;
 redirect drainage to GW flow-through marsh
 via north Nine Gems canal
- C-18W Reservoir (9,500 ac/ft & 4 ASR Wells):
 Above-ground reservoir; inflow pump; discharge structure; seepage control; M-O Canal Connector and pump
- 7. G-160 Structure (Reduce Over-drainage): Improve hydroperiod in Loxahatchee Slough
- 8. G-161 Structure (Connectivity): GWP water to Loxahatchee Slough
- 9. GWP Triangle (Connectivity)
- M-1 Pump Station (Conveyance): Deliver Lower M-1 Basin water to M-Canal, GWP, and G-161

