DERIVATION OF THE WATER QUALITY BASED EFFLUENT LIMITATION (WQBEL) FOR PHOSPHORUS IN DISCHARGES TO THE EVERGLADES PROTECTION AREA

Frank Nearhoof FDEP Division of Environmental Assessment & Restoration



#### Water Quality Standards

- Classifications (Designated Uses)
- Narrative & Numeric Criteria\*
- Antidegradation Provision
- Moderating Provisions
- \*derived to protect Designated Use
- **Everglades P criterion -part of the State's water quality**
- standards specifically derived to protect the
- Everglades against adverse effects from P enrichment.

# **Discharge Limits**

- Associated with permits (federal & state)
- Derived to achieve criteria
- Normally expressed as Water Quality Based Effluent Limits (WQBELs) -derived in accordance with Chapter 62-650, Florida Administrative Code.

#### What's the difference?

In some cases discharge limit & criterion are one in the same (where no translation is necessary to derive the discharge limit from the criterion).
Example – toxicant where 10 µg/L is toxic level
That is not the case with the 10 ppb Everglades phosphorus criterion.



# **Everglades P Criterion**

- Derived & expressed in rule as Long-Term Geometric Mean
- Rule sets forth how tested on short-term basis
  - 5-year network GM ≤ 10 ppb
  - 1-year network GM ≤ 10 ppb 3 out of 5 years
  - 1-year network GM ≤ 11 ppb
  - Single station AGM ≤ 15 ppb
- Report results annually in SFER

# **Discharge Limits**

- Discharge limits normally expressed as discrete term limits (typically monthly or annually)
- State's STA permits use Annual Limit
- Discharge limit not normally expressed as GM of concentrations – more typical as Flow-Weighted Mean
- FWM more accurately represents effect of variability in flow on concentration of P in discharge
- Weighting high flows makes sense since high concentrations @ high flows have greater potential to cause impacts



Total Phosphorus (µg/L)





# **FWM Equation**

FWM=
$$\frac{Q_{1}C_{1} + Q_{2}C_{2} + Q_{3}C_{3} \dots Q_{n}C_{n}}{Q_{1} + Q_{2} + Q_{3} \dots Q_{n}}$$

Q = Flow C = Concentration

#### **Analogy for FWM Calculation**



## WQBEL Development

- Used statistical properties of STA discharge data based on actual historical monitoring data
- Available Data:
  - ENR Water Years\* 1995-2000
  - STA-1E WY 2007-2009
  - STA-1W WY 2001-2009
  - STA-2 WY WY 2002-2009
  - STA-3/4 WY 2005-2009
  - STA-5 WY 2001-2009
  - STA-6 WY 1999-2009

\*Water Year = May - April of following year

# Method

- Since not achieving 10 ppb GM yet, cannot be used directly - data must be rescaled to 10 ppb
- Concept is same as used for Interim Effluent Limits (although different data sets used)
   STEPS
- Rescale Data to long-term GM of 10 ppb
- Calculate Annual FWM from rescaled data & measured flows
- 3. Calculate upper limit

# **Rescaling Factors**

#### Calculated by 2 methods:

- 1<sup>st</sup> GM calculated over entire POR for each STA rescaling determined as ratio of 10 ppb criterion divided by POR GM (Factors ranged from 0.55 to 0.1)
- 2<sup>nd</sup> calculated as ratio of 10 ppb criterion divided by arithmetic average of AGM (Factors ranged from 0.52 to 0.098)
- Both rescaling factors applied to individual STA TP measurements



# **Derivation of AFWM from AGM**

- Annual FWM calculated from rescaled TP measurements & actual flow data for STA
- Annual FWMs pooled & used to evaluate relationship between long-term GM of 10 ppb & annual FWMs\*

\*limited data for many of STAs – necessitates pooling of data to obtain rigorous estimate of discharge under variety of conditions **Original Annual FW Mean TP Concentrations** 



#### **Rescaled Annual FW Mean TP Concentrations**



#### **Maximum Annual Limit Calculation**

 WQBEL estimates were derived by fitting lognormal frequency distributions to the rescaled annual flow-weighted mean (FWM) concentration data for the pooled STA data set

Data & Fitted Distribution (POR GM Method)



Data & Fitted Distribution (AGM Method)



AFWMTP (ppb)

# Initial (thru 2008) Derivation

- Both methods appropriate (AGM method believed more consistent with application of Department's TP criterion rule - therefore is preferred method) – AFWM= 16.6 (17) ppb
- Mean of methods AFWM= 17.4 (17) ppb
- Walker method 16.0 ppb (SD 0.05)

#### **Two Mistakes Discovered**

- Negative flow values for STAs 3/4 & 6 corrected to zero values
- Incorrect cell references for STA-1E values (2007 & 2008)
- 3. New calculated AFWMs:
  - **1.** AGM Method 17.2 ppb
  - 2. POR GM Method 19.1 ppb
  - 3. Mean 18.1 (18) ppb

#### Application

 Applied at STA Discharge Structure (if multiple discharge structures @ individual STA, then flowweighted across all discharge structures)

#### Exclusions:

- Low-flow water supply deliveries
- Extreme events

 WQBEL periodically reevaluated as additional data become available - reevaluation of WQBEL performed (a) end of each permit cycle

