> Technical Oversight Committee June 16, 2006

Gary Goforth, P.E., Ph.D.

Objective

At May meeting, TOC members requested analysis of operations affecting Refuge

- Did WY2006 operations influence interior marsh water quality?
- Follows May/June 2005 TOC discussions on same topic that produced 4 action items:
 - Additional outflow sampling (District lead: analysis & recommendation presented to TOC in summer 2005)
 - Improved coordination of inflow/outflow operations (Refuge and Corps lead)
 - Delay stage rise until after wet season (Refuge and Corps lead)
 - Re-distribution of flow through S-10s (Refuge and Corps lead)



Principle Operations

> Inflow

- Treated stormwater
- Untreated stormwater
 - Exceeded capacity of STA-1W / STA-1E
 - Village of Wellington
- Treated water supply inflows preceding withdrawals
- Treated Lake Okeechobee regulatory releases

Outflow

- WCA-1 regulatory releases
- Water supply withdrawals
- Other releases
 - Lake regulatory pass-through (WY2003)
 - Anticipation of tropical storm inflows (WY2006)

WCA-1 Inflow Operations

- WY2006 inflows were less than half of long-term (1978-2005) average
- New divide structure in place to reduce flows to STA-1W; hard to quantify effect, as STA-2 inflows <10% above average
- Although a temporary deviation to regulation schedule was in place, conditions were not triggered no impact

Minimal (?) Lake
Okeechobee inflows (2007 report will document)







S-6 was diverted in June 2001; STA-1E emergency discharges in Sept/Oct 2004, with normal discharges beginning in October 2005

STA Outflow Pump Durations

• Operations staff utilizes remote-operated electric pumps in concert with large diesel units to reduce pulsed loads to Refuge

- Limited by STA flow-through volume (flow-ways off-line and reduced inflows) and rate at which water moves through treatment vegetation
- •Practical limit may be <24 hours for large diesel units for most storms



STA Outflow Pump Durations

•Estimated durations are sensitive to inter-event period used in analysis

 Initially used 1 hour of zero flow to separate events in report

• Further review and discussion with Operations staff - minimum of 8 hours more reasonable; will revise report

| G-310 All pumps - 8 hour inter-event period | | | | | | |
|---|---------------|----------|------------|----------|------------|----------|
| | # of | Average | 25th %-ile | Median | 75th %-ile | Maximum |
| Water Year | Events | Duration | Duration | Duration | Duration | Duration |
| 2002 | 58 | 56.8 | 5.3 | 7.0 | 11.0 | 1142 |
| 2003 | 70 | 83.5 | 7.0 | 9.5 | 55.8 | 962 |
| 2004 | 77 | 48.2 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 18.0 | 990 |
| 2005 | 33 | 134.9 | 2.0 | 32.0 | 65.0 | 2217 |
| 2006 | 54 | 58.3 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 49.8 | 705 |
| Average | 58.4 | 76.3 | 5.1 | 12.9 | 39.9 | 1203.2 |

STA-1E Outflow Pump Rates

• STA-1E discharged ~35% of time

•~90% of time <550 cfs

•~32% of volume discharged at rate of <550 cfs (correction to report page 4)







WCA-1 Outflow Operations

- WY2006 outflows were less than half of long-term average
- Significantly less regulatory releases
- Higher releases when stage was below schedule
 - In anticipation of storm inflows

 These may inadvertently cause higher loads to Refuge during subsequent dry season; TOC should acknowledge this

• District installing supplemental water supply pumps on C-51 to reduce water supply withdrawals – WY2007



WCA-1 Operations Summary - Outflows WY2002-2006 Flow values expressed in acre feet/yr





- Re-distribution of flows through S-10s suggested by Refuge staff as way to reduce net retention of phosphorus and impact on pristine areas of Refuge
- WY2006 distribution through S-10D (49%) about equal to prior 4 years (46%)
- Flexibility exists in regulation schedule to re-distribute flows



Perimeter canals respond separately to inflow points

Can expect 1-8C to increase now that STA-1E is in operation



Likely reference elevation error at 1-8C of ~0.3 ft

- Would bias compliance levels, i.e., establish lower TP levels
- Gages should be re-surveyed





Sent inquiry to USGS regarding possible datum shift

Charts show influence of both inflows and rainfall on interior marsh, both of which are a factor in penetration potential

WY2006 Potential Water Movement Between the Marsh and Western Rim Canal of WCA-1 Marsh stations: 1-7, 1-8T & 1-9. Canal stations: G-301TW, G-251TW, S-338TW, S-10EHW



TP Contour plots

 Useful to better understand penetration dynamics

• Prepared by District staff based on available data

October 2004



February 2006

• Dry season conditions

• Visual indication of limited influence of external operations

February 2006



Summary

- WY2006 operations driven by lower than normal inflows and outflows
 - Interior marsh dominated by rainfall-driven dynamics and local phenomena as opposed to external dynamics

Some change in operations over previous years

- STA-1E inflows will continue to increase along eastern boundary, as will stage at 1-8C
- S-10 discharges increased when stage was below zones A1 and A2 in anticipation of tropical storm inflows
- May not have influenced marsh phosphorus levels due to reduced potential for penetration
- Temporary deviation had no impact
- Reference elevations of stage gages should be resurveyed to remove potential bias and evaluate influence on previous excursions/exceedances