



# **Mentimeter (Menti) Results**

**Public Input during  
Lake Okeechobee Watershed Protection Plan Meeting  
July 21, 2020  
9 am  
Via Zoom**


# Have you participated in a Watershed Protection Plan Workshop before?




Have you visited our website on the Northern Everglades Watershed Protection Plans, <https://www.sfwmd.gov/wpps?>




# List one or two words that comes to mind when you think of Lake Okeechobee Watershed projects?



# What do you think is contributing the most to water quality issues in the Lake Okeechobee Watershed?



# Which of the following considerations should be given top priority when determining where assessments or more projects are needed?



# LOW 5-year Average for WY2015-WY2019

Subwatershed	TP UAL (lb/ac)	TP FWMC ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	TP Load (t)	Discharge (ac-ft)	Area (ac)
Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slough	1.17	477	104.7	178,000	197,795
Indian Prairie	0.7	223	87.3	317,000	276,577
Lower Kissimmee	0.64	229	124.7	441,000	429,188
Fisheating Creek	0.44	175	63.6	295,000	318,042
Lake Istokpoga	0.27	97	47.7	400,000	394,203
Upper Kissimmee	0.2	78	93.4	976,000	1,028,421
South Lake Okeechobee	0.16	279	26.8	77,700	363,141
East Lake Okeechobee	0.15	191	16.7	71,100	239,013
West Lake Okeechobee	0	168	0	36	204,094

# Based on the data presented for the Lake Okeechobee Watershed, which subwatershed do you think needs more assessment or additional projects?






# Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slough Subwatershed Data

5-year average for WY2015-WY2019

Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slough	TP UAL (lb/ac)	TP FWMC ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	TP Load (t)	Discharge (ac-ft)	Area (ac)
S-154C Basin	2.71	711	2.6	2,990	2,134
S-191 Basin	1.28	627	69.7	90,100	120,464
S-154 Basin	1.22	580	17.6	24,700	31,815
S-133 Basin	0.75	243	8.7	29,000	25,626
S-135 Basin	0.75	157	6.1	31,300	17,756
<b>Subwatershed Total</b>	<b>1.17</b>	<b>477</b>	<b>104.7</b>	<b>178,000</b>	<b>197,795</b>

Source 2020 SFER

Based on the data presented for the Taylor Creek/Nubbin Slough subwatershed, which basin do you think needs more assessment or additional projects?




# Indian Prairie Subwatershed Data


5-year average for  
WY2015-WY2019

Indian Prairie	TP UAL (lb/ac)	TP FWMC ( $\mu\text{g/L}$ )	TP Load (t)	Discharge (ac-ft)	Area (ac)
L-59W Basin	3.07	237	9.2	31,400	6,596
C-41A Basin	1.13	160	29.5	150,000	57,748
L-60E Basin	1	192	2.2	9,460	4,944
L-61E Basin	0.7	142	4.6	26,100	14,407
C-40 Basin	0.69	475	7.5	12,800	24,076
C-41 Basin	0.53	488	27.2	45,300	112,880
L-48 Basin	0.41	189	3.9	16,700	20,798
L-60W Basin	0.3	134	0.5	2,860	3,453
S-131 Basin	0.26	99	0.8	6,770	7,122
L-59E Basin	0.2	193	1.2	4,920	12,589
L-49 Basin	0.13	52	0.7	10,700	11,966
<b>Subwatershed Total</b>	<b>0.7</b>	<b>223</b>	<b>87.3</b>	<b>317,000</b>	<b>276,577</b>

# Based on the data presented for the Indian Prairie Subwatershed, which basin do you think needs more assessment or additional projects?



# Do you agree with the subwatershed/basin priorities selected?



# What would you like to see or gain out of the next round of workshops?



# Please provide feedback on the format of this meeting?

